

THE INFORMATION IN THIS BOX IS NOT A PART OF THE CONTRACT AND IS FOR COUNTY USE ONLY



Contract Number

26-420

SAP Number

Department of Behavioral Health

Department Contract Representative	Shane Hibbard-Miller
Telephone Number	(909) 386-8264
Contractor	California Department of Health Care Services
Contractor Representative	Mental Health Services Division
Telephone Number	(888) 452-8609
Contract Term	July 1, 2026 through June 30, 2029
Original Contract Amount	\$2,344,759,538
Amendment Amount	N/A
Total Contract Amount	\$2,344,759,538
Cost Center	N/A
Grant Number (if applicable)	N/A

Briefly describe the general nature of the contract:

The Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) provides funding to the Department of Behavioral Health for programs and services outlined in the BHSA Three-Year Integrated Plan for Fiscal Years 2026-27 through 2028-29. Expenditures outlined in the Plan for the period of July 1, 2026 through June 30, 2029 are in the amount of \$2,344,759,538.

FOR COUNTY USE ONLY

<p>Approved as to Legal Form Signed by</p> <p>▶ <u>Jason Searles</u></p> <p>Jason Searles, Acting Deputy County Counsel</p> <p>Date <u>6/5/2026</u></p>	<p>Reviewed for Contract Compliance Signed by</p> <p>▶ <u>Michael Shin</u></p> <p>Michael Shin, Administrative Manager</p> <p>Date <u>6/5/2026</u></p>	<p>Reviewed/Approved by Department Signed by</p> <p>▶ <u>Joshua Dugas</u></p> <p>Joshua Dugas, Acting Director</p> <p>Date <u>6/5/2026</u></p>
---	--	--

Board of Supervisors Certification

Certification


1. Board of Supervisors certifies the following:

- Board of Supervisors has reviewed and approved this Integrated Plan for the period of
- County will meet its realignment obligations pursuant to W&I Code section 14197, including but not limited to time or distance standards and appointment time standards set forth in W&I Code section 14197 or other applicable guidance, without utilizing waitlists

2. Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with these requirements?

- Yes
- No

a. If answered yes above, please describe any implementation challenges or concerns with their realignment obligations (optional)



Signature

3. Printed name

4. Title

5. Date

JUN 09 2026

6. Signature

Daunm Rowe

SIGNED AND CERTIFIED THAT A COPY OF
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DELIVERED
TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD.
LYNNA MONTEL
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
of San Bernardino County
By *[Signature]*



County Administrator or Designee Certification

The County Administrator may be known by other titles such as Chief Executive, County Manager, or Chief Administrative Officer. The County Administrator must be the individual who serves as the top staff member in county government and hold the highest level of administrative authority in the county or be the designee of that individual. This individual or their designee must work within the executive office of county government, and they may not be the county behavioral health director.

Certification

1. I hereby certify that:

- The County will use Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) funds to serve the targeted population(s) as described in statute.
- Behavioral Health funding from all sources will be spent only on allowable uses as stated in statute.
- BHSA funding will supplement, and not supplant, other funding available from existing state or county funds utilized to provide mental health services or substance use disorder treatment services (except that this non-supplant rule does not apply to the use of 2011 realignment funds provided to counties from the Behavioral Health Subaccount or Behavioral Health Services Growth Special Account)

2. Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with these requirements?

- Yes
- No

a. If answered yes above, please describe any implementation challenges or concerns with the BHSA fiscal accountability and stakeholder participation requirements

Signature

3. Print name

Luther Snoke

4. Date

5. Signature

Contact information

6. County Name

San Bernardino County

7. Certification for

- Three-Year Integrated Plan
- Annual Update
- Intermittent Update

7a. Submission type

- Draft

8. County Chief Administration Officer Name

Luther Snoke

9. County Chief Administration Officer Phone number

(909) 387-5425

10. County Chief Administration Officer Email

luther.snoke@cao.sbcounty.gov

Behavioral Health Director Certification

Certification

1. I hereby certify that has complied with all statuses, regulations, and guidelines in preparing and submitting this Three-Year Plan (IP) for Behavioral Health Services and Outcomes, including all fiscal accountability and stakeholder participation requirements. I further certify that:
 - The information, statements, and attachments included in the Three-Year IP are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true and correct
 - I understand and agree that the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) reserves the right to request clarification regarding unclear or ambiguous statements made in the IP and other supporting documents submitted in the IP
 - The County will use Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) funds to serve the targeted population(s) as described in statute, regulations, and guidance
 - Behavioral Health funding from all sources will be spent only on allowable uses as stated in statute, statute, regulations, and guidance
 - BHSA funding will supplement, and not supplant, other funding available from existing state or county funds utilized to provide mental health services or substance use disorder treatment services (except that this non-supplant rule does not apply to the use of 2011 realignment funds provided to counties from the Behavioral Health Subaccount or Behavioral Health Services Growth Special Account)
 - The IP was submitted to the local behavioral health board
2. Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with these requirements?
 - Yes
 - No

a. Please describe any implementation challenges or concerns with the BHTA fiscal accountability and stakeholder participation requirements

County Behavioral Health Agency Director contact information

3. County Name

4. Certification for

- Three-Year Integrated Plan
- Annual Update
- Intermittent Update

4a. Submission type

- Draft
- Final

5. County Behavioral Health Agency Director name

6. County Behavioral Health Agency Director phone number

7. County Behavioral Health Agency Director email

Additional contact information for counties with separate MH and SUD directors (optional)

8. Name

9. Title

10. Phone

11. Email

County Behavioral Health Agency Director signature

12. Print name

13. Title

14. Date

15. Signature

Additional signature for counties with separate MH and SUD directors (optional)

16. Print name

17. Title

18. Date

19. Signature

**San Bernardino County
Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA)
FINAL Integrated Plan
Fiscal Years 2026/2027 – 2028/2029**

Revised 5/29/2026

For more information please visit:

[Behavioral Health Services Act \(BHSA\) – DBH Internet Website](#)

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

FINAL INTEGRATED PLAN FY 2026/2027–2028/2029

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Executive & General Information

1. General Information1

2. County Behavioral Health System Overview5

3. County Behavioral Health Technical Infrastructure 13

4. County Behavioral Health System Service Delivery Landscape 14

II. Statewide Behavioral Health Goals

5. Population-Level Behavioral Health Measures21

6. Priority Statewide Behavioral Health Goals22

- Access to Care 22
- Homelessness27
- Institutionalization 31
- Justice Involvement 37
- Removal of Children from Home 41
- Untreated Behavioral Health Conditions44

7. Additional Statewide Goals for Improvement48

- County-Selected Goal: Suicides/Overdose.....57

III. Community Planning Process

8. Stakeholder Engagement60

9. Local Health Jurisdiction (LHJ) Collaboration82

10. Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan (MCP) Community Reinvestment85

11. Comment Period and Public Hearing87

IV. Behavioral Health Care Continuum

12. County Behavioral Health Services Care Continuum92
13. Provider Monitoring and Oversight93
14. Contracted Provider Locations93

V. Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Components

A. Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS)

15. Children’s System of Care (Non-FSP)98
16. Adult & Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP)110
17. Early Intervention (EI) Programs121
18. Coordinated Specialty Care (CSC)148
19. Outreach and Engagement (O&E)150
20. Workforce, Education, and Training (WET)153
21. Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN)159

B. Full Service Partnership (FSP)

22. FSP Eligible Population Estimates163
23. ACT / FACT164
24. FSP Intensive Case Management (ICM)165
25. High Fidelity Wraparound (HFW)167
26. Individual Placement and Support (IPS)168
27. FSP Program Overview169
28. Justice-Involved and Specialized Populations175

C. Assertive Field-Based Substance Use Disorder Services

29. Existing and New SUD Initiatives177

30. Medications for Addiction Treatment (MAT)181

D. Housing Interventions

31. Housing Planning.....182

32. Eligible Populations188

33. Local Housing System Engagement192

34. Rental Subsidies195

35. Operating Subsidies199

36. Participant Assistance Funds202

37. Outreach & Engagement (Housing)203

38. Capital Development Projects204

39. Relationship to Housing Services Funded by Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans.....206

40. Flexible Housing Subsidy Pools208

VI. Innovative Behavioral Health Pilots

41. Innovative Behavioral Health Projects209

VII. Workforce Strategy

42. Workforce Gap Assessment211

43. Address Workforce Gaps.....212

VIII. Budget and Prudent Reserve

44. Budget Overview219

45. Prudent Reserve219

IX. Plan Approval and Certifications

46. Behavioral Health Director Certification221

47. County Administrator Certification221

48. Board of Supervisors Certification221

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Integrated Plan Budget Template

2026 - 2029 Integrated Plan

San Bernardino County

The Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) requires counties to submit three-year Integrated Plans (IPs) for Behavioral Health Services and Outcomes. For related policy information, refer to [3.A. Purpose of the Integrated Plan](#).

General Information

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don't need to finish everything at once—your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use “Return to plan” to navigate between sections and track overall progress. For related policy information, refer to [3.A. General Information](#).

General Information

County, City, Joint Powers, or Joint Submission

County

Entity Name

San Bernardino County

Behavioral Health Agency Name

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health

Behavioral Health Agency Mailing Address

550 E. Hospitality Lane, Suite 100, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0075

Primary Mental Health Contact

Name

Joshua Dugas

Email

joshua.dugas@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Phone

9092525142

Secondary Mental Health Contact

Name

Maribel Gutierrez

Email

maribel.gutierrez@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Phone

9093880830

Primary Substance Use Disorder Contact

Name

Amber Carpenter

Email

acarpenter@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Phone

9093880889

Secondary Substance Use Disorder Contact

Name

Jennifer Alsina

Email

jennifer.alsina@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Phone

9093880808

Primary Housing Interventions Contact

Name

Dr. Alyce Belford

Email

alyce.belford@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Phone

9093880805

Compliance Officer for Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS)

Name

Erica Ochoa

Email

eochoa@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Compliance Officer for Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS) Services

Name

Erica Ochoa

Email

eochoa@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Coordinator

Name	Email address
Dr. Rebecca Scott Young	rebecca.scottyoung@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) liaison

Name	Email address
Amber Carpenter	acarpenter@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Quality Assurance or Quality Improvement (QA/QI) lead

Name	Email address
Krystle Rowe	krystle.rowe@dbh.sbcounty.gov

Medical Director

Name	Email address
VACANT	joshua.dugas@dbh.sbcounty.gov

County Behavioral Health System Overview

Please provide the [city/county behavioral health system](#) (inclusive of mental health and substance use disorder) information listed throughout this section. The purpose of this section is to provide a high-level overview of the city/county behavioral health system’s populations served, technological infrastructure, and services provided. This information is intended to support city/county planning and transparency for stakeholders. The Department of Health Care Services recognizes that some information provided in this section is subject to change over the course of the Integrated Plan (IP) period. All data should be based on FY preceding the year plan development begins (i.e., for 2026-2029 IP, data from FY 2023-2024 should be used).

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don’t need to finish everything at once—your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use “Return to plan” to navigate between sections and track overall progress. For related policy information, refer to [3.E.2 General Requirements](#).

Populations Served by County Behavioral Health System

Includes individuals that have been served through the county Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System and individuals served through other county behavioral health programs. Population-level behavioral health measures, including for untreated behavioral health conditions, are covered in the Statewide Behavioral Health Goals section and County Population-Level Behavioral Health Measure Workbook. For related policy information, refer to [2.B.3 Eligible Populations](#) and [3.A.2 Contents of the Integrated Plan](#).

Children and Youth

In the table below, please report [the number of children and youth](#) (under 21) served by the county behavioral health system who meet the criteria listed in each row. **Counts may be duplicated as individuals may be included in more than one category.**

Criteria	Number of Children and Youth Under Age 21
Received Medi-Cal Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS)	18876
Received at least one substance use disorder (SUD) individual-level prevention and/or early intervention service	360
Received Drug Medi-Cal (DMC) or Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS) Services	242
Received mental health (MH) and SUD services from the mental health plan (MHP) and DMC county or DMC-ODS plan	124

Criteria	Number of Children and Youth Under Age 21
<p>Accessed the Early Psychosis Intervention Plus Program, pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code Part 3.4 (commencing with section 5835), Coordinated Specialty Care, or other similar evidence-based practices and community-defined evidence practices for early psychosis and mood disorder detection and intervention programs</p>	<p><11*</p>
<p>Were chronically homeless or experiencing homelessness or at risk of homelessness</p>	<p>250</p>
<p>Were in the juvenile justice system</p>	<p>1141</p>
<p>Have reentered the community from a youth correctional facility</p>	<p>272</p>
<p>Were served by the Mental Health Plan and had an open child welfare case</p>	<p>4839</p>
<p>Were served by the DMC County or DMC-ODS plan and had an open child welfare case</p>	<p>44</p>

Criteria	Number of Children and Youth Under Age 21
Have received acute psychiatric care	1538

Adults and Older Adults

In the table below, please report the number of adults and older adults (21 and older) served by the county behavioral health system who meet the criteria listed in each row. **Counts may be duplicated as individuals may be included in more than one category.**

Criteria	Number of Adults and Older Adults
Were dual-eligible Medicare and Medicaid members	2949
Received Medi-Cal SMHS	20721
Received DMC or DMC-ODS services	5208
Received MH and SUD services from the MHP and DMC county or DMC-ODS plan	1164
Were chronically homeless, or experiencing homelessness, or at risk of homelessness	1658

Criteria	Number of Adults and Older Adults
Experienced unsheltered homelessness	64
Moved from unsheltered homelessness to being sheltered (emergency shelter, transitional housing, or permanent housing)	000
Of the total number of those who moved from unsheltered homelessness to being sheltered, how many transitioned into permanent housing	000
Were in the justice system (on parole or probation and not currently incarcerated)	1141
Were incarcerated (including state prison and jail)	000
Reentered the community from state prison or county jail	272
Received acute psychiatric services	5136

Input the number of persons in designated and approved facilities who were

Admitted or detained for 72-hour evaluation and treatment rate

13239

Admitted for 14-day and 30-day periods of intensive treatment

3688

Admitted for 180-day post certification intensive treatment

000

Please report the total population enrolled in Department of State Hospital (DSH) Lanterman-Petris-Short (LPS) Act programs

000

Please report the total population enrolled in DSH community solution projects (e.g., community-based restoration and diversion programs)

000

Of the data reported in this section, are there any areas where the county would like to provide additional context for DHCS’s understanding?

No

Please describe the local data used during the planning process

The data provided was extracted from the Department of Behavioral Health’s official system of record, the Netsmart myAvatar Electronic Health Record (EHR) platform, for Fiscal Year 2023–2024.

If desired, provide documentation on the local data used during the planning process

Local CARE Act Implementation

Identify the specific service components within your 3-year Integrated Plan that will support CARE participants. Explain how the county will ensure these individuals receive priority access and specialized coordination within the broader behavioral health continuum, including housing if appropriate.

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will utilize its existing Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA)-funded continuum of care to support CARE Court participants. This continuum includes Full Service Partnerships (FSP), Assertive Community Treatment (ACT), Forensic Assertive Community Treatment (FACT)-informed services, outpatient specialty mental health services, crisis stabilization, mobile crisis response, intensive case management, and supportive housing programs as outlined in our Integrated Plan. To ensure timely access, DBH has and continues to establish explicit priority access mechanisms for CARE Court participants, including expedited intake pathways, fast-tracking

into appropriate levels of care, and preferential placement within existing programs when clinically indicated. These priority processes will support rapid engagement and reduce delays across the service continuum. To achieve this, newly contracted agencies or those being amended under BHSA have explicit language designating CARE participants as priority populations for placement. DBH also identifies and formalizes a defined CARE coordination function—a dedicated role or team responsible for cross-system care planning, coordination of step-down transitions from ACT/FACT levels of care, and ongoing interface with the court under our Engagement team led by DBH. This function will ensure continuity, communication, and accountability throughout the duration of the CARE plan and allows DBH Care teams to be present in contractor MDTs and provide education and support. San Bernardino County will ensure that initial petitions are processed effectively by providing early engagement, outreach, and verification of historical records. DBH will contract with community partners to deliver FSP (ACT/FACT) services to individuals who qualify under the Evidence-Based Practice Guidelines set forth by CalHHS. For those who do not require ACT/FACT, DBH will offer and provide Enhanced Care Management directly. Additionally, DBH will leverage its housing intervention continuum—currently being expanded in collaboration with the Office of Homeless Services and Community Development and Housing—to provide community supports, housing navigation, rental assistance, and long-term housing stability, with contract amendments that line up to CARE placement priorities as they are being amended or newly awarded. In alignment with State expectations, DBH will strengthen its identification and engagement of individuals who may benefit from CARE Court. This includes improving coordination with referral partners, refining prioritization processes, participating in State technical assistance and learning collaboratives, and enhancing community stakeholder education and access to CARE Act resources.

Describe how CARE referral pathways will be integrated into existing referral and service pathways within the county behavioral health system.

San Bernardino County is actively integrating CARE referral pathways into its existing behavioral health access and service delivery system. Through expanded outreach and education across the continuum of care, the Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) anticipates increased identification of individuals who meet petition eligibility. CARE referrals, including those initiated by courts, family members, first responders, hospitals, and community partners, follow a clearly defined workflow. Referrals are received through established access points such as the DBH CARE Act Inbox, via 24/7 crisis response services, Hospitals, courts, and cities that are authorized to refer or petition directly. Upon receipt, referrals are reviewed by designated CARE coordination staff who verify eligibility criteria, assess presenting needs, and triage referrals to the appropriate service track (e.g., ACT/FACT, Enhanced Care Management, outpatient services). DBH maintains procedures for timely follow-up, assignment to care coordination, and initiation of engagement activities to ensure that referrals are acted upon without delay. Beyond general outreach and education, DBH is formalizing integration with key referral entities through standing procedures and defined liaison roles. DBH will maintain routine coordination with courts, hospitals, law enforcement agencies, and other first responders to support consistent communication, clarify referral expectations, and ensure that potential CARE participants are identified across systems. These liaison functions will facilitate

bidirectional information flow, support joint problem-solving, and reinforce consistent implementation of the CARE Act across the county's behavioral health and public safety networks. DBH has also begun outreach with city leadership, countywide, to provide education on the CARE Act, the court process, and referral pathways. Additionally, systemwide staff training and communication efforts are underway to improve awareness, enhance coordination, and increase access to the CARE Act process across the entire continuum.

Describe the process for identifying and redirecting individuals who are potentially eligible for CARE to alternative pathways when a formal petition is not required or appropriate. For individuals redirected from CARE, describe how the county will confirm and document successful connection to services.

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) continues strengthening its structured screening and clinical review processes to ensure that CARE Court is used appropriately and that individuals are connected to the most suitable level of care when petitions do not meet CARE criteria, regardless of court acceptance. All individuals referred for CARE consideration will receive an assessment grounded in established eligibility criteria and clinical evaluation. When an individual is determined not to meet CARE criteria or a petition is declined, DBH follows a formal diversion and redirection process. A dedicated clinician or designated CARE clinical team will immediately engage the individual, provide a warm handoff, and connect them to a specific program within the continuum of care as the individual agrees. Appropriate redirection options may include outpatient mental health services, Full Service Partnerships, intensive case management, crisis services, or outreach and engagement programming. This structured diversion process ensures timely linkage to care and prevents service gaps for those not moving forward in the CARE Court process. To maintain consistency and accuracy in petition decision-making, DBH will continue refining its internal guidance and coordination with system partners. These efforts support appropriate eligibility determinations while ensuring that individuals requiring less intensive or non-court-involved supports are efficiently linked to alternative services. DBH documents and tracks all diversion outcomes through a CARE diversion log. This log includes referral source, clinical determination, service linkage, and confirmation of service engagement. Periodic follow-ups will be conducted by the CARE coordination team to verify that diverted individuals have successfully connected with the recommended services. Diversion data will be reviewed and analyzed regularly and will be available for reporting as needed to demonstrate system performance, identify gaps, and inform program improvements. Additionally, DBH is enhancing its internal tracking and data referral processes to better monitor and account for individuals who are diverted, including follow-through on engagement outcomes across the system of care. This comprehensive documentation strengthens accountability and helps ensure that every individual referred for CARE consideration receives an appropriate and timely response, regardless of petition status.

County Behavioral Health Technical Infrastructure

Cities submitting their Integrated Plan independently from their counties do not have to complete this section. For related policy information, refer to [6.C.1 Promoting Access to Care Through Efficient Use of State and County Resources Introduction](#).

Does the county behavioral health system use an Electronic Health Record (EHR)?

Yes

Please select which of the following EHRs the county uses

Netsmart

County participates in a Qualified Health Information Organization (QHIO)?

Yes

Please select which QHIO the county participates in

Manifest MedEx

Application Programming Interface Information

Counties are required to implement Application Programming Interfaces (API) in accordance with [Behavioral Health Information Notice \(BHIN\) 22-068](#) and federal law.

Please provide the link to the county's API endpoint on the county behavioral health plan's website

<https://wp.sbcounty.gov/dbh/medicalrecords/>

Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with these requirements?

No

Counties are required to meet admission, discharge, and transfer data sharing requirements as outlined in the attachments to BHINs [23-056](#), [23-057](#), and [24-016](#). Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with these requirements?

No

County Behavioral Health System Service Delivery Landscape

Cities submitting their Integrated Plan independently from their counties do not have to complete this section. For related policy information, refer to [6.C.1 Promoting Access to Care Through Efficient Use of State and County Resources Introduction](#).

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) Grant

Will the county participate in [SAMHSA's PATH Grant](#) during the Integrated Plan period?

Yes

Please select all services the county behavioral health system plans to provide under the PATH grant

Alcohol or Drug Treatment Services

Case Management Services

Community Mental Health Services

Referrals for Primary Health Care, Job Training, Educational Services, and Housing Services

Outreach services

Staff Training, including the training of individuals who work in shelters, mental health clinics, substance use disorder programs, and other sites where homeless individuals require services

Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with the requirements under this program?

No

Community Mental Health Services Block Grant (MHBG)

Will the county behavioral health system participate in any [MHBG](#) set-asides during the Integrated Plan period?

Yes

Please select all set asides that the county behavioral health system plans to participate in under the MHBG

Children's System of Care Set-Aside

Discretionary/Base Allocation

Dual Diagnosis Set-Aside

First Episode Psychosis Set-Aside

Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with the requirements under this program?

No

Substance Use Prevention, Treatment, and Recovery Services Block Grant (SUBG)

Will the county behavioral health system participate in any [SUBG](#) set asides during the Integrated Plan period?

Yes

Please select all set-asides that the county behavioral health system participates in under SUBG

Adolescent/Youth Set-Aside

Discretionary

Perinatal Set-Aside

Primary Prevention Set-Aside

Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with the requirements under this program?

No

Opioid Settlement Funds (OSF)

Will the county behavioral health system have planned expenditures for [OSF](#) during the Integrated Plan period?

Yes

Please check all set asides the county behavioral health system participates in under [OSE Exhibit E](#).

Prevent Misuse of Opioids

Prevent Overdose Deaths and Other Harms (Harm Reduction)

Treat Opioid Use Disorder (OUD)

Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with the requirements under this program?

No

Bronzan-McCorquodale Act

The [county behavioral health system](#) is mandated to provide the following community mental health services as described in the [Bronzan-McCorquodale Act](#) (BMA).

- a. Case Management
- b. Comprehensive Evaluation and Assessment
- c. Group Services
- d. Individual Service Plan
- e. Medication Education and Management
- f. Pre-crisis and Crisis Services
- g. Rehabilitation and Support Services
- h. Residential Services
- i. Services for Homeless Persons
- j. Twenty-four-hour Treatment Services
- k. Vocational Rehabilitation

In addition, BMA funds may be used for the specific services identified in the list below.

Select all services that are funded with BMA funds:

Assertive Community Treatment (ACT)

Coordinated Specialty Care for First Episode Psychosis (CSC for FEP)
Forensic Assertive Community Treatment (FACT)
Individual Placement and Support (IPS) Model of Supported Employment

Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with the requirements under this program?

No

Public Safety Realignment (2011 Realignment)

The county behavioral health system is required to provide the following services which may be funded under the [Public Safety Realignment \(2011 Realignment\)](#)

- a. Drug Courts
- b. Medi-Cal Specialty Mental Health Services, including Early Periodic Screening Diagnostic Treatment (EPSDT)
- c. Regular and Perinatal Drug Medi-Cal Services
- d. Regular and Perinatal DMC Organized Delivery System Services, including EPSDT
- e. Regular and Perinatal Non-Drug Medi-Cal Services

Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with the requirements under this program?

No

Medi-Cal Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS)

The county behavioral health system is mandated to provide the following services under [SMHS](#) authority (no action required).

- a. Adult Residential Treatment Services
- b. Crisis Intervention
- c. Crisis Residential Treatment Services
- d. Crisis Stabilization
- e. Day Rehabilitation
- f. Day Treatment Intensive
- g. Mental Health Services
- h. Medication Support Services

- i. Mobile Crisis Services
- j. Psychiatric Health Facility Services
- k. Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital Services
- l. Targeted Case Management
- m. Functional Family Therapy for individuals under the age of 21
- n. High Fidelity Wraparound for individuals under the age of 21
- o. Intensive Care Coordination for individuals under the age of 21
- p. Intensive Home-based Services for individuals under the age of 21
- q. Multisystemic Therapy for individuals under the age of 21
- r. Parent-Child Interaction Therapy for individuals under the age of 21
- s. Therapeutic Behavioral Services for individuals under the age of 21
- t. Therapeutic Foster Care for individuals under the age of 21
- u. All Other [Medically Necessary](#) SMHS for individuals under the age of 21

Has the county behavioral health system opted to provide the specific Medi-Cal SMHS identified in the list below as of June 30, 2026?

- ACT
- CSC for FEP
- FACT
- IPS Supported Employment
- Peer Support Services

Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with the requirements under this program?

No

Drug Medi-Cal (DMC)/Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS)

Select which of the following services the county behavioral health system participates in [DMC-ODS](#) Program

Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS)

The county behavioral health system is mandated to provide the following services as a part of the DMC-ODS Program (DHCS currently follows the guidance set forth in the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) Criteria, 3rd Edition). (no action required)

a. Care Coordination Services

- b. Clinician Consultation**
- c. Outpatient Treatment Services (ASAM Level 1)**
- d. Intensive Outpatient Treatment Services (ASAM Level 2.1)**
- e. Medications for Addiction Treatment (MAT), Including Narcotics Treatment Program (NTP) Services**
- f. [Mobile Crisis Services](#)**
- g. Recovery Services**
- h. Residential Treatment services (ASAM Levels 3.1, 3.3., 3.5)**
- i. Traditional Healers and Natural Helpers**
- j. Withdrawal Management Services**
- k. All Other Medically Necessary Services for individuals under age 21 for individuals under age 21**
- l. Early Intervention for individuals under age 21**

Has the county behavioral health system opted to provide the specific Medi-Cal SUD services identified in the list below as of June 30, 2026?

Peer Support Services
 Recovery Incentives Program (Contingency Management)

Does the county wish to disclose any implementation challenges or concerns with the requirements under this program?

No

Other Programs and Services

Please list any other programs and services the county behavioral health system provides through other federal grants or other county mental health and SUD programs

Program or service
not applicable

Care Transitions

Has the county implemented the state-mandated [Transition of Care Tool for Medi-Cal Mental Health Services \(Adult and Youth\)](#)?

Yes

Does the county's Memorandum of Understanding include a description of the system used to transition a member's care between the member's mental health plan and their managed care plan based upon the member's health condition?

Yes

Statewide Behavioral Health Goals

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don't need to finish everything at once-your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use "Return to plan" to navigate between sections and track overall progress. For related policy information, refer to, please see [3.E.6 Statewide behavioral health goals](#).

Population-Level Behavioral Health Measures

The [statewide behavioral health goals and associated population-level behavioral health measures](#) must be used in the county Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) planning process and should inform resource planning and implementation of targeted interventions to improve outcomes for the fiscal year(s) being addressed in the IP. For more information on the statewide behavioral health goals, please see the [Policy Manual Chapter 2, Section C](#).

Please review your county's status on each population-level behavioral health measure, including the primary measures and supplemental measures for each of the 14 goals. All measures are publicly available, and counties are able to review their status by accessing the measures via DHCS-provided instructions and the County Population-Level Behavioral Health Measure Workbook.

As part of this review, counties are required to evaluate disparities related to the six priority statewide behavioral health goals. Counties are encouraged to use their existing tools, methods, and systems to support this analysis and may also incorporate local data sources to strengthen their evaluation.

Please note that several Phase 1 measures include demographic stratifications – such as race, sex, age, and spoken language – which are included in the prompts below. Counties may also use local data to conduct additional analyses beyond these demographic categories.

For related policy information, refer to [E.6.1 Population-level Behavioral Health Measures](#).

Mark page as complete

Priority statewide behavioral health goals for improvement

Counties are required to address the six priority statewide behavioral health goals in this section. Cities should utilize data that corresponds to the county they are located within. As such, the City of Berkeley should use data from Alameda County and Tri-City should use data from Los Angeles County. For related policy information, refer to [E.6.2 Primary and Supplemental Measures](#).

Access to care: Primary measures

Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) Penetration Rates for Adults and Children & Youth (DHCS), FY 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate?

For adults/older adults

Below

For children/youth

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

Age

Race or Ethnicity

Gender

Non-Specialty Mental Health Services (NSMHS) Penetration Rates for Adults and Children & Youth (DHCS), FY 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate?

For adults/older adults

Above

For children/youth

Above

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

Age

Gender

Race or Ethnicity

Spoken Language

Drug Medi-Cal (DMC) Penetration Rates for Adults and Children & Youth (DHCS), FY 2022 - 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate?

For adults/older adults

Not Applicable

For children/youth

Not Applicable

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS) Penetration Rates for Adults and Children & Youth (DHCS), FY 2022 - 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate?

For adults/older adults

Below

For children/youth

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

Race or Ethnicity

Access to care: Supplemental Measures

Initiation of Substance Use Disorder Treatment (IET-INI) (DHCS), FY 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate?

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Access to care: Disparities Analysis

For any disparities observed, please provide a written summary of your findings, including the measures and population groups experiencing disparities and a description of the data that supported your analysis

Review of the California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) Access to Care measures indicates disparities in timely access to behavioral health services across specific age, race, and ethnicity, and gender groups when stratified by demographic characteristics. Disparities were observed across multiple measures of service utilization and penetration, including Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS), Non-Specialty Mental Health Services (NSMHS), and Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS) penetration rates. For Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS), San Bernardino County’s penetration rates for both adults/older adults and children/youth were below statewide averages. Among adults, lower utilization was observed among individuals age 65 and older, females, and individuals identified as Hispanic, Asian, Pacific Islander, or other race. Among children and youth, disparities were observed among very young children (ages 0–2 and 3–5) and transition-age youth (ages 18–20), as well as among children identified as Hispanic, Asian, Pacific Islander, or Unknown/Other race. For Non-Specialty Mental Health Services (NSMHS), while overall county performance exceeded statewide averages, demographic variation persisted. Among adults, lower utilization was noted among individuals age 69 and older, males, and individuals identified as Asian or Pacific Islander. Among children and youth, variation in penetration rates was observed among children ages 6–11 and 18–20, and among children identified as African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, and American Indian or Alaska Native. For Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS) services, penetration rates for both adults/older adults and children/youth were below statewide averages. Disparities were observed across racial and ethnic groups for both age categories, including individuals identified as African American, Hispanic/Latino, Asian/Pacific Islander, and Other race. While the supplemental measure for initiation of substance use disorder treatment (IET-INI) did not include stratified

disparity data, overall performance remained below the statewide rate. This analysis was informed by DHCS Phase I Access to Care measures, stratified by age, race and ethnicity, and gender. Phase I measures were used to identify baseline disparities and guide program planning, partnership prioritization, and resource alignment for the Integrated Plan. While these measures primarily establish current performance conditions, Phase II measures are expected to introduce additional outcome-focused metrics to support the development of more specific, measurable improvement targets tied to statewide priority goals. Although the data does not independently identify underlying causes, the observed variation across population groups highlights disparities in service penetration and timely access, underscoring the importance of targeted, equity-informed strategies to improve access to the right care at the right time across the behavioral health continuum.

Access to care: Cross-Measure Questions

Please describe what programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is planning to strengthen or implement beginning July 1, 2026 that may increase your county's level of access to care. In your response, please describe how you plan to address measures where your status is below the statewide average or median, within the context of local needs. Additionally, please refer to any data that was used to inform new programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is implementing (e.g., developing an intervention targeting a sub-population in which data demonstrates they have poorer outcomes)

Beginning July 1, 2026, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will strengthen and expand multiple programs, services, and partnerships to improve access to care and address Access to Care measures where local performance is below the statewide average or median, as reflected in California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) dashboards and locally stratified data. The County's current strategies are informed by Phase I statewide measures, which were used to identify disparities and guide program restructuring, collaboration priorities, and funding alignment under the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA). While Phase I measures establish baselines and identify populations with lower penetration or delayed access, Phase II measures are expected to provide additional outcome-focused metrics that will enable the County to set clearer, measurable improvement targets aligned with statewide priorities. In anticipation of Phase II implementation, DBH is actively working with Managed Care Plans, hospitals, schools, community-based organizations, housing, and justice partners to identify and utilize relevant local operational, utilization, and timeliness data to strengthen monitoring capacity. The County is moving forward with a review of existing data points, such as referral-to-assessment timelines, outreach-to-engagement rates, and service initiation tracking, to support future, measurable goal setting and performance improvement efforts. As part of implementing the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA), the County is advancing a more integrated behavioral health continuum by incorporating substance use disorder (SUD) services and housing-related supports into coordinated care delivery models for individuals with co-occurring needs. This effort directly addresses disparities in penetration rates among older adults,

transition-age youth, and select racial and ethnic populations by strengthening system navigation, warm handoffs, and culturally responsive engagement strategies. DBH is conducting a comprehensive review of its continuum of care using DHCS Access to Care measures, service utilization trends, and demographic stratification by age, race and ethnicity, geography, and gender. This review is guiding program restructuring and enhancement efforts to improve timely access, increase service penetration, and address access gaps among priority populations. Programs will be strengthened to align with evidence-based practices and local needs, with particular attention to sub-populations demonstrating lower utilization or delayed engagement compared to statewide benchmarks. To directly address barriers related to timeliness and entry into care, DBH will continue to strengthen its 24/7/365 mobile crisis response services, available in English and Spanish and serving all age groups. These teams provide same-day, field-based assessment, de-escalation, and linkage to appropriate outpatient, crisis, and follow-up services, supporting improved access in both urban and rural areas of the county. DBH will also continue to strengthen Urgent Care and Crisis Walk-In Centers as low-barrier access points, particularly for individuals who are uninsured or underinsured, or hesitant to use traditional clinic-based services. DBH's Clubhouse programs further support timely access to care through partnerships with city Community Oriented Active Support Teams (COAST) in Fontana, San Bernardino, and Ontario. These multidisciplinary teams engage individuals in the field and facilitate direct referrals to behavioral health services, including transportation to Clubhouse locations when appropriate. This collaboration supports diversion from emergency departments and justice involvement, reduces barriers to initial engagement, and improves timely entry into care for individuals experiencing behavioral health crises or unmet needs in community settings. In response to disparities observed in penetration rates and service utilization among specific racial, ethnic, and age groups, the County is leveraging its Office of Equity and Inclusion, Cultural Competency Advisory Committee, and cultural awareness subcommittees to co-design targeted outreach, engagement, and navigation strategies with communities most impacted by inequities. These efforts strengthen the connection between identified disparities and intervention design by ensuring community-informed service delivery approaches. The County is also strengthening cross-system partnerships with Managed Care Plans, including Inland Empire Health Plan (IEHP), Kaiser, Molina, the San Bernardino County Department of Public Health, schools, hospitals, and community-based organizations. Community Health Assessment (CHA) and Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP) data are being used to identify high-need geographic areas and populations, including rural residents and youth, to expand community-based navigation, school-linked behavioral health services, and warm hand-offs from medical, justice, and housing systems into behavioral health care. Finally, the department has in place policies and procedures to ensure non-English speaking clients are linked with linguistically appropriate services at all DBH, contract agency, and Fee-for-Service providers, 24 hours per day, 7 days per week (24/7). Additionally, all written material must be written at the fourth (4th) and/or fifth (5th) grade level. The policy applies to all mental health and substance use disorder services rendered within the behavioral health system of care. Language access signs are posted in all our facility lobbies. For all of the department's public meetings and events, language access is made available at no cost upon request. State-informed materials are translated into our county's threshold languages. For more information on our department's language capacity and commitment to cultural and linguistic competency, please see our annual Cultural Competency Plan at

<https://wp.sbcounty.gov/dbh/programs/oei/>. Collectively, these strategies are intended to improve timely access, increase service penetration, and reduce disparities in Access to Care measures where San Bernardino County currently performs below statewide averages. As Phase II measures become available, DBH will establish measurable improvement targets tied to statewide benchmarks and integrate ongoing local data monitoring to support continuous quality improvement and accountability.

File Upload

Please identify the category or categories of funding that the county is using to address the access to care goal

BHSA Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS)

BHSA Full Services Partnership (FSP)

BHSA Housing Interventions

1991 Realignment

2011 Realignment

State General Fund

Federal Financial Participation (SMHS, Drug Medi-Cal/Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC/DMC-ODS)

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)

Community Mental Health Block Grant (MHBG)

Substance Use Block Grant (SUBG)

Homelessness: Primary measures

People Experiencing Homelessness Point-in-Time Count (Rate per 10,000 people by Continuum of Care Region) (HUD), 2024

How does your county status compare to the PIT Count Rate out of every 10,000 people by Continuum of Care region?

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

Age

Gender

Race or Ethnicity

Homeless Student Enrollment by Dwelling Type, California Department of Education (CDE), 2023 - 2024

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate?

Above

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

Race or Ethnicity

Other

Please describe other

Grade

Homelessness: Supplemental Measures

PIT Count Rate of People Experience Homelessness with Severe Mental Illness, (Rate per 10,000 people by Continuum of Care Region) (HUD), 2024

How does your county status compare to the PIT Count Rate out of every 10,000 people by Continuum of Care region?

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

PIT Count Rate of People Experience Homelessness with Chronic Substance Abuse, (Rate per 10,000 people by Continuum of Care Region) (HUD), 2024

How does your county status compare to the PIT Count Rate out of every 10,000 people by Continuum of Care region?

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

People Experiencing Homelessness Who Accessed Services from a Continuum of Care (CoC) Rate (BCSH), 2023 (This measure will increase as people access services.)

How does your local CoC's rate compare to the average rate across all CoCs?

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

Gender

Homelessness: Disparities Analysis

For any disparities observed, please provide a written summary of your findings, including the measures and population groups experiencing disparities and a description of the data that supported your analysis

A review of homelessness-related measures indicates variation across age, race and ethnicity, gender, and student status, based on analyses of Point-in-Time (PIT) count data, Continuum of Care (CoC) service utilization indicators, and California Department of Education (CDE) student homelessness measures. While San Bernardino County performs more favorably than the statewide average on overall PIT homelessness rates and on the prevalence of homelessness among individuals with serious mental illness or substance use disorders, disparities persist among specific sub-populations. PIT data show higher representation of adults ages 35–44 and adults 45 and older among individuals experiencing homelessness, with disproportionate representation among American Indian or Alaska Native, African American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and Caucasian populations, as well as among males. CDE data indicate that the County performs below the statewide average for homeless student enrollment, with disparities observed among African American, American Indian or Alaska Native, Pacific Islander, and Hispanic or Latino students, particularly in grades 3–7 and grade 12, and among students identifying as non-binary. Supplemental CoC data further indicate variation in service access among individuals under age 18 and adults ages 35–44, as well as among select racial and ethnic populations. These data highlight patterns of housing instability and differential access to housing-linked services among populations with elevated behavioral health needs. This analysis was informed by DHCS-referenced Phase I measures, including PIT counts, CoC utilization data, and CDE student homelessness indicators. Phase I measures establish baseline prevalence and demographic variation and were used to guide prioritization of housing-linked behavioral health strategies within the Integrated Plan. While these measures identify populations disproportionately affected by homelessness and service access gaps, Phase II measures are anticipated to introduce additional outcome-focused metrics related to housing stability, successful placement, service engagement following housing entry, and sustained tenancy. Although Phase I data do not fully capture the longitudinal outcomes associated with housing interventions, the observed disparities underscore the importance of strengthening integrated housing and behavioral health strategies to reduce instability and

improve equitable access to services.

Homelessness: Cross-Measure Questions

Please describe what programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is planning to strengthen or implement beginning July 1, 2026 that may reduce your county's level of homelessness in the population experiencing severe mental illness, severe SUD, or co-occurring conditions. In your response, please describe how you plan to address measures where your status is below the statewide average or median, within the context of local needs. Additionally, please refer to any data that was used to inform new programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is implementing (e.g., developing an intervention targeting a sub-population in which data demonstrates they have poorer outcomes)

Beginning July 1, 2026, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will strengthen and expand strategies to reduce homelessness and improve access to behavioral health services for individuals and families experiencing housing instability. These efforts respond to Phase I data identifying disparities among adults ages 35–44 and older adults, students experiencing homelessness, and racial and ethnic populations disproportionately represented in homelessness-related measures. The County's housing strategies are grounded in Phase I homelessness measures, which were used to identify demographic variation in housing instability and guide alignment of Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Housing Interventions funding, outreach priorities, and cross-system coordination efforts. While Phase I measures primarily establish baseline prevalence and access disparities, Phase II measures are anticipated to introduce additional outcome-oriented indicators related to housing retention, reduced returns to homelessness, and improved behavioral health engagement following placement. In anticipation of Phase II implementation, DBH is strengthening collaborative data review processes with the Office of Homeless Services, Community Development and Housing, housing authorities, Managed Care Plans, and community-based providers to enhance tracking of housing referrals, placement timelines, and service engagement following housing entry. Local operational indicators, including supportive housing placement rates, tenancy retention tracking, housing-linked treatment engagement, and referral-to-placement timelines, are being reviewed to build infrastructure for measurable target setting once statewide Phase II metrics are finalized. As part of BHSA implementation, DBH will continue integrating housing-related supports into the behavioral health continuum for individuals with mental health and substance use needs. This includes strengthening linkages among outreach, engagement, and treatment services and expanding access to supportive housing and tenancy-sustaining services. Data indicating elevated housing instability among adults ages 35–44 and older adults are guiding prioritization of individuals with complex behavioral health and housing needs. DBH is actively participating in a Housing Interventions and Homelessness Strategic Planning workgroup led by the County Administrative Office. This cross-department collaboration includes the Office of Homeless Services and Community Development and Housing and is focused on streamlining housing access pathways, designing a Transitional Rent Program, aligning BHSA Housing

Interventions funding with permanent supportive housing strategies, and reducing system fragmentation. These coordinated efforts are intended to improve the timeliness of placement and reduce barriers that contribute to prolonged homelessness. DBH will also implement innovative housing models, including the Peer Housing Project approved by the State Commission for Behavioral Health. This recovery-oriented housing model serves adults experiencing homelessness who are engaged in DBH-operated Clubhouse programs and provides peer-led operations, in-home peer support, and coordinated linkage to behavioral health and supportive services. Lessons learned from this project will inform future housing strategies and performance benchmarks related to housing stability and recovery outcomes. To address disparities among children and youth experiencing homelessness, DBH will continue collaborating with Public Health, local education agencies, schools, and community-based organizations to strengthen school-linked behavioral health services and referral pathways. CDE data identifying elevated homelessness rates among specific grade levels and racial and ethnic populations are guiding targeted outreach, coordination with McKinney-Vento liaisons, and expansion of trauma-informed supports. DBH will further strengthen partnerships with the Continuum of Care, housing authorities, Managed Care Plans, and other County departments to improve warm hand-offs from outreach and housing systems into behavioral health treatment. Community Health Assessment (CHA) and Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP) data will continue to inform geographic prioritization and community-based service expansion. Collectively, these strategies are intended to maintain progress where the County performs favorably relative to statewide benchmarks while reducing disparities in housing instability and service access among disproportionately impacted populations. As Phase II measures become operationalized, DBH will establish measurable improvement targets aligned with statewide housing and behavioral health priorities and integrate ongoing local monitoring processes to support sustained housing stability and continuous quality improvement.

File Upload

Please identify the category or categories of funding that the county is using to address the homelessness goal

BHSA Housing Interventions

Institutionalization

Per 42 CFR 435.1010, an institution is "an establishment that furnishes (in single or multiple facilities) food, shelter, and some treatment or services to four or more persons unrelated to the proprietor." Institutional settings are intended for individuals with conditions including, but not limited to, behavioral health conditions.

Care provided in inpatient and residential (i.e., institutional) settings can be clinically appropriate and is part of the care continuum. Here, institutionalization refers to individuals residing in these settings

longer than clinically appropriate. Therefore, the goal is not to reduce stays in institutional settings to zero. The focus of this goal is on reducing stays in institutional settings that provide a Level of Care that is not – or is no longer – the least restrictive environment. (no action)

Institutionalization: Primary Measures

Inpatient administrative days (DHCS) rate, FY 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For adults/older adults

Above

For children/youth

Not Applicable

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Institutionalization: Supplemental Measures

Involuntary Detention Rates, FY 2021 - 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

14-day involuntary detention rates per 10,000

Above

30-day involuntary detention rates per 10,000

Not Applicable

180-day post-certification involuntary detention rates per 10,000

Same

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Conservatorships, FY 2021 - 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

Temporary Conservatorships

Below

Permanent Conservatorships

Above

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

SMHS Crisis Service Utilization (Crisis Intervention, Crisis Residential Treatment Services, and Crisis Stabilization) (DHCS), FY 2023

Increasing access to crisis services may reduce or prevent unnecessary admissions to institutional facilities

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

Crisis Intervention

For adults/older adults

Below

For children/youth

Below

Crisis Residential Treatment Services

For adults/older adults

Above

For children/youth

Above

Crisis Stabilization

For adults/older adults

Below

For children/youth

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Institutionalization: Disparities Analysis

For any disparities observed, please provide a written summary of your findings, including the measures and population groups experiencing disparities and a description of the data that supported your analysis

Review of California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) Institutionalization measures indicates disparities in the utilization of inpatient and crisis-based services among select demographic groups, particularly when examining indicators associated with extended lengths of stay and reliance on higher levels of care. According to the DHCS Adult MHS AB470 reporting framework, San Bernardino County's institutionalization rate for adults and older adults is 48.4%, compared to the statewide rate of 25.6%. This higher rate indicates elevated institutional utilization among adults and older adults relative to statewide performance. Demographic stratification of available data shows variation across age, race, ethnicity, and gender. Higher utilization of inpatient administrative days was observed among adult females, while elevated use of crisis residential services was identified among adults ages 33–44, males, and individuals identified as Asian or Pacific Islander. Supplemental crisis service utilization measures also show differences in service patterns by age and race. Disparity data for children and youth remain limited across several indicators, and within the AB470 dashboard framework. This analysis was informed by DHCS Phase I Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) utilization measures, including inpatient administrative days per

beneficiary, crisis residential treatment days, and crisis-related service indicators. Phase I measures were designed to establish statewide baseline conditions and identify populations experiencing higher reliance on institutional settings or prolonged stays. In alignment with that planning intent, San Bernardino County is using these baseline comparisons to inform targeted system improvement efforts rather than as fixed performance targets. While these measures highlight utilization disparities and system flow pressures, Phase II measures are anticipated to introduce additional outcome-focused metrics related to reduced length of stay, improved step-down transitions, sustained community placement, and strengthened diversion effectiveness. Although the data does not independently capture all clinical or structural drivers of institutionalization, the observed variation and elevated overall utilization underscore the importance of strengthening community-based alternatives, improving care coordination across levels of care, enhancing discharge planning, and addressing systemic barriers that contribute to extended institutional stays.

Institutionalization: Cross-Measure Questions

What additional local data do you have on the current status of institutionalization in your county? (Example: utilization of Mental Health Rehabilitation Center or Skilled Nursing Facility-Special Treatment Programs)

San Bernardino County does not maintain a single comprehensive local dataset that independently captures institutionalization across all settings beyond the California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) reported measures. However, the Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) routinely monitors multiple local operational indicators to understand institutional utilization patterns and system flow. The County's institutionalization strategies are grounded in Phase I measures, including inpatient administrative days per beneficiary and crisis residential utilization rates, which were used to identify baseline performance gaps and demographic variation. These measures informed prioritization of diversion initiatives, enhanced discharge coordination, and expansion of community-based services. While Phase I establishes comparative utilization patterns, Phase II measures are expected to introduce additional outcome-based indicators that will support the establishment of measurable improvement targets tied to reduced administrative days, shorter crisis residential stays where clinically appropriate, and improved transition to lower levels of care. In anticipation of Phase II implementation, DBH is strengthening structured review of local operational data, including inpatient discharge delay tracking, crisis stabilization length-of-stay monitoring, placement coordination timelines for Mental Health Rehabilitation Centers (MHRCs) and Skilled Nursing Facility–Special Treatment Programs (SNF-STPs), and diversion program utilization. These data are reviewed collaboratively with hospitals, long-term care providers, courts, housing partners, and Managed Care Plans to identify barriers to timely step-down and to build infrastructure for measurable performance improvement once Phase II benchmarks are finalized. These operational monitoring processes provide real-time insight into system pressures and support proactive identification of placement bottlenecks, service capacity gaps, and coordination barriers that contribute to prolonged institutional stays.

File Upload

Please describe what programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is planning to strengthen or implement beginning July 1, 2026 that may reduce your county's rate of institutionalization. In your response, please describe how you plan to address measures where your status is below the statewide average or median, within the context of local needs. Additionally, please refer to any data that was used to inform new programs, services, partnerships or initiatives the count is implementing (e.g., enhancing crisis response services targeting a sub-population in which data demonstrates they have poorer outcomes)

Beginning July 1, 2026, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will strengthen and expand strategies aimed at reducing institutionalization by improving diversion from inpatient settings, decreasing lengths of stay where clinically appropriate, and increasing access to timely, community-based services and housing-linked supports. These efforts directly respond to California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) measures indicating higher-than-statewide utilization of inpatient administrative days and crisis residential treatment services among specific adult populations. The County's strategies are informed by Phase I utilization data, which identified demographic variation among adults ages 33–44, females, males, and select racial and ethnic populations experiencing elevated reliance on institutional levels of care. While Phase I measures provide baseline utilization patterns, Phase II measures are anticipated to support more clearly defined, outcome-focused improvement targets related to length-of-stay reduction, successful diversion, and sustained community integration. To reduce reliance on inpatient hospitalization and extended institutional stays, DBH will continue enhancing its crisis continuum, including 24/7/365 mobile crisis response services, Crisis Walk-In Centers, Crisis Stabilization Units, and Crisis Residential Treatment programs as clinically appropriate alternatives to inpatient admission. Data indicating elevated crisis residential utilization among specific adult populations are guiding efforts to strengthen discharge planning, improve coordination with outpatient providers, and expand step-down pathways into community-based care. To address prolonged inpatient administrative days, DBH will focus on improving transitions from acute care to less restrictive settings by strengthening coordination with hospitals, long-term care providers, housing partners, and Managed Care Plans. Efforts include improving placement pathways to MHRCs, SNF-STPs, and community-based residential options, as well as expanding supportive housing and intensive outpatient services for individuals with complex needs. These strategies directly align with Phase I data showing delays related to placement availability and service linkage. DBH will also continue implementing and expanding diversion-focused programs designed to reduce involuntary detention, conservatorship, and justice-related pathways into institutional care. Programs such as CARE Act implementation, Recovery-Based Engagement Support Teams (RBEST), Mental Health Court, and Forensic Assertive Community Treatment (FACT) provide intensive, community-based engagement and treatment to individuals with serious mental illness who might otherwise experience repeated hospitalization or custodial placement. In preparation for Phase II measurable targets, DBH is reviewing local indicators such as discharge-to-community timelines, diversion program enrollment rates, crisis stabilization outcomes, and placement transition tracking. These structured reviews, conducted in

collaboration with cross-system partners, will support the establishment of quantifiable benchmarks once statewide Phase II metrics are operationalized. Workforce development, culturally responsive service delivery, and strengthened cross-system collaboration with hospitals, courts, long-term care providers, housing systems, and Managed Care Plans will further support timely transitions and sustained community placement. Collectively, these strategies are intended to reduce reliance on institutional settings, shorten lengths of stay where clinically appropriate, and improve equitable access to community-based alternatives. As Phase II measures become available, DBH will formalize measurable improvement targets aligned with statewide benchmarks and integrate ongoing local monitoring processes to support continuous quality improvement and accountability.

File Upload

Please identify the category or categories of funding that the county is using to address the institutionalization goal

BHSA BHSS
BHSA FSP
BHSA Housing Interventions
1991 Realignment
2011 Realignment
State General Fund
Federal Financial Participation (SMHS, DMC/DMC-ODS)
SAMHSA PATH
MHBG
SUBG

Justice-Involvement: Primary Measures

Arrests: Adult and Juvenile Rates (Department of Justice), Statistical Year 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For adults/older adults

Above

For juveniles

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

Age

Gender

Race or Ethnicity

Justice-Involvement: Supplemental Measures

Adult Recidivism Conviction Rate (California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR)), FY 2019 - 2020

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

Above

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Incompetent to Stand Trial (IST) Count (Department of State Hospitals(DSH)), FY 2023

Note: The IST count includes all programs funded by DSH, including, state hospital, Jail Based Competency Treatment (JBCT), waitlist, community inpatient facilities, conditional release, community-based restoration and diversion programs. However, this count excludes county-funded programs. As such, individuals with Felony IST designations who are court-ordered to county-funded programs are not included in this count.

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Justice-Involvement: Disparities Analysis

For any disparities observed, please provide a written summary of your findings, including the measures and population groups experiencing disparities and a description of the

data that supported your analysis

Review of California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) justice involvement measures indicates disparities across age, race, ethnicity, and gender, based on analysis of adult arrest rates, adult recidivism conviction rates, youth arrest indicators, and Incompetent to Stand Trial (IST) measures. According to the DHCS justice involvement reporting framework, San Bernardino County's adult arrest rates and adult recidivism conviction rates are higher than the statewide median, indicating comparatively elevated levels of justice system contact among adults relative to statewide performance. In contrast, youth arrest indicators and IST measures perform more favorably when compared to statewide benchmarks. Demographic stratification of arrest and recidivism data shows higher rates of justice involvement among adults ages 18–39, particularly males, with disproportionate representation among African American, Hispanic/Latino, and Caucasian populations. Supplemental recidivism conviction data indicate elevated rates among adults ages 20–39, with Latino males particularly impacted. While disparity data are limited for certain youth measures, available information suggests that adult populations, especially young to middle-aged adults, experience higher rates of justice contact and repeat involvement. This analysis was informed by DHCS-referenced Phase I justice involvement measures, including arrest and recidivism indicators. Phase I measures were designed to establish statewide baseline conditions and identify patterns of justice system contact among individuals with behavioral health needs. In alignment with the planning intent of Phase I reporting, these baseline comparisons were used to identify demographic disparities and inform prioritization of diversion, treatment, and reentry-focused system improvement strategies within the Integrated Plan.

Justice-Involvement: Cross-Measure Questions

Please describe what programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is planning to strengthen or implement beginning July 1, 2026 that may reduce your county's level of justice-involvement for those living with significant behavioral health needs. In your response, please describe how you plan to address measures where your status is below the statewide average or median, within the context of local needs. Additionally, please refer to any data that was used to inform new programs, services, partnerships or initiatives the count is implementing (e.g., developing an intervention targeting a sub-population in which data demonstrates they have poorer outcomes)

Beginning July 1, 2026, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will strengthen and expand justice-involved behavioral health strategies aimed at reducing arrests, lowering recidivism, and decreasing reliance on custodial settings for individuals with mental health and substance use needs. These efforts respond directly to Phase I data showing adult arrest and recidivism rates above statewide averages and demographic patterns indicating disproportionate justice involvement among young and middle-aged adults, particularly males and select racial and ethnic populations. The County's approach is grounded in Phase I justice involvement measures, which were used to identify baseline disparities and

guide the expansion of diversion programming, forensic behavioral health services, and reentry coordination efforts. While Phase I establishes comparative performance gaps, Phase II measures are expected to introduce additional outcome-focused metrics that will support the establishment of measurable improvement targets related to reduced justice contact, improved treatment engagement during supervision, and sustained behavioral health stability following reentry. In anticipation of Phase II implementation, DBH is strengthening structured data review and cross-system collaboration with the Sheriff's Department, Probation, the Superior Court, Managed Care Plans, and community-based organizations. Local operational indicators, including diversion program enrollment, treatment engagement during probation, court compliance support utilization, reentry linkage timelines, and retention in community-based services, are being reviewed collaboratively to build infrastructure for measurable target setting once statewide Phase II benchmarks are finalized. DBH will continue to enhance diversion-focused and forensic behavioral health services that provide treatment-centered alternatives to incarceration. Programs such as Adult Forensic Services, Mental Health Court, and Forensic Assertive Community Treatment (FACT) deliver coordinated treatment, case management, and court-based support for adults with serious mental illness who are on probation or participating in specialty court programs. These services are designed to address underlying behavioral health needs identified through Phase I arrest and recidivism data and to reduce repeat justice contact. DBH's Clubhouse programs further support justice-involved individuals by providing recovery-oriented engagement in partnership with Probation and community organizations. Through collaboration on initiatives such as shelter court sessions, community wellness events, and the Multidimensional Anti-Recidivism Partnership (MAP), Clubhouses support compliance, vocational development, and connection to social services. Transportation assistance, peer support, and on-site probation check-ins reduce logistical barriers that can contribute to technical violations and support sustained engagement in behavioral health care. For youth, DBH will continue collaboration with juvenile justice partners through programs such as the Forensic Adolescent Services Team (FAST) and Integrated New Family Opportunities (INFO), which provide therapy, supervision, and family-centered supports for detained and recently released youth. Although youth arrest rates perform more favorably compared to statewide benchmarks, these services support early intervention and prevention of deeper justice system involvement. Additionally, DBH will expand coordination with Community Assistance, Recovery, and Empowerment Act (CARE) Act implementation and Recovery-Based Engagement Support Teams (RBEST) to provide voluntary engagement and civil pathways for individuals with untreated serious mental illness who are at risk of justice system involvement. These programs strengthen early identification and diversion before behavioral health crises escalate into arrest or incarceration. Collectively, these strategies are intended to reduce arrests, decrease recidivism, and improve treatment continuity for justice-involved individuals, particularly among populations disproportionately represented in Phase I data. As Phase II measures become operationalized, DBH will establish measurable improvement targets aligned with statewide justice and behavioral health priorities and integrate ongoing cross-system monitoring to support sustained performance improvement and accountability.

File Upload

Please identify the category or categories of funding that the county is nusing to address the justice-involvement goal

BHSA BHSS

BHSA FSP

BHSA Housing Interventions

1991 Realignment

2011 Realignment

State General Fund

Federal Financial Participation (SMHS, DMC/DMC-ODS)

SAMHSA PATH

MHBG

SUBG

Removal Of Children from Home: Primary Measures

Children in Foster Care (Child Welfare Indicators Project (CWIP)), as of January 2025

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate?

Not Applicable

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Removal Of Children from Home: Supplemental Measures

Open Child Welfare Cases SMHS Penetration Rates (DHCS), 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate?

Above

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

Race or Ethnicity

Age

Child Maltreatment Substantiations (CWIP), 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate?

Above

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

Age

Race or Ethnicity

Gender

Removal Of Children from Home: Disparities Analysis

For any disparities observed, please provide a written summary of your findings, including the measures and population groups experiencing disparities and a description of the data that supported your analysis

Review of child welfare and behavioral health measures indicates disparities in the removal of children from the home across age, race and ethnicity, gender, and developmental stage. This analysis is based on data from the California Child Welfare Indicators Project (CCWIP) and California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) penetration measures. San Bernardino County shows higher rates of children in foster care across multiple age groups, with disproportionate representation among African American, Latino, Native American, and Caucasian children. CCWIP indicators demonstrate elevated rates of foster care entry among infants and young children under age five, as well as among school-aged children and transition-age youth. Supplemental maltreatment substantiation data indicate higher incidence rates among infants and toddlers, particularly among African American and Native American children, with females disproportionately represented in substantiated cases. Behavioral health-specific data further show variation in SMHS penetration rates among children and youth with open child welfare cases, particularly among children ages 6–17 and among African American and Caucasian populations. These findings suggest that behavioral health needs among children and caregivers may not always be identified or addressed early enough to prevent escalation to child welfare involvement or placement instability. This analysis was informed by DHCS-referenced Phase I measures, including CCWIP indicators related to entry into foster care, placement type, length of stay, and maltreatment substantiations, as well as SMHS penetration data for children with child welfare involvement. Phase I measures establish baseline disparity patterns and were used to guide prioritization of prevention, early intervention, and cross-system coordination strategies within the Integrated Plan. While Phase I data identifies demographic variation and service access gaps, Phase II measures are anticipated to introduce additional outcome-focused indicators related to prevention effectiveness, timely service engagement, placement stability, and successful reunification. Although DBH does not determine child removal decisions, the observed disparities underscore the importance of strengthening behavioral health

prevention, caregiver engagement, and coordinated service delivery to reduce risk factors associated with removal and improve family stability outcomes.

Removal Of Children from Home: Cross-Measure Questions

Please describe what programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is planning to strengthen or implement beginning July 1, 2026 that may increase your county’s level of access to care. In your response, please describe how you plan to address measures where your status is below the statewide average or median, within the context of local needs. Additionally, please refer to any data that was used to inform new programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is implementing (e.g., developing an intervention targeting a sub-population in which data demonstrates they have poorer outcomes)

Beginning July 1, 2026, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will strengthen and align behavioral health strategies that support child and family stability and reduce behavioral health–related risk factors associated with removal from the home. These efforts directly respond to Phase I data identifying disparities in foster care entry, maltreatment substantiation, and behavioral health service engagement among infants, young children, and racial and ethnic populations disproportionately represented in child welfare involvement. The County’s approach is grounded in Phase I child welfare and behavioral health measures, which were used to identify baseline disparities and inform the expansion of prevention and early intervention strategies, caregiver-focused treatment pathways, and cross-system coordination with Children and Family Services (CFS). While Phase I measures establish patterns of foster care entry and behavioral health penetration, Phase II measures are expected to introduce additional outcome-based metrics to support the development of measurable improvement targets for timely service access, prevention effectiveness, placement stability, and reunification support. In anticipation of Phase II implementation, DBH is strengthening structured data-sharing and case coordination processes with CFS, Managed Care Plans, Public Health, and educational partners to monitor referral-to-service timelines, caregiver engagement rates, and behavioral health penetration among families at risk of removal. Local operational indicators, including early intervention referrals, parent participation in behavioral health treatment, coordinated care planning activities, and service continuity during placement, are being reviewed collaboratively to build infrastructure for measurable goal-setting once statewide Phase II benchmarks are finalized. DBH will focus on expanding prevention and early intervention services for children, youth, and caregivers, particularly during the prenatal period and early childhood, when Phase I data indicate elevated risk of maltreatment and removal. Through collaboration with CFS and community-based organizations, DBH will strengthen referral pathways for mental health and substance use services for parents and caregivers involved with or at risk of child welfare involvement. These efforts prioritize timely access to treatment addressing parental behavioral health conditions, parenting stress, trauma exposure, and substance use disorders—factors that contribute to removal risk. To address disparities among school-aged children and youth with open child welfare cases, DBH will continue

strengthening access to Specialty Mental Health Services through school-linked services, outpatient treatment, and coordinated care planning with CFS and educational partners. Targeted engagement strategies will focus on improving continuity of care and culturally responsive service delivery for families disproportionately represented in Phase I foster care and substantiation data. DBH will also enhance cross-system coordination to support placement stability and timely reunification when removal occurs. This includes improved information sharing and collaborative case planning with CFS, Probation, Managed Care Plans, and community providers to ensure children and caregivers receive appropriate behavioral health supports during investigation, placement, and reunification phases. Partnerships with Tribal programs and Native American–serving organizations will be strengthened to address disparities among Native American children and families and to support culturally responsive prevention and engagement strategies. Collectively, these strategies are intended to reduce behavioral health–related risk factors associated with child removal, improve timely access to prevention and treatment services, and strengthen family stability outcomes. As Phase II measures become operationalized, DBH will establish measurable improvement targets aligned with statewide child welfare and behavioral health priorities and integrate ongoing cross-system monitoring processes to support sustained performance improvement and accountability.

File Upload

Please identify the category or categories of funding that the county is using to address the removal of children from home goal

BHSA BHSS

BHSA FSP

BHSA Housing Interventions

1991 Realignment

2011 Realignment

State General Fund

Federal Financial Participation (SMHS, DMC/DMC-ODS)

SAMHSA PATH

MHBG

SUBG

Untreated Behavioral Health Conditions: Primary Measures

Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visits for Substance Use (FUA-30), 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For the full population measured

Below

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visits for Mental Illness (FUM-30), 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For the full population measured

Above

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Untreated Behavioral Health Conditions: Supplemental Measures

Adults that needed help for emotional/mental health problems or use of alcohol/drugs who had no visits for mental/drug/alcohol issues in past year(CHIS), 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate?

For the full population measured

Above

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or special populations?

No Disparities Data Available

Untreated Behavioral Health Conditions: Disparities Analysis

For any disparities observed, please provide a written summary of your findings, including the measures and population groups experiencing disparities and a description of the data that supported your analysis

Review of California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) Untreated Behavioral Health Conditions measures identified disparities across select age, race, and ethnicity, and gender groups, based on

demographic stratification of service utilization and outcome data. While Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (FUM-30) exceeded the statewide rate, San Bernardino County performed below the statewide average for Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Substance Use (FUA-30) and for the supplemental measure capturing adults who reported needing help for emotional or mental health conditions or substance use but had no related visits in the past year. Stratified data for the supplemental unmet-need measure showed higher rates of untreated behavioral health conditions among adults aged 65 and older, young adults aged 18–24, Asian and Latino populations, and females. These findings suggest variation in engagement and continuity of care following identification of a behavioral health need, particularly outside emergency or acute settings. This analysis was informed by DHCS Phase I measures, including FUA-30, FUM-30, and the unmet-need indicator, which establish baseline conditions and identify demographic disparities in follow-up and service engagement. Phase I measures were used to guide prioritization of outreach, engagement, and follow-up strategies within the Integrated Plan. While these measures highlight current performance gaps, Phase II measures are anticipated to introduce additional outcome-focused metrics to support the development of more specific, measurable improvement targets for timely follow-up, treatment initiation, and reduction of untreated behavioral health conditions across priority populations. Although the data does not independently identify underlying drivers of unmet need, the observed variation across age, race, ethnicity, and gender underscores the importance of strengthening continuity of care, proactive outreach, and culturally responsive engagement strategies to reduce untreated conditions and improve timely access to services.

Untreated Behavioral Health Conditions: Cross-Measure Questions

Please describe what programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is planning to strengthen or implement beginning July 1, 2026 that may reduce your county’s level of untreated behavioral health conditions. In your response, please describe how you plan to address measures where your status is below the statewide average or median, within the context of local needs. Additionally, please refer to any data that was used to inform new programs, services, partnerships or initiatives the count is implementing (e.g., developing an intervention targeting a sub-population in which data demonstrates they have poorer outcomes)

Beginning July 1, 2026, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will strengthen and expand strategies aimed at reducing untreated behavioral health conditions by improving engagement following crisis encounters, increasing continuity of care after emergency department visits, and expanding prevention-oriented and community-based service pathways for populations with higher unmet needs. These efforts directly respond to DHCS measures where the County performs below the statewide average, including Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Substance Use (FUA-30) and population-level indicators of untreated mental health and substance use conditions. The County’s approach is grounded in Phase I measures, which were used to identify disparities in follow-up engagement and unmet need among older adults, young adults, and select racial and ethnic populations. While Phase I establishes baseline

performance and highlights areas of disparity, Phase II measures are expected to introduce additional outcome-based metrics that will enable the County to establish clearer, measurable improvement targets linked to follow-up timeliness, treatment initiation, and the reduction of untreated behavioral health conditions. In anticipation of Phase II implementation, DBH is strengthening structured data-sharing and monitoring processes with Managed Care Plans, including Inland Empire Health Plan (IEHP), and hospital partners to track near-real-time follow-up after emergency department visits. Through participation in the Behavioral Health Collaborative led by the Institute for Healthcare Improvement in partnership with the California Department of Health Care Services, DBH is working to improve performance on both FUA-30 and FUM-30 measures. Staff within the Substance Use Disorder and Recovery Services (SUDRS) Access Line and the Screening, Assessment, and Referral Center (SARC) receive near-real-time data identifying individuals who experienced a substance use-related emergency department visit, enabling timely outreach and proactive linkage to treatment. To support measurable performance improvement, DBH is reviewing local operational indicators, including outreach completion rates, referral-to-assessment timelines, treatment initiation tracking, and engagement retention following emergency department visits. These local data points are being reviewed collaboratively with Managed Care Plans and service providers to build infrastructure for measurable goal-setting once Phase II benchmarks are finalized. To address capacity-related barriers contributing to untreated substance use conditions, DBH will expand the SUDRS network of care over the three-year Integrated Plan period, including increased adult and adolescent outpatient and residential treatment capacity to reduce delays in access to Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS) services. These strategies directly align with disparities identified through FUA-30 and unmet-need measures by improving timely entry into treatment following identification of substance use conditions. DBH will also increase outreach and engagement for populations experiencing higher levels of untreated behavioral health conditions, including individuals in rural and geographically isolated areas of the county. Targeted outreach campaigns, deployment of the Mobile Outreach vehicle, and telehealth services, where clinically appropriate, will reduce geographic and transportation barriers to care. Additionally, DBH will expand the scope of work for its Promotores de Salud/Community Health Workers Program to include targeted outreach to individuals identified through data as experiencing higher unmet need. Promotores and Community Health Workers will provide culturally responsive education, navigation, and linkage support for populations demonstrating lower follow-up engagement or reduced access to care. Complementing these efforts, the County's Office of Suicide Prevention and population-based behavioral health initiatives will continue to promote early identification, reduce stigma, and increase community awareness of available treatment pathways before conditions escalate into crisis or prolonged untreated states. Collectively, these strategies are intended to improve follow-up after emergency department visits, reduce unmet need, and strengthen continuity of care across the behavioral health continuum. As Phase II measures become operationalized, DBH will establish measurable improvement targets aligned with statewide benchmarks and integrate ongoing local data monitoring to support sustained performance improvement and accountability.

File Upload

Please identify the category or categories of funding that the county is using to address the untreated behavioral health conditions goal

BHSA BHSS

BHSA FSP

BHSA Housing Interventions

1991 Realignment

2011 Realignment

State General Fund

Federal Financial Participation (SMHS, DMC/DMC-ODS)

SAMHSA PATH

MHBG

SUBG

Additional statewide behavioral health goals for improvement

Please review your county's status on the remaining eight statewide behavioral health goals using the primary measure(s) to compare your county to the statewide status and review the supplemental measure(s) for additional insights in the County Performance Workbook. These measures should inform the overall strategy and where relevant, be incorporated into the planning around the six priority goals.

In the next section, the county will select AT LEAST one goal from below for which your county is performing below the statewide rate/average on the primary measure(s) to improve on as a priority for the county.

For related policy information, refer to [E.6.2 Primary and Supplemental Measures](#).

Care Experience: Primary Measures

Perception of Cultural Appropriateness/Quality Domain Score (Consumer Perception Survey (CPS)), 2024

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For adults/older adults

Above

For children/youth

Below

Quality Domain Score (Treatment Perception Survey (TPS)), 2024

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For adults/older adults

Above

For children/youth

Below

Engagement In School: Primary Measures

Twelfth Graders who Graduated High School on Time (Kids Count), 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

Same

Engagement In School: Supplemental Measures

Meaningful Participation at School (California Health Kids Survey (CHKS)), 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

Not Applicable

Student Chronic Absenteeism Rate (Data Quest), 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

Above

Engagement In Work: Primary Measures

Unemployment Rate (California Employment Development Department (CA EDD)), 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

Below

Engagement In Work: Supplemental Measures

Unable to Work Due to Mental Problems (California Health Interview Survey (CHIS)), 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

Above

Overdoses: Primary Measures

All Drug-Related Overdose Deaths (California Department of Public Health (CDPH)), 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For the full population measured

Below

For adults/older adults

Not Applicable

For children/youth

Not Applicable

Overdoses: Supplemental Measures

All-Drug Related Overdose Emergency Department Visits (CDPH), 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For the full population measured

Below

For adults/older adults

Not Applicable

For children/youth

Not Applicable

**Prevention And Treatment of Co-Occurring Physical Health Conditions:
Primary Measures**

**Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Service & Child and Adolescent Well-Care
Visits (DHCS), 2022**

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For adults (specific to Adults' Access to Preventive/Ambulatory Health Service)

Above

For children/youth (specific to Child and Adolescent Well-Care Visits)

Same

**Prevention And Treatment of Co-Occurring Physical Health Conditions:
Supplemental Measures**

**Diabetes Screening for People with Schizophrenia or Bipolar Disorder Who Are Using
Antipsychotic Medications & Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics:
Blood Glucose and Cholesterol Testing (DHCS), 2022**

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For adults/older adults (specific to Diabetes Screening for People with Schizophrenia or Bipolar Disorder Who Are Using Antipsychotic Medications)

Below

For children/youth (specific to Metabolic Monitoring for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics: Blood Glucose and Cholesterol Testing)

Below

Quality Of Life: Primary Measures

Perception of Functioning Domain Score (CPS), 2024

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For the full population measured

Above

For adults/older adults

Above

For children/youth

Above

Quality Of Life: Supplemental Measures

Poor Mental Health Days Reported (Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)), 2024

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For the full population measured

Above

Social Connection: Primary Measures

Perception of Social Connectedness Domain Score (CPS), 2024

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For the full population measured

Not Applicable

For adults/older adults

Same

For children/youth

Above

Social Connection: Supplemental Measures

Caring Adult Relationships at School (CHKS), 2023

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

Not Applicable

Suicides: Primary Measures

Suicide Deaths, 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For the full population measured

Above

Suicides: Supplemental Measures

Non-Fatal Emergency Department Visits Due to Self-Harm, 2022

How does your county status compare to the statewide rate/average?

For the full population measured

Below

For adults/older adults

Not Applicable

For children/youth

Not Applicable

County-selected statewide population behavioral health goals

For related policy information, refer to [3.E.6 Statewide Behavioral Health Goals](#).

Based on your county's performance or inequities identified, select at least one additional goal to improve on as a priority for the county for which your county is performing below the statewide rate/average on the primary measure(s). For each county-selected goal, provide the information requested below.

Suicides

Overdoses

Overdoses

Please describe why this goal was selected

San Bernardino County selected overdose reduction as an additional priority goal based on local and statewide overdose trends, demographic disparities, and stakeholder input gathered through the Integrated Plan development process. Phase I data indicate that the County's rate of all drug-related overdose deaths (26.9 per 100,000) is slightly below the statewide rate of 28.8 per 100,000. Similarly, the County's rate of overdose-related emergency department (ED) visits (127.3 per 100,000) performs more favorably compared to the statewide rate of 143.8 per 100,000. While these comparative rates reflect performance below the statewide average, demographic stratification reveals concentrated disparities among specific populations that warrant focused intervention. Age-specific data demonstrate elevated overdose mortality among adults ages 25–69, with particular concentration among individuals ages 30–59.

Racial and ethnic disparities are evident, with African American and Caucasian populations disproportionately represented. Males are consistently overrepresented in both overdose deaths and ED visits. Although overall county rates are comparatively favorable, the presence of concentrated disparities, particularly among adult males ages 25–69 in select racial and ethnic groups, supports the County’s decision to prioritize overdose prevention within the Integrated Plan. Phase II measures are anticipated to introduce additional outcome-focused indicators related to treatment initiation following overdose, continuity of medication-assisted treatment (MAT), and reduction of repeat overdose events, which will further guide measurable improvement targets.

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or priority populations among the Additional Statewide Behavioral Health Goals? For any disparities observed, please provide a written summary of your findings, including the measures and population groups experiencing disparities and a description of the data that supported your analysis

Analysis of overdose-related measures identified disparities across age, race and ethnicity, gender, and geography. For all drug-related overdose deaths, elevated rates were observed among adults ages 25–69, particularly within the 30–59 age range. African American and Caucasian populations were disproportionately represented, and males experienced significantly higher mortality rates compared to females. For overdose-related emergency department (ED) visits, elevated rates were observed among young adults and middle-aged adults, including ages 15–44, with notable variation among males and among Caucasian and African American populations. ED visit patterns suggest ongoing risk among both youth and working-age adults, highlighting the need for both prevention and post-overdose engagement strategies. Geographic analysis conducted in partnership with the San Bernardino County Department of Public Health identified clusters of elevated overdose activity within rural desert and mountain regions. Data derived from Reddinet infrastructure and reviewed through the Inland Empire Opioid Crisis Coalition (IEOCC) revealed that communities with limited access to emergency services and treatment providers experienced higher overdose burden relative to surrounding areas. These findings underscore the role of geographic isolation, transportation barriers, and limited healthcare access as structural contributors to overdose risk. Phase I data establish baseline mortality and ED utilization patterns and identify demographic and geographic disparities. While these measures reflect outcome trends, Phase II metrics are anticipated to introduce additional indicators related to follow-up care after overdose events, initiation and retention in medication-assisted treatment, and repeat overdose reduction.

Please describe what programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is planning to strengthen or implement beginning July 1, 2026 that may improve your county’s level of Overdoses and refer to any data that was used to make this decision (e.g., developing an intervention targeting a sub-population in which data demonstrates they have poorer outcomes)

Beginning July 1, 2026, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will strengthen and expand a comprehensive overdose prevention and response strategy focused on harm reduction, targeted outreach, treatment expansion, and cross-system coordination. These efforts directly respond to

Phase I data identifying elevated overdose risk among adult males ages 25–69, African American and Caucasian populations, and residents of rural desert and mountain communities. The County’s approach is grounded in Phase I overdose mortality and emergency department (ED) visit measures, which were used to identify demographic and geographic disparities and guide prioritization of targeted interventions. While Phase I establishes baseline patterns, Phase II measures are expected to support measurable improvement targets related to post-overdose follow-up, medication-assisted treatment (MAT) initiation and retention, and reduction of repeat overdose events. To address disparities among adult males ages 25–69, DBH will implement a targeted outreach and media campaign focused on opioid overdose risk awareness, stigma reduction, and connection to treatment and harm reduction resources. Messaging will be tailored to populations disproportionately represented in mortality data and will leverage partnerships with recovery communities, faith-based organizations, and local cities. To address geographic disparities in rural desert and mountain communities, DBH has procured high-dose (8 mg) intranasal naloxone in addition to the standard 4 mg dose distributed through the Naloxone Distribution Project. Distribution prioritizes communities with delayed emergency response times or limited access to acute medical services. Naloxone distribution will be paired with education, overdose recognition training, and linkage-to-treatment materials. DBH is currently recruiting for a Behavioral Health Physician II to provide direct field-based services aboard the Mobile Wellness Outreach Unit. This expansion of medical capacity will strengthen medication-assisted treatment access, provide low-barrier buprenorphine initiation in the field, and improve linkage for unhoused individuals and rural residents with opioid use disorder. This strategy directly responds to the geographic clustering of overdose events identified through Inland Empire Opioid Crisis Coalition (IEOCC) and Public Health data review. DBH will continue expanding access to medication-assisted treatment and opioid treatment programs (OTPs), increasing enrollment capacity and strengthening retention supports for individuals with opioid use disorder. Local operational indicators, including medication-assisted treatment (MAT) initiation rates, referral-to-treatment timelines following overdose events, and engagement retention, will be monitored collaboratively with Managed Care Plans and providers in preparation for Phase II measurable benchmarks. Partnerships remain central to the County’s overdose strategy. DBH collaborates closely with the Department of Public Health in the development of the Overdose Prevention Dashboard (ODASH), which supports real-time monitoring of overdose trends. The Inland Empire Opioid Crisis Coalition, comprised of over 100 community-based organizations, supports cross-sector coordination and data review. Additional partners include the San Bernardino County Sheriff’s Department, the Inland Counties Emergency Medical Agency (ICEMA), contracted treatment providers, and emergency response agencies. To strengthen data-to-field alignment, DBH will support inclusion of field-based service providers within the IEOCC Data Committee to enhance real-time coordination between overdose trend analysis and outreach deployment. Strengthened engagement with faith-based organizations, recovery communities, and local municipalities will further enhance prevention reach. Collectively, these strategies are intended to reduce overdose mortality, decrease overdose-related ED visits, improve equitable access to treatment, and address geographic and demographic disparities identified in Phase I data. As Phase II measures are operationalized, DBH will establish measurable improvement targets aligned with statewide overdose-reduction priorities and integrate ongoing local monitoring processes to support sustained performance improvement and

accountability.

Please identify the category or categories of funding that the county is using to address this goal

2011 Realignment

Federal Financial Participation (SMHS, DMC/DMC-ODS)

SUBG

Other

Please describe other

Opioid Settlement Funding

Suicides

Please describe why this goal was selected

San Bernardino County selected suicide as an additional priority goal due to its significant public health impact, local data trends, and input gathered through a structured internal and external community program planning process. As part of Integrated Plan development, the County engaged internal behavioral health partners and community stakeholders to review statewide behavioral health goals, examine local and statewide data, and identify priority areas for focused improvement. Participants were provided with an overview of statewide goals, Phase I baseline measures, and locally stratified suicide-related data. Through this process, suicide emerged as the top additional priority based on both quantitative indicators and stakeholder concern regarding suicide mortality and non-fatal self-harm trends within the County. Phase I data from the California Comprehensive Death File indicate that San Bernardino County's suicide death rate of 11.2 per 100,000 slightly exceeds the statewide rate of 11.0 per 100,000. Emergency department data further demonstrate elevated rates of non-fatal self-harm among youth and young adults. These measures establish baseline performance and demographic variation and informed the County's decision to prioritize suicide prevention strategies within the Integrated Plan. While Phase I measures provide comparative baseline rates of suicide mortality and self-harm, Phase II measures are anticipated to introduce additional outcome-focused metrics related to follow-up after crisis encounters, treatment engagement following self-harm events, and reduction of repeat attempts. The County's selection of suicide as a priority goal reflects both current performance patterns and a commitment to strengthening measurable prevention and follow-up outcomes as Phase II metrics become operationalized.

What disparities did you identify across demographic groups or priority populations among the Additional Statewide Behavioral Health Goals? For any disparities observed, please provide a written summary of your findings, including the measures and population groups experiencing disparities and a description of the data that supported your analysis

Analysis of suicide-related measures identified disparities across age, race and ethnicity, and gender, based on review of suicide deaths and non-fatal emergency department (ED) visits due to self-harm. Suicide death rates were highest among individuals ages 25–44, 45–64, and 65–84, with Caucasian males disproportionately represented across these age groups. These findings indicate elevated risk for suicide mortality among middle-aged and older adult males. In contrast, non-fatal ED visits for self-harm were most prevalent among youth and young adults ages 10–14, 15–19, 20–24, and 25–44. Within these age groups, females were disproportionately represented, with higher rates observed among Caucasian and African American populations. This divergence between suicide deaths and self-harm injuries highlights differing risk profiles across demographic groups and underscores the importance of age- and gender-responsive prevention and intervention strategies. This analysis was informed by DHCS-referenced Phase I measures, including suicide mortality data from the California Comprehensive Death File and ED visit data for non-fatal self-harm. Phase I measures establish baseline patterns of mortality and injury and were used to guide prioritization of targeted prevention, outreach, crisis response, and follow-up strategies within the Integrated Plan. While Phase I data identify elevated-risk populations and comparative performance relative to statewide benchmarks, Phase II measures are anticipated to introduce additional outcome-oriented indicators related to follow-up timeliness, linkage to ongoing care after self-harm events, and reduction of repeat crisis episodes. Although the measures reflect different points along the suicide prevention continuum, together they provide a comprehensive baseline for identifying disparities and strengthening coordinated prevention, early intervention, and post-crisis engagement efforts.

Please describe what programs, services, partnerships, or initiatives the county is planning to strengthen or implement beginning July 1, 2026 that may improve your county’s level of Suicides and refer to any data that was used to make this decision (e.g., developing an intervention targeting a sub-population in which data demonstrates they have poorer outcomes)

Beginning July 1, 2026, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will continue to strengthen a comprehensive, data-informed suicide prevention strategy that addresses both suicide mortality and non-fatal self-harm. These efforts are coordinated through the County’s Office of Suicide Prevention (OSP) and directly respond to Phase I data showing elevated suicide mortality among middle-aged and older adult males and higher rates of self-harm among youth and young adult females. The County’s suicide prevention approach is grounded in Phase I measures, which were used to identify demographic disparities and guide prioritization of community training, targeted outreach, crisis response coordination, and follow-up engagement strategies. While Phase I measures establish baseline mortality and injury rates, Phase II measures are expected to introduce additional outcome-based metrics that will support the establishment of measurable improvement targets related to follow-up continuity, linkage to outpatient services after crisis encounters, and reduction of repeat self-harm events. In anticipation of

Phase II implementation, DBH is strengthening structured data-sharing and monitoring processes with emergency departments and Managed Care Plans, including Inland Empire Health Plan. Through timely notification of non-fatal self-harm emergency department (ED) visits, DBH supports rapid outreach, care coordination, and linkage to ongoing treatment services. Local operational indicators, including outreach completion rates following ED visits, referral-to-treatment timelines, engagement retention in outpatient services, and geographic distribution of training deployment, are being reviewed collaboratively to build infrastructure for measurable target setting once statewide Phase II benchmarks are finalized. DBH will expand population-based prevention strategies through OSP, including countywide public awareness campaigns, community training initiatives, and data monitoring. Free safeTALK and applied suicide intervention skills training (ASIST) trainings will continue to be offered to schools, law enforcement, faith-based organizations, healthcare providers, and community partners, with deployment prioritized in geographic areas and populations identified through Phase I suicide and self-harm data. These strategies aim to increase early identification of suicide risk, strengthen community response capacity, and reduce stigma associated with help-seeking. To address disparities among youth and young adults, DBH will continue strengthening school-linked behavioral health services, trauma-informed supports, and referral pathways in collaboration with local education agencies and youth-serving organizations. For older adults and middle-aged males, targeted outreach will emphasize social connection, culturally responsive engagement, screening, and timely access to behavioral health care. Suicide prevention strategies will also be integrated into broader behavioral health initiatives addressing untreated conditions, substance use, and crisis response. Cross-system collaboration with Public Health, hospitals, Managed Care Plans, and community-based organizations will support coordinated prevention, postvention, and referral efforts across the continuum of care. Collectively, these strategies are intended to reduce suicide mortality, decrease non-fatal self-harm injuries, and address demographic disparities identified through Phase I data. As Phase II measures become operationalized, DBH will establish measurable improvement targets aligned with statewide suicide prevention priorities and integrate ongoing local data monitoring to support sustained performance improvement and accountability.

Please identify the category or categories of funding that the county is using to address this goal

BHSA BHSS

Community Planning Process

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don't need to finish everything at once—your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use “Return to plan” to navigate between sections and track overall progress. For more information on this section, please see [3.B Community Planning Process](#).

Stakeholder Engagement

For related policy information, refer to [3.B.1 Stakeholder involvement](#).

Please indicate the type of [engagement used to obtain input](#) on the planning process

- County outreach through social media
- Focus group discussions
- Key informant interviews with subject matter experts
- Meeting(s) with county
- Provided data to county
- Public e-mail inbox submission
- Survey participation
- Training, education, and outreach related to community planning
- Workgroups and committee meetings

Include date(s) of stakeholder engagement for each type of engagement

Type of engagement

County outreach through social media

Date

1/6/2026

Type of engagement

Focus group discussions

Date

1/27/2026

Type of engagement

Focus group discussions

Date

1/28/2026

Type of engagement

Focus group discussions

Date

2/11/2026

Type of engagement

Focus group discussions

Date

2/19/2026

Type of engagement

Focus group discussions

Date

2/25/2026

Type of engagement

Key informant interviews with subject matter experts

Date

6/6/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
1/9/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
1/13/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
1/14/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
1/15/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
1/16/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date

1/19/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

1/21/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

1/22/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

1/24/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

1/28/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

1/29/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
1/30/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
2/6/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
2/12/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
2/20/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
4/2/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date

4/17/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

5/7/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

5/15/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

6/4/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

7/2/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

7/17/2025

i

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
8/6/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
8/21/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
9/3/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
10/23/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
11/19/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date

12/2/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

12/11/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

12/13/2025

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

1/17/2026

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

1/20/2026

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

1/22/2026

Type of engagement

Meeting(s) with county

Date

1/26/2026

Type of engagement

Provided data to county

Date

1/16/2025

Type of engagement

Provided data to county

Date

2/20/2025

Type of engagement

Provided data to county

Date

4/2/2025

Type of engagement

Provided data to county

Date

4/17/2025

Type of engagement

Provided data to county

Date

5/7/2025

Type of engagement

Provided data to county

Date

5/15/2025

Type of engagement

Provided data to county

Date

6/4/2025

Type of engagement

Provided data to county

Date

7/17/2025

Type of engagement

Provided data to county

Date

8/21/2025

Type of engagement

Provided data to county

Date

9/18/2025

Type of engagement
Provided data to county

Date
10/16/2025

Type of engagement
Provided data to county

Date
10/23/2025

Type of engagement
Provided data to county

Date
11/20/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
12/18/2025

Type of engagement
Meeting(s) with county

Date
1/15/2026

Type of engagement
Public e-mail inbox submission

Date

3/26/2025

Type of engagement

Public e-mail inbox submission

Date

4/30/2025

Type of engagement

Public e-mail inbox submission

Date

7/30/2025

Type of engagement

Public e-mail inbox submission

Date

9/10/2025

Type of engagement

Public e-mail inbox submission

Date

10/6/2025

Type of engagement

Public e-mail inbox submission

Date

10/14/2025

Type of engagement

Public e-mail inbox submission

Date

10/23/2025

Type of engagement

Survey participation

Date

4/17/2025

Type of engagement

Survey participation

Date

7/17/2025

Type of engagement

Survey participation

Date

9/18/2025

Type of engagement

Survey participation

Date

11/20/2025

Type of engagement

Survey participation

Date

12/18/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

1/16/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

2/20/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

3/6/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

4/2/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

4/9/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

4/17/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

5/15/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

6/18/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

7/2/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

7/17/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

7/25/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

8/6/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

8/21/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

9/18/2025

Type of engagement

Training, education, and outreach related to community planning

Date

10/16/2025

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

8/19/2025

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

10/6/2025

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

10/9/2025

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

10/15/2025

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

10/28/2025

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

11/12/2025

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

11/20/2025

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

1/12/2026

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

1/13/2026

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

1/14/2026

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

1/15/2026

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

1/20/2026

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

1/21/2026

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

1/22/2026

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

1/26/2026

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

1/27/2026

Type of engagement

Workgroups and committee meetings

Date

1/28/2026

Please list specific stakeholder organizations that were engaged in the planning process.

Please do not include specific names of individuals

Stakeholder groups/organizations that we have engaged includes but is not limited to: Foster Care Advisory Council, San Bernardino County (SBC) Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) Consumer and Family Awareness Committee, SBC DBH Native American Subcommittee, SBC DBH Older Adults Awareness Subcommittee, SBC DBH African American Awareness Subcommittee, SBC DBH Women's Awareness Subcommittee, Pinnacle Treatment Center (Drug Rehab), Morongo Band of Indians, various San Bernardino

County Department of Behavioral Health Substance Use Disorder and Recovery Services (SUDRS) contracted providers, San Bernardino County Department of Public Health (DPH), Inland Empire Health Plan (IEHP), Molina Health Plan, San Bernardino Valley College Veterans Resource Center and Office of Student Life, San Bernardino Behavioral Health Commission (All Districts Countywide), San Bernardino County Probation, San Bernardino County Children and Family Services, San Bernardino County Transitional Assistance Department, San Bernardino County Department of Aging and Adult Services, San Bernardino County Office of Homeless Services, San Bernardino Unified School District, Ontario-Montclair Unified School District Health & Wellness Services, Teamsters Local 1932, Arrowhead Regional Medical Center (ARMC), Sedgwick-Disability Insurer, Young Visionaries Youth Leadership Academy, Kiwanis Club-Redlands, Kiwanis Club-Victorville, Inland Christian Home – Ontario, Morongo Basin Health District, Children Resource Center (CCRC), VA Loma Linda Health Care, Diocese of San Bernardino-Office of Community Services and Outreach program, Patria Church Rancho Cucamonga, African American Health Coalition – Champion Mzazi (Parents) – Effective Black Parenting Program, Fontana Foundation of Hope, Planned Parenthood of Orange and San Bernardino, The Mom and Dad Project, West End Family Counseling Services, Rim Family Services, Inc., Riverside-San Bernardino County Indian Health, Inc. (RSBCIHI), Inland Behavioral and Health Services, Inc. (IBHS), Autism Society-Inland Empire, Inland Regional Center, SBC Sheriff's Department

What are the five most populous cities in counties with a population greater than 200,000 (Cities submitting IP independently are not required to collaborate with other cities) ([Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State](#))

	City name
1	San Bernardino
2	Fontana
3	Ontario
4	Rancho Cucamonga
5	Victorville

Were you able to engage [all required stakeholders/groups](#) in the planning process?

Yes

Please describe and provide documentation (such as meeting minutes) to support how diverse stakeholder viewpoints were incorporated into the development of the Integrated Plan, including any community-identified strengths, needs, and priorities

The San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) incorporates diverse community and stakeholder perspectives into the development of its Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Integrated Plan through a comprehensive multiyear engagement process led by the BHSA Administration team. This process ensures that community-identified strengths, needs, and priorities directly inform planning, decision-making, and service improvement. To maintain an informed and responsive planning framework, DBH participates in state-led meetings, conferences, and trainings related to Proposition 1 and statewide Behavioral Health Transformation. Information gathered from these venues is translated into tailored presentations and trainings that help stakeholders understand policy changes and provide meaningful input. A central component of DBH's engagement structure is the Community Policy Advisory Committee (CPAC), coordinated by BHSA Administration and held monthly on the third Thursday. CPAC serves as an open forum for individuals with lived experience, family members, community-based organizations, cultural and linguistic groups, and county agencies. Outreach for CPAC and other planning activities occurs through emails, phone calls, community events, the DBH website, department announcements, and social media. Social media played a significant role in expanding BHSA's visibility and supporting engagement efforts. Overall, BHSA social media content generated more than 24,000 total views and reached over 10,000 accounts. Several educational and community engagement posts performed especially well, receiving between 1,000 and 6,000 views each. The top performing post reached 6,539 views and 2,437 accounts, with 77.7 percent of its reach coming from non-followers—demonstrating substantial expansion beyond DBH's existing audience. The majority of the audience fell between ages 25 and 44, and most traffic came from Feed and Stories. Content included BHSA educational awareness, community forums and planning meetings, public comment and participation outreach, and messaging on funding and housing education. In 2025, DBH hosted 60 CPAC and general Community Program Planning (CPP) meetings with 762 participants and collected 347 surveys. These meetings provided education on Behavioral Health Transformation and Proposition 1 while gathering feedback on service delivery priorities. Feedback was collected in real time through live polling and supplemented by written input via online or paper surveys. Throughout the year, DBH also conducted additional engagement activities, including focus groups, interviews, county meetings, workgroups, outreach sessions, and public inbox submissions, reaching LGBTQ+ communities, older adults, Transitional Age Youth, families, and individuals with lived experience. DBH maintains strong partnerships with emergency medical and public safety entities to enhance coordination across crisis and emergency response systems. Collaborations with the Hospital Association of Southern California support care coordination and improved linkage between emergency, inpatient, and community-based services. DBH also works closely with the Community Outreach and Support Team, a multidisciplinary mobile crisis response partnership that includes a behavioral health professional, a firefighter or paramedic with a therapy canine, and a specially trained plain-clothed law enforcement officer. This team provides in-field crisis de-escalation, support, linkage, and follow-up. DBH further contributes behavioral health expertise to the Emergency Medical Care Committee and partners with the

Office of Emergency Services during disasters to support coordinated countywide response. Beginning in January 2026, DBH expanded its planning efforts with additional engagement strategies designed to gather input on local needs, service gaps, and opportunities for improvement. Stakeholders continue to be invited to participate in the planning process leading up to the submission of the final BHSA Integrated Plan in June 2026. During the required 30-day public posting period from February 27 through March 30, DBH held 25 meetings, engaged 483 individuals, collected 62 surveys, and received 125 public comments through presentations and listening sessions. Feedback reflected broad participation from clients, families, community-based organizations, partners, and service providers. Seventy-nine percent of respondents expressed support for the Integrated Plan, with housing identified as a major concern, and access to care ranked as the top statewide behavioral health goal. Stakeholders also emphasized the importance of integrated substance use disorder services and expressed appreciation for the county’s collaborative approach. Overall, DBH’s Community Program Planning process, initiated in January 2025, reached 6,283 individuals countywide through CPAC meetings, general planning meetings, focus groups, community forums, and subcommittee meetings. In early 2026, an additional 20 meetings engaged 464 participants. Following the posting period, DBH held 20 more meetings, including town halls, community pop ups, and partner presentations, reaching 514 participants, including major stakeholder groups such as the Law and Justice Committee, the Children’s Policy Council, the Community Based Organization Collective, the Department of Public Health, and the county’s largest cities, including San Bernardino, Fontana, Ontario, Rancho Cucamonga, and Victorville. These efforts also generated 311 additional survey responses. High visibility outreach was further supported through 13 Public Relations Outreach Services (PROS) pop-up events that connected with 4,060 community members. These pop-ups were conducted throughout the county to increase awareness of the BHSA and gather feedback on local priorities through self-guided, interactive engagement activities. Across these engagements, participants identified suicide and overdose prevention, access to care, and homelessness as the highest priorities. Through this extensive network of community engagement, public input, digital outreach, and cross-system partnerships, DBH ensures that the BHSA Integrated Plan reflects the priorities, expectations, and lived experiences of San Bernardino County residents while strengthening timely, coordinated, and compassionate behavioral health and emergency response.

Upload File

CPAC 2025 Data.pdf

Local Health Jurisdiction (LHJ)

Cities submitting their Integrated Plan independently from their counties do not have to complete this section. For related policy information, refer to [B.2 Considerations of Other Local Program Planning Processes](#).

Did the county work with its LHJ on [the development of the LHJ's recent Community Health Assessment \(CHA\) and/or Community Health Improvement Plan \(CHIP\)](#) ? **Additional information regarding engagement requirements with other local program planning processes can be found in [Policy Manual Chapter 3, Section B.2.3.](#)**

Yes

Please describe how the [county engaged with LHJs, along with Medi-Cal managed care plans \(MCPs\)](#), across these three areas in developing the CHA and/or CHIP: **collaboration, data-sharing, and stakeholder activities**

The County of San Bernardino collaborated closely with the Local Health Jurisdiction (LHJ) through the Community Vital Signs Initiative, a long-standing, cross-departmental framework jointly led by the Department of Public Health and supported by the Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) and other County departments. This structure provided shared governance, regular coordination, and aligned decision-making throughout the development of the Community Health Assessment (CHA) and Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP), known as the Community Transformation Plan. DBH has actively participated in Community Vital Signs since 2011 as a steering committee member and continues to play a key role in both steering and implementation committees. DBH contributed subject-matter expertise in behavioral health, injury and violence prevention, and chronic disease priorities, and worked alongside LHJ to align assessment findings with Countywide strategic priorities. DBH promotes broad stakeholder engagement by sharing participation opportunities with over 800 stakeholders via distribution lists, including MHSA stakeholders, Cultural Competency Advisory Committee members, and DBH clubhouses. Behavioral health consumers were supported through paper surveys and staff assistance at DBH's five clubhouses. In 2023, DBH stakeholders, including consumers and advisory committee members—attended a planning-for-action community engagement meeting to ensure community voices were central to the process, and DBH executive staff also participated. The 2023 assessment identified three health priorities: Behavioral Health, Injury and Violence Prevention, and Chronic Disease, which will guide efforts over the next five years. DBH continues to support implementation by sharing service data, chairing the Behavioral Health implementation group, and aligning efforts with BHSI Integrated Plan requirements. In 2025, LHJ established the MCP/San Bernardino County CHIP Collaboration Meeting to support MCPs (Inland Empire Health Plan, Kaiser, and Molina) in meeting DHCS Population Health Management Policy Guide requirements. DBH's involvement ensures alignment with BHSI goals, strengthens MCP collaboration, and advances behavioral health priorities within the Transformation Plan.

Did the county utilize the County-LHJ-MCP Collaboration Tool provided via technical assistance?

No

Collaboration

Please select how the county collaborated with the LHJ

Attended key CHA and CHIP meetings as requested.

Served on CHA and CHIP governance structures and/or subcommittees as requested.

Data-Sharing

Data-Sharing to Support the CHA/CHIP

Select Statewide Behavioral Health Goals that were identified for data-sharing to support behavioral health-related focus areas of the CHA and CHIP

Access to Care

Overdoses

Prevention of Co-Occurring Physical Health Conditions

Quality of Life

Social Connection

Suicides

Untreated Behavioral Health (BH) Conditions (e.g., substance use disorder, depression, maternal and child behavioral disorders, other adult mental health conditions)

Was data shared?

Yes

Data-Sharing from MCPS and LHJs to Support IP development

Select Statewide Behavioral Health Goals that were identified for data-sharing to inform IP development

Access to Care

Homelessness

Justice Involvement

Was data shared?

Yes

Stakeholder Activities

Select which stakeholder activities the county has coordinated for IP development with the LHJ engagement on the CHA/CHIP. Please note that although counties must coordinate stakeholder activities with LHJ CHA/CHIP processes (where feasible), the options below are for illustrative purposes only and are not required forms of stakeholder activity coordination (e.g., counties do not need to conduct each of these activities)

Collaborated with LHJ to identify shared stakeholders that are key for both the IP and CHA/CHIP process. Collaborated on joint surveys, focus groups, and/or interviews that can be used to inform both the IP and CHA/CHIP.

Other

Co-hosted community sessions, listening tours, and/or other community events that can be used to strengthen stakeholder engagement for both the IP and CHA/CHIP.

Coordinated messaging and stakeholder events calendars (e.g., governance meetings) around IP development and CHA/CHIP engagement.

Please describe how the county has coordinated stakeholder activities for IP development and the CHA/CHIP

The County Department of Behavioral Health, in collaboration with Public Health, has actively aligned Integrated Plan (IP) development with broader community health planning efforts. The County coordinated stakeholder activities for IP development with awareness of the Local Health Jurisdiction's (LHJ) Community Health Assessment (CHA) and Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP) engagement processes, incorporating relevant findings and stakeholder input where appropriate. As part of this process, the County participated in and reviewed input collected through CHA and CHIP activities, including community surveys, data-sharing initiatives, and planning discussions. This information was considered in establishing behavioral health priorities for the IP. Additionally, the County engaged in planning discussions with the LHJ and Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans to review CHA and CHIP findings and identify areas of intersection with Statewide Behavioral Health Goals. These collaborative efforts supported a shared understanding of priority focus areas and informed ongoing coordination across community health planning and Behavioral Health Services Act IP development. Looking ahead, the County Departments of Behavioral Health and Public Health will co-host a Community Health Forum. This event will guide community-wide and organizational health improvement planning for the CHIP and future IPs, further strengthening alignment and collaboration across health initiatives.

Most Recent Community Health Assessment (CHA), Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP) or Strategic Plan

Has the county considered either the LHJ’s most recent CHA/CHIP or strategic plan in the [development of its IP](#)? Additional information regarding engagement requirements with other local program planning processes can be found in [Policy Manual Chapter 3, Section B.2.3](#)

Yes

Provide a brief description of how the county has considered the LHJ’s CHA/CHIP or strategic plan when preparing its IP

The County is aligning its planning efforts with the behavioral health priority area and goals outlined in the Transformation Plan to enhance access to substance use disorder (SUD) services. As part of the Access to Health and Wellness strategy, the County is expanding initiatives to address SUD and opioid use disorders by increasing the availability of Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) and implementing harm reduction approaches throughout San Bernardino County.

Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan (MCP) Community Reinvestment

For related policy information, refer to [B.2 Considerations of Other Local Program Planning Processes](#).

Please list the Managed Care Plans (MCP) the county worked with to inform the MCPs’ respective community reinvestment planning and decision-making processes

The County engaged with all three Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans (MCPs) operating within the County to support coordination related to community reinvestment planning and decision-making processes. Of the three MCPs, one (Molina) met the income threshold established by the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) requiring submission of a Community Reinvestment Plan, with the first plan due in early Q3 of calendar year 2026. That MCP is currently in the community reinvestment planning phase and has invited the County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) to serve as an active participant in the planning process to support alignment of priorities. As planning moves forward, the County and the MCP will work collaboratively to collect and share relevant data and stakeholder input regarding community needs, with the goal of informing future community reinvestment strategies and investment opportunities. The County will continue to coordinate, as appropriate, with all MCPs to support alignment with community planning efforts and the Behavioral Health Services Act Integrated Plan.

Which activities in the MCP Community Reinvestment Plan submissions address needs identified through the Behavioral Health Services Act community planning process and collaboration between the county, MCP, and other stakeholders on the county's Integrated Plan?

At the time of Integrated Plan development, Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan (MCP) Community Reinvestment Plans are in the planning phase and have not yet been finalized or submitted. As such, specific reinvestment activities addressing identified needs are still under development. However, activities anticipated to be included in future MCP Community Reinvestment Plan submissions are being informed by needs identified through the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) community planning process, including priorities and disparities identified through the Community Health Assessment (CHA), Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP), and stakeholder engagement supporting the County's Integrated Plan. The County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) has been invited to participate as an active partner in the MCP's community reinvestment planning process to support alignment of priorities. Through this collaboration, the County and MCP are working to collect and share relevant data and stakeholder input on community behavioral health needs. This coordinated planning approach is intended to inform future community reinvestment activities once MCP plans are finalized and submitted.

Comment Period and Public Hearing

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don't need to finish everything at once—your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use “Return to plan” to navigate between sections and track overall progress. For related policy information, refer to [B.3 Public Comment and Updates to the Integrated Plan](#).

Comment Period and Public Hearing

For related policy information, refer to [B.3 Public Comment and Updates to the Integrated Plan](#).

Confirm that the data is up to date and reflects the correct information for a Draft Plan

Date the draft Integrated Plan (IP) was released for stakeholder comment

2/27/2026

Date the stakeholder comment period closed

3/31/2026

Date of behavioral health board public hearing on draft IP

4/2/2026

Please provide proof of a public posting with information on the public hearing. Please select the county's preferred submission modality

Link

Please provide the link to the public posting

https://wp.sbcounty.gov/dbh/wp-content/uploads/sites/121/2026/02/BHSA_Integrated-Plan-FY-2026-2029-SBC_02.2

If the county uses an existing landing page or other web-based location to publicly post IPs for comment, please provide a link to the landing page

<https://wp.sbcounty.gov/dbh/programs/bhsa/>

File Upload

Please select the process by which the draft plan was circulated to stakeholders

Public posting

Please describe [stakeholder input](#) in the table below. Please add each stakeholder group into their own row in the table

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Behavioral Health and Health Care Providers

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Individuals with lived experience & families

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Community Based & Advocacy Organizations

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

The five most populous cities in the county (San Bernardino, Fontana, Rancho Cucamonga, Ontario, Victorville)

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Historically marginalized or underserved communities (including youth, racially, or ethnically diverse, and/or LGBTQ+)

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Local government

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Education & Early Learning partners

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Public sector and County agencies

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Public safety partners and emergency medical services

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

At-risk homeless youth

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Community members with disabilities

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Faith-based organizations

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Stakeholder group that provided feedback

Tribal & Special Population representatives

Summarize the substantive revisions recommended this stakeholder during the comment period

No substantive revisions recommended during the comment period.

Please describe any substantive recommendations made by the local behavioral health board that are not included in the final Integrated Plan or update. If no substantive revisions were recommended by stakeholders during the comment period, please input N/A.

Substantive recommendations

N/A

County Behavioral Health Services Care Continuum

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don't need to finish everything at once—your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use “Return to plan” to navigate between sections and track overall progress.

County Behavioral Health Services Care Continuum

The Behavioral Health Care Continuum is composed of two distinct frameworks for substance use disorder and mental health services. These frameworks are used for counties to demonstrate planned expenditures across key service categories in their service continuum. Questions on the Behavioral Health Care Continuum are in the Integrated Plan Budget Template.

Mark section as complete

County Provider Monitoring and Oversight

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don't need to finish everything at once—your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use “Return to plan” to navigate between sections and track overall progress. For related policy information, refer to [6.C.2 Securing Medi-Cal Payment](#).

Medi-Cal Quality Improvement Plans

Cities submitting their Integrated Plan independently from their counties do not have to complete this section or Question 1 under All BHSA Provider Locations.

For Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS) or for integrated SMHS/Drug Medi-Cal Organized Delivery System (DMC-ODS) contracts under Behavioral Health Administrative Integration, please upload a copy of the county's current Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) for State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2026-2027

San Bernardino QI Workplan FY 26-27.pdf

Does the county operate a standalone DMC-ODS program (i.e., a DMC-ODS program that is not under an integrated SMHS/DMC-ODS contract)?

Yes

For standalone DMC-ODS, please upload a copy of the county's current QIP for SFY 2026-2027

FY-25-26-SUD-MHP_QIPP_FINAL.pdf

Contracted BHSA Provider Locations

As of the date this report is submitted, please provide the total number of contracted Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) provider locations offering non-Housing services for SFY 2025-26. I.e., BHSA-funded locations that are (i) not owned or operated by the county, and (ii) offer BHSA services other than Housing Interventions services. (A provider location should be counted if it offers both Housing Interventions and mental health (MH) or substance use disorder services (SUD); provider location that contracts with the county to provide both mental health and substance use disorder services should be counted separately.)

Services Provided	Number of contracted BHSA provider locations
Mental Health (MH) services only	175
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) services only	41
Both MH and SUD services	8

Among the county's contracted BHSA provider locations, please identify the number of locations that also participate in the county's Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System (BHDS) (including SMHS and Drug MC/DMC-ODS) for SFY 2025-26

Services Provided	Number of Contracted BHSA Provider Locations
SMHS only	175
DMC/DMC-ODS only	41
Both SMHS and DMC/DMC-ODS systems	8

All BHSA Provider Locations

For related policy information, refer to [B.2 Considerations of Other Local Program Planning Processes](#).

Among the county’s BHSA funded SMHS provider locations (county-operated and contracted) that offer services/Levels of Care that may be covered by Medi-Cal MCPs as non-specialty mental health services (NSMHS), what percentage of BHSA funded SMHS providers contract with at least one MCP in the county for the delivery of NSMHS?

23

Please describe the county’s plans to enhance rates of MCP contracting starting July 1, 2027, and over the subsequent two years among the BHSA provider locations that are providing services that can/should be reimbursed by Medi-Cal MCPs

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) is implementing a comprehensive strategy to address workforce gaps beyond those funded under the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Workforce, Education, and Training component. A key effort includes the planned release of a Request for Proposal (RFP) in Fiscal Year 2026–2027 for newly designed early intervention services funded by BHSA. These services will be provided by contractors who are currently not certified to bill Medi-Cal. Selected providers will receive training on Medi-Cal certification requirements during the RFP bidder’s conference and will be required to obtain certification upon Board approval. DBH will provide technical assistance throughout the certification process, which includes site certification, compliance with Medi-Cal standards, and ongoing chart reviews. Certification must be renewed every three years, accompanied by on-site reviews and triennial audits conducted by DBH Quality Management and the Office of Compliance to ensure billing accuracy and prevent fraud. To support providers after contract execution, DBH will offer technical assistance through designated program staff and administrative services. Billing compliance will be monitored regularly through invoice validation against electronic health records before reimbursement. DBH has also enhanced engagement and education efforts through recurring meetings and forums with community-based organizations, compliance sessions, and revenue cycle office hours. These forums address topics such as Medi-Cal site certification standards, documentation requirements, chart review preparation, Managed Care Plan referral processes, and claims submission support. Additional trainings are planned for Fiscal Year 2026–2027 to address billing activities, denials, and Medi-Cal eligibility verification. Beginning July 1, 2027, DBH will focus on strategies to increase Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan contracting among BHSA-funded providers through the Program Improvements for Valued Outpatient Treatment (PIVOT) project. This initiative aims to strengthen provider capacity, promote culturally responsive care, and integrate Community-Defined Evidence Practices to better serve diverse communities. DBH will collaborate with community-based organizations to explore eligibility for Medi-Cal and Drug Medi-Cal contracting,

guide credentialing and compliance, and facilitate communication between Managed Care Plans and providers to address barriers to network participation. These efforts are designed to help providers navigate Medi-Cal systems, improve sustainability, and align with state priorities for behavioral health transformation.

To maximize resource efficiency, counties must, as of July 1, 2027, require their BHSA providers to (subject to certain exceptions)

- a. Check whether an individual seeking services eligible for BHSA funding is enrolled in Medi-Cal and/or a commercial health plan, and if uninsured, refer the individual for eligibility screening**
- b. Bill the Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System for covered services for which the provider receives BHSA funding; and**
- c. Make a good faith effort to seek reimbursement from Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans (MCPs) and commercial health plans for covered services for which the provider receives BHSA funding**

Does the county wish to describe implementation challenges or concerns with these requirements?

No

Counties must monitor BHSA-funded providers for compliance with applicable requirements under the Policy Manual, the county’s BHSA contract with DHCS, and state law and regulations. Effective SFY 2027-2028, counties must (1) adopt a monitoring schedule that includes periodic site visits and (2) preserve monitoring records, including monitoring reports, county-approved provider Corrective Action Plans (CAPs), and confirmations of CAP resolutions. Counties shall supply these records at any time upon DHCS’s request. DHCS encourages counties to adopt the same provider monitoring schedule as under Medi-Cal: annual monitoring with a site visit at least once every three years. For providers that participate in multiple counties’ BHSA programs, a county may rely on monitoring performed by another county.

Does the county intend to adopt this recommended monitoring schedule for BHSA-funded providers that:

Also participate in the county’s Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System? (Reminder: Counties may simultaneously monitor for compliance with Medi-Cal and BHSA requirements)

Yes

Do not participate in the county's Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System?

Yes

Behavioral Health Services Act/Fund Programs

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don't need to finish everything at once—your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use “Return to plan” to navigate between sections and track overall progress.

Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS)

For related policy information, refer to [7.A.1 Behavioral Health Services and Supports Expenditure Guidelines](#).

General

Please select the specific [Behavioral Health Services and Supports \(BHSS\)](#) that are included in your plan

- Adult and Older Adult System of Care (non-FSP)
- Early Intervention Programs (EIP)
- Outreach and Engagement (O&E)
- Workforce, Education and Training (WET)
- Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN)
- Children's System of Care (non-Full Service Partnership (FSP))

Children's System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service of the county's BHSS funded Children's System of Care (non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children's, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Please describe the specific services provided

Crisis Stabilization Units (CSUs) provide voluntary, community-based urgent mental health care for children, youth, and transition-age youth (TAY) who require immediate stabilization due to a mental health crisis. These programs operate 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, with services intended to last less than 24 hours. Two CSU sites offer 20 spaces each, while the other two offer 12 spaces each. All facilities include designated, developmentally appropriate areas to ensure safe and suitable environments for children, youth, and TAY. Intended as home-like alternatives to unnecessary psychiatric hospitalization or incarceration, CSUs offer a comprehensive range of services including crisis intervention and stabilization, psychiatric evaluation and medication when clinically indicated, voluntary peer-to-peer engagement and support, screening for substance use disorders, assessment, therapeutic interventions, and referral or linkage to appropriate resources. For individuals under age 21 who are under the oversight of Children and Family Services (CFS), CSUs may complete JV220 evaluations to initiate necessary medications.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	752
FY 2027 – 2028	752
FY 2028 – 2029	752

Please describe any data or assumptions your county used to project the number of individuals served through the Children’s System of Care

Program reviewed trends across three (3) complete Fiscal Years and calculated average growth percentages to determine projections.

Children’s System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service of the county’s BHSS funded Children’s System of Care (non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

The Centralized Children’s Intensive Case Management Program provides clinical services in three primary areas: direct care services, coordination of services for youth placed outside the county, and assessments for youth admitted to residential placement. Clinical staff within this program deliver intensive case management, therapeutic services, and ongoing care to children and youth experiencing severe challenges, such as involvement with Children and Family Services or Probation, substance use issues, Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children, frequent psychiatric hospitalizations, or episodes of being absent without leave. Staff also assist with coordinating linkage to mental health services and medication support services. Within the Centralized Children’s Intensive Case Management Assembly Bill 1299 program, staff manage the placement of foster children outside the county and ensure that youth from San Bernardino County who are placed out of county, as well as youth from other counties placed within San Bernardino County, receive clinical treatment to address their individual needs. Additionally, within the Centralized Children’s Intensive Case Management Qualified Individual program, staff conduct the required Qualified Individual assessments for residential placement. These assessments involve comprehensive evaluations to determine the most appropriate level of care for each youth and include treatment recommendations aimed at supporting the youth’s overall success.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	3448
FY 2027 – 2028	3792
FY 2028 – 2029	4171

Please describe any data or assumptions your county used to project the number of individuals served through the Children’s System of Care

There were two thousand eight hundred seventy-four (2874) children and youth who qualified in this category during a twelve-month period. Due to the increase in children and youth placed in both in-county and out-of-county placements who require Qualified Individual assessments, referral and linkage through the Assembly Bill 1299 process, and/or intensive mental health services, it is estimated that the number of

children and youth served in Fiscal Year (FY) 2026–2027 will increase by twenty percent compared to FY 2025–2026. FY 2027–2028 is projected to reflect an additional ten percent increase compared to FY 2026–2027, and FY 2028–2029 is expected to represent a further ten percent increase compared to FY 2027–2028.

Children’s System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service of the county’s BHSS funded Children’s System of Care (non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

- Mental health services
- Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

The Children’s Residential Intensive Services (ChRIS) Program is a structured initiative designed to provide Specialty Mental Health Services to children and youth placed in Short-Term Residential Therapeutic Programs by Children and Family Services and Probation. The primary goal of the Children’s Residential Intensive Services Program is to deliver short-term, specialized, and intensive treatment to serve specific target populations requiring residential care. This program is intended to provide a high level of therapeutic services to children and youth who experience severe emotional and behavioral disorders, supporting their recovery and helping them achieve socially acceptable levels of functioning while simultaneously building permanency options and facilitating transitions to lower levels of care. The expectation is that the Children’s Residential Intensive Services Program will operate within the framework of the Integrated Core Practice Model, as required by the Department of Health Care Services, and utilize Clinical Decision Support Systems to guide treatment planning and decision-making.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	634
FY 2027 – 2028	760
FY 2028 – 2029	912

Please describe any data or assumptions your county used to project the number of individuals served through the Children’s System of Care

The number of clients served is expected to increase with the expansion of the Children’s Residential Intensive Services (ChRIS) contract and the implementation of Assembly Bill 1051, effective July 1, 2024. Specifically, there has been a notable increase in the number of youth who will be served through the Children’s Residential Intensive Services program. Based on recent annual data, which reflects four hundred eighty-eight youth served, it is estimated that the number of youth served in Fiscal Year 2026–2027 will increase by thirty percent. Each subsequent year is projected to experience an additional twenty percent (20%) increase in the number of youth served.

Children’s System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service of the county’s BHSS funded Children’s System of Care (non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than on program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

- Mental health services
- Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

The Children’s Assessment Center (CAC) provides comprehensive clinical assessments conducted by licensed clinicians. These clinicians collaborate closely with medical staff from Loma Linda University Medical Center, who are co-located at the center and perform forensic interviews and evidentiary medical examinations. This collaborative approach assists in the evaluation of child abuse allegations and ensures that appropriate referrals and linkages to mental health services are provided for children and youth in need of care.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	24
FY 2027 – 2028	25
FY 2028 – 2029	26

Please describe any data or assumptions your county used to project the number of individuals served through the Children’s System of Care

There were twenty-three (23) children who qualified in this category during a twelve-month period. The number of clients served is projected to increase by five percent (5%) in Fiscal Year (FY) 2026–2027 as a result of additional assessments generated through collaboration with Child Welfare Services and Loma Linda University Medical Center. FY 2027–2028 is anticipated to reflect an additional five percent (5%) increase compared to FY 2026–2027, and FY 2028–2029 is expected to represent a further five percent increase compared to FY 2027–2028.

Children’s System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service of the county’s BHSS funded Children’s System of Care (non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than on program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Please describe the specific services provided

The Integrated New Family Opportunities (INFO) program is a collaborative effort between the Department of Behavioral Health and Probation staff to serve justice-involved youth. The program is designed to increase family stabilization, reduce problematic behaviors, and improve the overall functioning of both the youth and their families. In addition to monitoring by Probation, the program provides three evidence-based practices: Functional Family Therapy, Moral Reconciliation Therapy, and Intensive Care Coordination for youth diagnosed with a mental health disorder.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	100
FY 2027 – 2028	100
FY 2028 – 2029	100

Please describe any data or assumptions your county used to project the number of individuals served through the Children’s System of Care

Due to evidence-based practice staff-to-client ratios, there can be no increase in the number of clients served unless additional clinicians are added to this program and trained in Functional Family Therapy (FFT). Without these staffing and training enhancements, it is anticipated that there will be no growth in this program over the next three (3) years.

Children’s System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service of the county’s BHSS funded Children’s System of Care (non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services
Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

Juvenile Court Behavioral Health Services (JCBHS) Program provides comprehensive assessments, mental health and psychiatric referrals, and linkages to address the complex needs of children and youth, six years of age and older, who are involved in the Child Welfare System. Within JCBHS, the Healthy Homes clinical unit consists of clinicians who are co-located at Child Welfare offices. Healthy Homes conducts assessments for individuals involved in Child Welfare and facilitates the delivery of specialty mental health services. This includes performing comprehensive assessments at the request of the Family Court to provide consultation regarding psychotropic medication.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	738
FY 2027 – 2028	1477
FY 2028 – 2029	2238

Please describe any data or assumptions your county used to project the number of individuals served through the Children’s System of Care

There were four hundred thirty-nine (439) children and youth who qualified in this category during a twelve-month period. All County Letter 25-10 will require the Juvenile Collaborative Behavioral Health Services program to assess all children and youth, six (6) years of age and older, who are initially detained, as well as children already in Child Welfare care who are not currently receiving mental health services and require a mental health assessment. It is estimated that the number of children and youth served in Fiscal Year (FY) 2026–2027 will increase by two hundred ninety-nine (299) compared to FY 2025–2026. FY 2027–2028 is projected to reflect an increase of one thousand thirty-eight (1038) compared to FY 2025–2026, and FY 2028–2029 is expected to represent an increase of one thousand seven hundred ninety-nine (1799) compared to FY 2025–2026.

Children’s System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service of the county’s BHSS funded Children’s System of Care (non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

- Mental health services
- Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

The Screening, Assessment, Referral, and Treatment (SART) Program ensures that specialty mental health services are provided to foster children and other eligible children in the community between birth and five years of age who are experiencing social, physical, cognitive, behavioral, developmental, or psychological challenges. The Screening, Assessment, Referral, and Treatment (SART) program adheres to the values and principles of the Integrated Core Practice Model. It delivers comprehensive specialty mental health services, including Parent-Child Interactive Therapy (PCIT), which is one of the required evidence-based practices (EBPs) under BH-Connect.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	3576
FY 2027 – 2028	3755
FY 2028 – 2029	3943

Please describe any data or assumptions your county used to project the number of individuals served through the Children’s System of Care

There were two thousand forty-four (2,044) children who qualified in this category during a twelve-month period. It is estimated that All County Letter (ACL) 25-10 will require the Screening, Assessment, Referral, and Treatment (SART) program to conduct two thousand two hundred eighty-seven (2,287) additional

initial assessments. Of these initial assessments, approximately sixty-six percent (66%), or one thousand five hundred thirty-two (1,532), are expected to continue in ongoing care and qualify to be counted as Children’s System of Care (CSOC). Therefore, Fiscal Year (FY) 2026–2027 is projected to serve a total of three thousand five hundred seventy-six (3,576) children and youth, which includes the original two thousand forty-four (2,044) plus one thousand five hundred thirty-two (1,532). Fiscal Year 2027–2028 represents a five percent (5%) increase from FY 2026–2027, and Fiscal Year 2028–2029 represents an additional five percent (5%) increase from FY 2027–2028.

Children’s System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service of the county’s BHSS funded Children’s System of Care (non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services
Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

The Early Identification and Intervention Services (EIS) program functions as a Children’s System of Care (CSOC) program within the Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS) component of the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA). Under BHSA, Children’s System of Care programs are intended to provide coordinated, child-centered, family-driven, and community-based behavioral health services organized around the comprehensive needs of children and families. These programs are expected to operate as integrated systems of care rather than stand-alone services and must include individualized planning, multidisciplinary collaboration, and coordination across child-serving systems. Consistent with this intent, EIS serves young children ages 0–5 and their families through a whole-child, whole-family model that recognizes behavioral health needs are closely connected to family functioning, caregiver supports, developmental needs, health status, and community circumstances. EIS organizes services around the child and family rather than requiring families to navigate fragmented systems independently. As a Children’s System of Care program, EIS begins with comprehensive and developmentally appropriate assessments that examine emotional, behavioral, social, developmental, and family functioning, along with environmental stressors and protective factors. Information gathered through this process is used to develop individualized, multidisciplinary service plans in partnership with caregivers. Service plans are regularly reviewed and updated as the child’s needs evolve. EIS provides ongoing therapeutic and supportive services that address the child’s broader functioning and developmental wellness. Services may

include interventions to strengthen emotional development, improve social skills, enhance attachment and bonding, support behavioral functioning, and improve family stability. Services are flexible and delivered in natural environments such as the home, preschool settings, and community locations whenever appropriate. Family engagement is a central requirement of the Children’s System of Care model. EIS actively partners with caregivers as primary decision-makers and provides ongoing coaching, education, advocacy support, and assistance navigating systems that affect the child’s well-being. This family-driven approach ensures services are individualized, culturally responsive, and aligned with family priorities. EIS also provides active care coordination and interagency collaboration across child-serving systems, which may include pediatric healthcare providers, early childhood education programs, school districts, developmental service providers, child welfare agencies, and other community-based organizations. Coordination may involve shared planning, referrals, case consultation, and transition support to ensure continuity of care and reduce duplication or fragmentation of services. When children require more intensive behavioral health treatment or specialized supports, EIS assists with transitions to the appropriate level of care while maintaining continuity and family engagement throughout the process. Through comprehensive assessment, individualized planning, family partnership, ongoing supports, and cross-system coordination, EIS fulfills its role as a Children’s System of Care program under BHSA by providing an integrated, family-centered, and developmentally informed continuum of care that strengthens child functioning, family stability, and long-term well-being.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	1557
FY 2027 – 2028	1635
FY 2028 – 2029	1717

Please describe any data or assumptions your county used to project the number of individuals served through the Children’s System of Care

There were one thousand two hundred fifty-one (1,251) children who qualified in this category during a twelve-month period. It is estimated that All County Letter (ACL) 25-10 will require the Screening, Assessment, Referral, and Treatment (SART) program to conduct two thousand two hundred eighty-seven (2,287) additional initial assessments. Of these assessments, approximately twenty percent (20%), or four hundred fifty-seven (457), will require an assessment from the Early Identification and Intervention Services (EIS) program. Of these additional initial assessments, approximately sixty-six percent (66%), or three

hundred six (306), are expected to continue in ongoing care and qualify to be counted as Children’s System of Care (CSOC). Therefore, Fiscal Year (FY) 2026–2027 is projected to serve a total of one thousand five hundred fifty-seven (1,557) children and youth, which includes the original one thousand two hundred fifty-one (1,251) plus three hundred six (306). Fiscal Year 2027–2028 represents a five percent (5%) increase from FY 2026–2027, and Fiscal Year 2028–2029 represents an additional five percent (5%) increase from FY 2027–2028.

Children’s System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service of the county’s BHSS funded Children’s System of Care (non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

The One Stop Transitional Age Youth Center provides services under the Children’s System of Care and is designed to support youth with significant mental health needs who require structured, coordinated, and individualized behavioral health care. Consistent with Policy Manual Chapter 7.A.2, the program operates as part of a fully integrated system of care that organizes services around the strengths, developmental needs, and goals of each youth rather than functioning as a stand-alone service. Services are family-driven, youth-guided, culturally responsive, and individualized through comprehensive assessments and multidisciplinary treatment planning that are completed collaboratively with youth and their natural supports and updated regularly as needs evolve. The program offers a full range of mental health services including clinical assessment, individual and group therapeutic interventions, and psychoeducation designed to reduce symptoms, enhance coping skills, support emotional regulation, and improve functioning across home, school, work, and community environments. Targeted rehabilitation services address functional challenges and support skill development in communication, problem-solving, self-management, and interpersonal interactions. Youth also receive individualized support to build or restore daily living skills such as meal preparation, personal hygiene routines, laundry, and other activities that promote independence and stability. Therapeutic groups further strengthen social functioning, community integration, and the development of healthy interpersonal relationships. As required under the Children’s System of Care, the program provides robust care coordination to ensure that each youth’s needs are addressed across behavioral health, physical health, education, housing, child welfare, juvenile

justice, and vocational systems. Program staff collaborate closely with these partner systems at both the service delivery and system planning levels to ensure seamless access to resources and continuity of support. Through coordinated mental health services, targeted rehabilitation, individualized planning, and multisystem collaboration, the One Stop Transitional Age Youth Center fulfills its role within the Children’s System of Care by providing developmentally appropriate, responsive, and integrated behavioral health services that strengthen functioning, stability, and overall well-being for transitional age youth.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	15
FY 2027 – 2028	15
FY 2028 – 2029	15

Please describe any data or assumptions your county used to project the number of individuals served through the Children’s System of Care

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2023–2024, the System Development (SD) program served an unduplicated total of one hundred five (105) clients, as reported in the Exhibit Six Annual Report. Since FY 2023–2024, the program has restructured its intake process to more quickly enroll clients into the Full Service Partnership (FSP), thereby reducing the number of non-FSP (system development) clients receiving services. However, we anticipate a small number of non-FSP but FSP-eligible clients, approximately fifteen (15) annually who may wish to receive support services such as group sessions and shower or laundry services without agreeing to individual therapy. These youth would receive Early Intervention services to keep them engaged until they fully commit to the FSP program.

Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s BHSS funded Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Please describe the specific services provided

Crisis Stabilization Units (CSUs) provide voluntary, urgent mental health care in a home-like, community-based setting for adults and older adults experiencing a mental health crisis. These programs operate 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, and services are designed to last less than 24 hours. Two CSU sites offer 20 spaces each, and two offer 12 spaces each, with designated areas to ensure appropriate and safe care environments for adults and older adults. CSUs offer a comprehensive range of services, including crisis intervention and stabilization; psychiatric evaluation and medication, when clinically indicated; voluntary peer-to-peer enriched engagement and support; screening for substance use disorders; assessment; therapeutic interventions; and referral or linkage to appropriate resources. Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT) services are also available at two of the CSU sites for adults/older adults who require continuation of MAT. The CSU completes an evaluation and, when clinically appropriate and safe, provides prescriptions for ongoing MAT treatment.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	5594
FY 2027 – 2028	5594
FY 2028 – 2029	5594

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through the Adult and Older Adult System of Care

The program reviewed trends across three (3) complete Fiscal Years and calculated average growth percentages to determine projections.

Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s BHSS funded Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than

one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#)

Please select the service types provided under Program

- Mental health services
- Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

Triage Transitional Services (TTS) were designed to assess clients who voluntarily present to the Arrowhead Regional Medical Center – Behavioral Health Unit (ARMC-BHU). As part of a collaborative team, TTS works alongside Arrowhead Regional Medical Center Behavioral Health Unit (ARMC-BHU) staff to determine whether the client meets medical necessity criteria for psychiatric inpatient treatment or if their needs can be met in other, less restrictive settings outside of an emergency department or psychiatric inpatient unit. TTS staff are co-located with ARMC-BHU and provide a comprehensive range of services, including crisis assessment and intervention; case management; collateral contacts; linkage to transportation assistance; linkage to housing assistance; linkage to outpatient resources and providers; referrals to medical and social service agencies; family and caretaker education; and consumer advocacy.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	350
FY 2027 – 2028	350
FY 2028 – 2029	350

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through the Adult and Older Adult System of Care

The projected number of individuals to be served was determined through an analysis of walk-in assessments completed by Triage Transitional Services (TTS) staff for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024–2025. There are two (2) TTS staff members on site, who provided these services to approximately thirty (30) individuals each month.

Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s BHSS funded Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#)

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

The Placement After Stabilization (PAS) program provides discharge planning and serves as a liaison to facilitate appropriate placement upon discharge for each of the five (5) contracted Crisis Residential Treatment (CRT) facilities throughout San Bernardino County, located in the following areas: San Bernardino (two (2) sites), Joshua Tree, Victorville, and Fontana. PAS staff work collaboratively with CRT staff to provide services intended to divert and reduce psychiatric inpatient hospitalization, assist clients in maintaining self-sufficiency, increase housing stability, and support successful reintegration into the community. PAS Clinical Therapists support each CRT facility and are assigned to provide the following services to adults aged eighteen (18) and older: screening for discharge services; conducting clinical assessments; coordinating discharge planning; assisting with placement options; and facilitating transportation arrangements.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	300

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2027 – 2028	300
FY 2028 – 2029	300

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through the Adult and Older Adult System of Care

The projected number of individuals to be served was determined through an analysis of current caseloads and a review of the recent reduction in services provided.

Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s BHSS funded Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#).

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Please describe the specific services provided

Community Crisis Response Teams (CCRT) include the Crisis Contact Center and community-based mobile crisis response services. These teams provide immediate, community-based intervention for children and adults experiencing a psychiatric emergency. The CCRT model ensures rapid response and stabilization in the least restrictive environment possible, reducing the need for emergency department visits or inpatient psychiatric hospitalization.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	7117
FY 2027 – 2028	7687
FY 2028 – 2029	8301

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through the Adult and Older Adult System of Care

There has been a historical average increase of eight percent (8%), resulting from expanded outreach efforts, increased response to schools, additional staffing, and the implementation of Mobile Crisis services operating twenty-four (24) hours per day, seven (7) days per week, three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s BHSS funded Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#)

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Please describe the specific services provided

Valley Star Crisis Mobile Response Team provides overnight mobile crisis response services to individuals experiencing a mental health emergency, ensuring timely intervention and support in the community.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	7117
FY 2027 – 2028	7687
FY 2028 – 2029	8301

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through the Adult and Older Adult System of Care

There has been a historical average increase of eight percent (8%), resulting from expanded outreach efforts, increased response to schools, additional staffing, and the implementation of Mobile Crisis services operating twenty-four (24) hours per day, seven (7) days per week, three hundred sixty-five (365) days per year.

Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s BHSS funded Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#)

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Please describe the specific services provided

Transitional Age Youth Crisis Residential Treatment (TAY CRT), a specialized crisis residential treatment program for Transitional Age Youth (TAY) and commonly referred to as “The STAY,” is a short-term, voluntary residential treatment center. The STAY serves individuals ages eighteen (18) to twenty-five (25) who are experiencing a mental health crisis. Participants may remain for up to ninety (90) days and receive services in a home-like environment that fosters recovery, wellness, and resiliency within the community. The STAY enhances access to appropriate mental health services for TAY in crisis. Co-located with the

Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) One-Stop TAY Center in San Bernardino, this unique program offers comprehensive and collaborative services tailored to young adults, supporting maximum recovery outcomes. Services provided through The STAY include comprehensive clinical assessments and therapy, therapeutic and psychoeducational groups, activities and training focused on daily living skills, behavioral intervention and modification training, individual and group counseling, crisis intervention, psychiatric and medication support, substance use disorder counseling and referrals, recreational therapy, educational assistance, and pre-release and discharge preparation and planning.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	93
FY 2027 – 2028	93
FY 2028 – 2029	93

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through the Adult and Older Adult System of Care

Program reviewed trends across three (3) complete Fiscal Years and calculated average growth percentages to determine projections.

**Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP))
Program**

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s BHSS funded Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#)

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Please describe the specific services provided

The Adult Crisis Residential Treatment (CRT) program offers short-term, voluntary crisis residential treatment options for San Bernardino County residents ages eighteen (18) to fifty-nine (59). Individuals may remain for up to ninety (90) days to receive services in a home-like environment that promotes recovery, wellness, and resiliency within the community. Services are designed for individuals experiencing an acute psychiatric episode or mental health crisis who require short-term residential treatment to prevent acute psychiatric hospitalization. CRT programs operate twenty-four (24) hours a day, seven (7) days a week, three hundred sixty-five (365) days a year. The program provides comprehensive clinical assessments and therapy, crisis intervention, psychiatric and medication support, life skills coaching, peer and family support networks, coping techniques, recovery education, substance use education, and community resource linkages.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	428
FY 2027 – 2028	428
FY 2028 – 2029	428

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through the Adult and Older Adult System of Care

Program reviewed trends across three (3) complete Fiscal Years and calculated average growth percentages to determine projections.

**Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP))
Program**

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s BHSS funded Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#)

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Please describe the specific services provided

Diversion Services through Triage Engagement and Supports Teams (TEST) provide crisis response, engagement, outreach, referrals, and linkage to appropriate resources. These services are designed to address immediate needs while connecting individuals to ongoing care and support systems.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	6393
FY 2027 – 2028	6840
FY 2028 – 2029	7318

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through the Adult and Older Adult System of Care

There has been an approximate seven percent (7%) increase in persons served since fiscal year (FY) 2023–2024, which has been impacted by the expansion of co-located sites, improved staff retention, and an increase in referrals.

Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s BHSS funded Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#)

Please select the service types provided under Program

Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

Clubhouse and Community Connections provides peer support services for adults eighteen (18) years of age or older who are experiencing mental health and substance use challenges. Services include access to showers, laundry facilities, meal support, peer-run groups, resources, linkages, community integration excursions, housing navigation, volunteer opportunities, job skills development, life skills training, system navigation assistance, and a clothing closet. These services are designed to promote recovery, wellness, and community engagement for participants.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	3000
FY 2027 – 2028	3500
FY 2028 – 2029	4000

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through the Adult and Older Adult System of Care

The Internal Data Tracking System estimates that approximately eighty percent (80%) of individuals would fall under outreach and engagement, while about twenty percent (20%) would be system linked. This distribution reflects the program’s emphasis on proactive engagement and connection to supportive services.

Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-Full Service Partnership (FSP)) Program

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s BHSS funded Adult and Older Adult System of Care (Non-FSP) program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.2 Children’s, Adult, and Older Adult Systems of Care](#)

Please select the service types provided under Program

Mental health services

Supportive services

Please describe the specific services provided

The progressive Integrated Care Collaborative (PICC) is an MHSA-approved innovation project with encumbered funding. The project was approved by the Commission for Behavioral Health (CBH) on May 25, 2023. For the purpose of reporting the project in the BHSA Budget Template, the funding has been included under the BHSS tab. The PICC project will help build the evidence base for new statewide strategies by testing an integrated model of behavioral and physical healthcare for Medi-Cal enrollees. By implementing coordinated service delivery, shared care planning, and integrated payment structures at pilot clinic sites, the project will generate measurable information on how integrated care improves health outcomes while reducing overall healthcare costs. This is especially significant for individuals with serious mental illness or addiction, who often experience fragmented care, high emergency department utilization, and preventable medical conditions that lead to premature mortality. The project’s data on health outcomes, service use, and cost impacts will provide valuable insight for developing scalable statewide approaches that address these long-standing gaps in care.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	160
FY 2027 – 2028	160
FY 2028 – 2029	160

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through the Adult and Older Adult System of Care

The number estimate is based on current physician caseloads in other San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health clinics.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to

meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Integrated New Family Opportunities (INFO)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Treatment Services and Supports: Other

Please specify “other” type of Treatment Services and Supports

Juvenile Involved Youth

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

Yes

Please select the EBPs and CDEPs that apply

Functional Family Therapy (FFT)

Please provide the name of the EBPs and CDEPs that apply

EBPs and CDEPs
Functional Family Therapy (FFT)

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

The intended outcomes of Early Intervention services within the Integrated New Family Opportunities (INFO) program include the early identification of behavioral health needs, reducing the likelihood that emerging symptoms progress into more severe mental health conditions, and ensuring timely linkage to appropriate care. The program focuses on decreasing risk factors associated with long-term adverse outcomes for children, youth, young adults, and families by providing support before conditions escalate. Expected outcomes include reducing the risk of suicide, involvement with the justice system, school failure, unemployment, homelessness, child welfare involvement, overdose, prolonged suffering, and the progression of mental illness. To support these outcomes, the program incorporates interventions such as intensive probation supervision and evidence-based Functional Family Therapy, which strengthen family

relationships, reduce risks associated with justice involvement, and improve overall functioning. Additional intended outcomes include reducing disparities by improving access to services for underserved individuals, strengthening family stability, and supporting improved mental, emotional, and relational well-being through early engagement in supportive services. These outcomes reflect the purpose of Early Intervention services, which is to prevent escalation and promote long-term wellness through timely and developmentally appropriate support.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	100
FY 2027 – 2028	100
FY 2028 – 2029	100

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

To project the number of individuals served, the County used historical juvenile justice referral data, average annual probation caseloads in the target age range, and prior utilization rates of family-based behavioral health programs. These data and assumptions informed the County’s estimate of how many individuals and families could be served during the implementation period.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Juvenile Court Behavioral Health Services

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Access and Linkage: Other

Treatment Services and Supports: Other

Please specify “other” type of Access and Linkage

Provide “other” types of access and linkage to various mental health and psychiatric providers to ensure individuals receive appropriate care and support.

Please specify “other” type of Treatment Services and Supports

Juvenile Court Behavioral Health Services (JCBHS) focuses on the early identification, assessment, and treatment of the mental health needs of children in out-of-home care.

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

The Juvenile Court Behavioral Health Services (JCBHS) program provides comprehensive assessments, mental health and psychiatric referrals, and linkages to address the complex needs of children and youth ages six (6) years and older who are involved in the Child Welfare System. Through coordinated access and linkage to appropriate mental health services, JCBHS expands outreach to underserved and marginalized communities and actively works to reduce stigma and discrimination associated with mental health diagnoses, conditions, and help-seeking behaviors.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	2830
FY 2027 – 2028	4456
FY 2028 – 2029	6132

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

There were one thousand two hundred three (1,203) children and youth who qualified in this category during a twelve (12)-month period. It is estimated that All County Letter (ACL) 25-10 will require Juvenile Court Behavioral Health Services (JCBHS) to conduct four thousand nine hundred twenty-nine (4,929) additional initial assessments per year, which qualify as early intervention. It is expected that not all of these cases will be referred to JCBHS in fiscal year (FY) 2026–2027; therefore, only thirty-three percent (33%) of the additional cases—approximately one thousand six hundred twenty-seven (1,627)—will be added in FY 2026–2027. As a result, FY 2026–2027 will serve two thousand eight hundred thirty (2,830) individuals, which includes the original one thousand two hundred three (1,203) plus the additional one thousand six hundred twenty-seven (1,627). FY 2027–2028 represents sixty-six percent (66%) of the expected increased referrals, totaling approximately three thousand two hundred fifty-three (3,253). FY 2028–2029 reflects one hundred percent (100%) of the projected annual increase, totaling four thousand nine hundred twenty-nine (4,929) assessments.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Screening, Assessment, Referral and Treatment (SART)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Access and Linkage: Other

Treatment Services and Supports: Other

Please specify “other” type of Access and Linkage

Provide “other” types of access and linkage to various mental health to ensure individuals receive appropriate care and support.

Please specify “other” type of Treatment Services and Supports

Parent Child Interactive Therapy (PCIT), Occupational Therapy, Speech and Language Therapy, Medication Support Services

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

Yes

Please select the EBPs and CDEPs that apply

Parent Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT)

Please provide the name of the EBPs and CDEPs that apply

EBPs and CDEPs
PCIT

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

The program ensures that Specialty Mental Health Services are provided to foster children and other eligible children in the community between the ages of zero (0) and five (5) years who are experiencing social, physical, cognitive, behavioral, developmental, and/or psychological challenges. The Screening, Assessment, Referral, and Treatment (SART) program delivers comprehensive mental health clinical assessments, which minimally include direct interactions with the child, observation of interactions with caregivers, formal interviews with caregivers, and review of all available records. In addition, SART provides linkage to community resources, psychoeducation regarding trauma and development, and strategies to

support children in achieving their mental health goals.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#).

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	4870
FY 2027 – 2028	5114
FY 2028 – 2029	5369

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

It is estimated that All County Letter (ACL) 25-10 will require the Screening, Assessment, Referral, and Treatment (SART) program to conduct two thousand two hundred eighty-seven (2,287) additional initial assessments, which qualify as early intervention. Therefore, fiscal year (FY) 2026–2027 will serve four thousand eight hundred seventy (4,870) individuals, which includes two thousand five hundred eighty-three (2,583) existing cases plus two thousand two hundred eighty-seven (2,287) additional cases. FY 2027–2028 represents a five percent (5%) increase from FY 2026–2027, and FY 2028–2029 represents a five percent (5%) increase from FY 2027–2028.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Early Identification and Intervention Services (EIS)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Access and Linkage: Other

Treatment Services and Supports: Other

Please specify “other” type of Access and Linkage

Provide “other” types of access and linkage to various mental health providers to ensure individuals receive appropriate care and support.

Please specify “other” type of Treatment Services and Supports

The Transitional Age Youth (TAY) provides Parent Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT) and Medication Support Services. The Early Identification and Intervention Services (EIS) program functions as an Early Intervention (EI) program within the Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS) component of the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA). Under BHSA, Early Intervention programs are intended to identify and respond to the early signs of behavioral health concerns before conditions become more severe, disabling, or require higher levels of care. BHSA places particular emphasis on early intervention for children and families to promote timely access to services, reduce untreated behavioral health conditions, and improve long-term outcomes through prevention-focused strategies. Consistent with this intent, EIS provides targeted services for young children ages 0–5 and their families who may be experiencing emerging emotional, behavioral, developmental, or family-related challenges. EIS is designed to intervene at the earliest point of concern, when brief and developmentally appropriate services can often reduce symptoms, improve functioning, and prevent escalation into more serious behavioral health conditions. Services are short-term, focused, and responsive to the presenting needs of the child and family. As an Early Intervention program, EIS provides developmental and behavioral screening to identify early warning signs related to emotional regulation, social functioning, attachment, behavioral concerns, and developmental stressors. When concerns are identified, the program conducts targeted assessments to determine immediate needs and appropriate next steps. These assessments focus on identifying risks and functional concerns rather than establishing long-term treatment pathways. Based on identified needs, EIS delivers brief, evidence-informed intervention services intended to stabilize concerns and strengthen functioning. Interventions may include emotional regulation support, age-appropriate behavioral strategies, parent-child relationship enhancement, and caregiver coaching to address specific concerns in the home environment. Services are commonly delivered in natural settings such as the home or community to reinforce strategies within the child’s daily routines. A key component of EIS as an Early Intervention program is caregiver education and skill development. Families receive practical tools to recognize early

warning signs, respond effectively to behavioral concerns, support healthy development, and create stable and nurturing home environments. This prevention-oriented approach helps families build capacity and reduces reliance on more intensive service systems. When a child's needs exceed the scope of brief intervention services, EIS provides timely referrals and linkage to specialty behavioral health treatment, developmental supports, healthcare providers, or other community-based resources. This ensures that children are connected to the appropriate level of care without delay. Through early identification, targeted short-term intervention, caregiver coaching, and timely linkage to ongoing supports, EIS fulfills its role as an Early Intervention program under BHSA by preventing escalation of behavioral health concerns and promoting healthy developmental trajectories for young children and their families.

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

Yes

Please select the EBPs and CDEPs that apply

Parent Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT)

Please provide the name of the EBPs and CDEPs that apply

EBPs and CDEPs
PCIT

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

The Early Identification and Intervention Services (EIS) program provides services to children under the age of nine (9) who are not demonstrating age-appropriate interactions and attachment. EIS offers comprehensive treatment services, including assessments, individual and family therapy, targeted case management, rehabilitative services, intensive home-based services, and intensive care coordination.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	1645
FY 2027 – 2028	1728
FY 2028 – 2029	1814

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

There were one thousand one hundred eighty-eight (1,188) children who qualified in this category during a twelve (12)-month period. It is estimated that All County Letter (ACL) 25-10 will require the Early Identification and Intervention Services (EIS) program to conduct four hundred fifty-seven (457) additional initial assessments, which qualify as early intervention. Therefore, fiscal year (FY) 2026–2027 will serve one thousand six hundred forty-five (1,645) individuals, which includes one thousand one hundred eighty-eight (1,188) existing cases plus four hundred fifty-seven (457) additional cases. FY 2027–2028 represents a five percent (5%) increase from FY 2026–2027, and FY 2028–2029 represents a five percent (5%) increase from FY 2027–2028.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

One Stop TAY Center Early Intervention Services

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Screenings

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

The Transitional Age Youth Early Identification and Intervention Services operate as a distinct Behavioral Health Services and Supports Early Intervention program component and provide only activities allowable under Early Intervention statute and policy. These services are designed for youth who are at risk for behavioral health concerns or who are beginning to show early signs or symptoms and do not yet require higher levels of care such as intensive treatment or CSOC services. In alignment with Early Intervention requirements, the program focuses on indicated prevention, case identification, and short-term early interventions that address emerging behavioral health needs before they escalate. Upon entry, youth receive developmentally appropriate screening and assessment to identify early emotional, behavioral, or social concerns along with strengths, environmental factors, and protective supports. Based on assessment results, the program provides targeted and time-limited interventions that aim to interrupt the progression of early symptoms and strengthen coping strategies, communication skills, social functioning, and self-management. Early Intervention services are delivered in a manner that promotes engagement, empowerment, and skill development and may include brief individual support, coaching, early skill-building activities, and linkage to natural supports or community resources. Caregivers, when involved, may receive support and guidance to reinforce early intervention strategies and assist in stabilizing emerging concerns. The program also offers system navigation and referral assistance to ensure youth can access resources such as primary health care, academic support, peer programs, or other community-based services that address early risk factors. When a youth's needs extend beyond the scope of Early Intervention, staff facilitate warm handoffs to appropriate CSOC programs or specialty mental health services to ensure continuity of care. All Early Intervention activities within the program are tracked, documented, and audited separately from CSOC base services to maintain compliance with Behavioral Health Services and Supports requirements. By providing short-term and developmentally appropriate services that target early behavioral health needs, the Transitional Age Youth Early Identification and Intervention Services program helps stabilize emerging concerns, strengthen protective factors, and prevent the need for more intensive mental health intervention.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

Yes

Please describe for each additional priority why the county opted to include this priority and metrics to assess the effectiveness of the program

Additional priority name	Description
childhood trauma: Eligible children and youth in populations with identified disparities in behavioral health.	BHSS requires 35% of EI services to address the needs of children and youth under 25 years of age. Metric: Total TAY who receive at least one EI service: screening, linkage, referral to services (CBO, SUD, or similar services), or enrollment into FSP. Outcome metrics will be monitored quarterly to adjust estimates as appropriate.

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	15
FY 2027 – 2028	15
FY 2028 – 2029	15

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

In fiscal year (FY) 2023–2024, System Development (SD) served one hundred five (105) unduplicated clients, as reported in the Exhibit 6 Annual Report. Since FY 2023–2024, the program has restructured its intake process to expedite enrollment into Full Service Partnership (FSP), thereby reducing the number of non-FSP clients receiving services. However, we anticipate a small number of clients will seek Early Intervention (EI) services, which include screening, linkage, referral to services such as Community-Based Organizations

(CBO), Substance Use Disorder (SUD) programs, or similar services, or enrollment into FSP. Youth who do not immediately transition to FSP enrollment will receive Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) EI services to maintain engagement until they fully commit to the FSP program.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Family Resource Center (FRC)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Screenings

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Access and Linkage: Other

Please specify “other” type of Access and Linkage

warm hand-offs

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

FRC Outreach and Engagement services strengthen relationships with community partners, including families, service providers, law enforcement, schools, and local organizations through consistent, field-based involvement. Staff provide education on the early signs and symptoms of mental illness by offering presentations, community group sessions, school workshops, wellness fairs, resource tabling, film screenings, and expert-led Q&A events. These outreach and engagement activities increase awareness,

reduce stigma, and help community members understand when and how to seek support. The goal is to reduce prolonged suffering associated with untreated mental health illness. Early Intervention (EI) activities accomplish this by providing counseling and treatment that lead to reduced symptoms and improved recovery, including enhanced mental, emotional, and relational functioning.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	4000
FY 2027 – 2028	4000
FY 2028 – 2029	4000

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

Based on performance from fiscal year (FY) 2024–2025.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Native American Resource Center (NARC)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

- Access and Linkage: Screenings
- Access and Linkage: Assessments
- Access and Linkage: Referrals
- Outreach

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

This program serves as a one-stop center offering early intervention and linkage to behavioral health services for Native American community members of all ages. Services include talking circles, wellness circles, drumming circles, Daughters of Tradition, cultural education and awareness, cultural arts therapy, and cognitive therapy groups.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	1750
FY 2027 – 2028	1750
FY 2028 – 2029	1750

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

For fiscal years (FY) 2026 through 2029, the program projects a stable number of individuals served with no year-over-year change. This flat projection reflects a conservative approach based on historical service trends, capacity constraints, and funding expectations.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county's overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the "Add" button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Older Adult Community Services (OACS)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Screenings

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Treatment Services and Supports: Other

Please specify "other" type of Treatment Services and Supports

Individual and group therapy

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

To address key indicators that can contribute to mental health challenges, such as depression, isolation, chronic physical health conditions, and lack of family support. Early Intervention (EI) activities focus on identifying behavioral health needs early, preventing conditions from becoming more severe, and ensuring successful linkage to appropriate care. These components also help reduce disparities by increasing access to supportive services for underserved older adults, improving engagement in wellness and prevention activities, and enhancing mental, emotional, and relational functioning. By incorporating these EI-aligned strategies, the program addresses key contributors to mental health challenges in older adults, such as isolation, depression, chronic physical health conditions, and limited family or social support.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	583
FY 2027 – 2028	583
FY 2028 – 2029	583

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

Based on performance from fiscal year (FY) 2024–2025.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Department of Aging and Adult Services (DAAS)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Screenings

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

The target population includes older adults aged sixty (60) and older, along with their families or caregivers, who face disparities in accessing mental health services and may experience trauma, bereavement, stigma, suicide risk, or the onset or relapse of a mental health condition. To align with the Outreach component, the program engages older adults in community settings through informational presentations, group sessions, wellness fairs, and educational events that raise awareness of mental health needs and available services. DAAS staff also help connect individuals to mental and physical health services, provide case management, and make referrals as appropriate, supporting older adults experiencing chronic medical conditions, prolonged isolation, or existing mental health challenges.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	603
FY 2027 – 2028	603
FY 2028 – 2029	603

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

For fiscal years (FY) 2026 through 2029, the program projects a stable number of individuals served, with no year-over-year change. This flat projection reflects a conservative approach based on historical service trends, capacity constraints, and anticipated funding levels.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Juvenile Public Defender (CYC)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Screenings

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

Increase early access and linkage to medically necessary care and treatment. Ensure that children and youth with severe mental illness (SMI) are connected to care as early as possible in the onset of these conditions, facilitating timely access to medically necessary care and treatment. Reduce and circumvent stigma and discrimination associated with being diagnosed with a mental health condition, living with a mental health condition, or seeking services for mental health conditions. The outreach component of the Public Defenders program provides services to participants to engage, encourage, educate, and/or train potential responders on how to recognize and respond effectively to early indicators of potentially severe and disabling mental illness. In addition to these services, the program offers outreach services to increase awareness and access to services including education, outreach, case management, resource referrals, and mentoring, as part of connecting communities to appropriate resources.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	4472
FY 2027 – 2028	4472
FY 2028 – 2029	4472

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

For Fiscal Year (FY) Two Thousand Twenty-Six (2026) through Fiscal Year (FY) Two Thousand Twenty-Nine (2029), the program projects a stable number of individuals served, with no year-over-year change. This flat projection reflects a conservative approach informed by historical service trends, capacity constraints, and anticipated funding levels.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Military Services and Family Support (MSFS)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Screenings

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Treatment Services and Supports: Services that prevent, respond to, or treat a behavioral health crisis or decrease the impacts of suicide

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

The Military Services and Family Support (MSFS) program is a program that targets active-duty military service members from all branches, veterans, retired military personnel, and their families. This program addresses the unique challenges that military members and their families experience due to circumstances specific to military life. MSFS services include screening and assessments, therapeutic treatment, resource navigation, and education. The MSFS program includes Outreach activities by engaging military families in community settings through mental health presentations, resource fairs, group sessions, and educational workshops. Staff provide screenings, information on early signs of mental health concerns, and linkage to services while promoting awareness of available supports. These outreach strategies increase access, reduce stigma, and connect service members and their families to needed resources.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
-------------------	--

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	2759
FY 2027 – 2028	2759
FY 2028 – 2029	2759

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

Based on performance from FY 24/25.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Student Assistance Program (SAP)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Screenings

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

The Student Assistance Program (SAP) provides targeted services to K–12 students experiencing mental health, substance use, academic, emotional, or social challenges. In addition to screenings, assessments, education, and counseling, the SAP program includes Outreach activities that reach potential responders and increase awareness of early mental health indicators. Outreach strategies include schoolwide psychoeducation, classroom presentations, resource fairs, and participation in school and community meetings. The San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools, in collaboration with DBH, also hosts a multi-day Wellness Conference that trains school staff, caregivers, and community members on recognizing behavioral concerns and connecting students to services. These outreach efforts minimize barriers to learning, strengthen connections to support, and help shorten the duration of untreated mental illness.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	813
FY 2027 – 2028	813
FY 2028 – 2029	813

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

For Fiscal Year (FY) Two Thousand Twenty-Six (2026) through Fiscal Year (FY) Two Thousand Twenty-Nine (2029), the program projects a stable number of individuals served, with no year-over-year change. This flat projection reflects a conservative approach based on historical service trends, capacity limitations, and anticipated funding expectations.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy](#)

[Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Building Blocks for Success (BBS)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Screenings

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

Building Blocks for Success (BBS) is an early intervention service for preschool-aged children in school or at home to reduce escalated behaviors and prevent classroom injuries. Services are provided to children ages two (2) through five (5) in the State Head Start Preschool Program, as well as to their parents, caregivers, and teachers. BBS includes Outreach, Access, and Linkage activities. Staff conduct outreach to parents, caregivers, and teachers to identify early behavioral concerns and promote early help-seeking. Parent Training supports access by strengthening parenting skills such as positive discipline, monitoring, and building social and academic competencies. BBS also provides linkage by connecting families and teachers to additional community mental health and supportive services when needed.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	120
FY 2027 – 2028	120
FY 2028 – 2029	120

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

For Fiscal Year (FY) Two Thousand Twenty-Six (2026) through Fiscal Year (FY) Two Thousand Twenty-Nine (2029), the program projects a stable number of individuals served, with no year-over-year change. This flat projection reflects a conservative approach based on historical service trends, capacity constraints, and anticipated funding expectations.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Resilience Promotion in African American Children (RPIAAC)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Screenings

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

Resilience Promotion in African American Children (RPIAAC) provides early intervention services for African American/Black children and youth by promoting resilience, improving school engagement, reducing suspensions and expulsions, strengthening family functioning, and decreasing involvement with law enforcement. The program incorporates a strong outreach component through active engagement in schools, neighborhoods, and community organizations, as well as presentations, informational sessions, and distribution of educational materials to increase service awareness and identify youth who may benefit from support. Once engaged, participants receive cultural awareness and empowerment workshops, professional development presentations, mental health and substance use disorder screenings, psychoeducation, counseling, case management, homework assistance, and parenting workshops. Successful treatment is measured by the participant’s completion of all identified treatment goals at case closure.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	5666
FY 2027 – 2028	5666
FY 2028 – 2029	5666

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

For Fiscal Year (FY) Two Thousand Twenty-Six (2026) through Fiscal Year (FY) Two Thousand Twenty-Nine (2029), the program projects a stable number of individuals served, with no year-over-year change. This flat projection reflects a conservative approach based on historical service trends, capacity constraints, and anticipated funding expectations.

Early Intervention (EI) Programs

For each program or service type that is part of the county’s overall EI program, provide the following information. County EI programs must include all required components outlined in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.3](#), but counties may develop multiple programs/interventions to meet all county EI requirements. If the county provides more than one program or service type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7 Early Intervention Programs](#).

Program or service name

Community Wholeness and Enrichment (CWE)

Please select which of the three EI components are included as part of the program or service

Outreach

Access and Linkage: Screenings

Access and Linkage: Assessments

Access and Linkage: Referrals

Please indicate if the program or service includes evidence-based practices (EBPs) or community-defined evidence practices (CDEPs) from the biennial list for EI programs

No

Please describe intended outcomes of the program or service

The program aims to address mental health disorders early in their development by utilizing prevention and early intervention strategies to avert or lessen the severity of mental illness. It focuses on identifying emerging symptoms among Transitional Age Youth (TAY) ages sixteen (16) through twenty-five (25) and supporting families through education, early intervention, and access to care. As part of its Outreach component, the program conducts structured engagement activities in schools, colleges, community organizations, shelters, and youth-serving agencies to increase awareness of available services and identify individuals who may be experiencing early signs of mental health challenges. Outreach strategies include participating in community events, hosting informational sessions, distributing educational materials, and collaborating with partner organizations to create supportive referral pathways. Through these efforts, the program reduces barriers to care, encourages help-seeking behaviors, and connects underserved or high-risk TAY to appropriate services. Once youth are engaged, the program offers early intervention services including screenings and assessments, case management, linkage and referrals, evidence-based treatments, early intervention counseling, relapse prevention strategies, mental health education, support groups, and suicide bereavement services. These services help manage early symptoms, prevent

escalation, and strengthen both individual coping skills and family support systems.

Please indicate if the county identified additional priority uses of BHSS EI funds beyond those listed in the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.2](#)

No

Please provide the total projected number of individuals served for EI during the plan period by fiscal year (FY)

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	1948
FY 2027 – 2028	1948
FY 2028 – 2029	1948

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through EI programs

For FY2026–FY2029, the program projects a stable number of individuals served (no year-over-year change). This flat projection reflects a conservative approach based on historical service trends, capacity constraints, and funding expectations.

Coordinated Specialty Care for First Episode Psychosis (CSC) program

For related policy information, refer to [7.A.7.5.1 Coordinated Specialty Care for First Episode Psychosis](#).

Please provide the following information on the county’s Coordinated Specialty Care for First Episode Psychosis (CSC) program

CSC program name

Improving Detection and Early Access (IDEA) Program

CSC program description

The Innovative Direction for Early Access (IDEA) Program is dedicated to supporting Transitional Age Youth (TAY), ages fourteen (14) through sixty-five (65), who are at high risk of developing psychosis or are experiencing their first episode of psychosis. The program provides a comprehensive range of mental health services, including screenings and assessments, individual and group counseling, case management, family education and support, and assistance with educational and employment goals. IDEA focuses on early identification and intervention to promote recovery, resilience, and long-term wellness.

DHCS will provide counties with information to complete the estimated fields for eligible population and practitioners/teams needed for CSC. The estimated numbers of teams/practitioners reflect the numbers needed to reach the entire eligible population (i.e., achieve a 100 percent penetration rate), and DHCS recognizes that counties will generally not be able to reach the entire eligible population. These projections are not binding and are for planning purposes. In future guidance, DHCS will provide more information on the number of teams counties must implement to demonstrate compliance with BHSA CSC requirements

Please review the total estimated number of individuals who may be eligible for CSC (based on the Service Criteria in the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT) Evidence Based Practice [\(EBP\) Policy Guide](#) and the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.7.5](#)). Please input the estimates provided to the county in the table below.

CSC Eligible Population	Estimates
Number of Medi-Cal Enrolled Individuals	<11*
Number of Uninsured Individuals	<11*

CSC Practitioners and Teams Needed	Estimates
Number of Practitioners Needed to Serve Total Eligible Population	1
Number of Teams Needed to Serve Total Eligible Population	1

Taking into account the total eligible population estimates, current and projected workforce capacity, and BHSA funding allocation for BHSS, please provide the total number of teams and Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs) (county and non-county contracted providers) the county behavioral health system plans to utilize (i.e., current and new FTE) to provide CSC over this Integrated Plan period, by fiscal year.

County Actuals	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29
Total Number of Practitioners	1	1	1
Total Number of Teams	1	1	1

Will the county’s CSC program be supplemented with other (non-BHSA) funding source(s)?

No

Outreach and Engagement (O&E) Program

For each program or activity that is part of the county’s standalone O&E programs provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or activity, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.3 Outreach and Engagement](#).

Program or activity name

Public Relations and Outreach Services (PROS)

Please describe the program or activity

The Public Relations and Outreach Services (PROS) division promotes services for individuals who have experienced mental illness or substance use disorders. PROS works to reduce stigma through education, awareness, and outreach. By utilizing strategic communication and community engagement, the division advocates for all individuals to achieve optimal wellness.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	87804
FY 2027 – 2028	90439
FY 2028 – 2029	93152

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through O&E programs

Public Relations and Outreach Services (PROS) outreach and engagement efforts continue to experience steady growth as community demand for Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) presence at local events increases each year. Beginning with an estimated eighty-five thousand two hundred forty-seven (85,247) community touchpoints, projections reflect a consistent three percent (3%) annual increase driven by expanding requests for DBH participation in health fairs, school events, cultural gatherings, and neighborhood activities. This growth is further supported by the rising popularity and promotion of DBH-led community events, which attract residents seeking information, connection, and support. The upward trend also reflects the continued expansion of the Outreach Taskforce—trained staff from various DBH programs who strengthen the department’s capacity to deliver high-quality outreach, presentations, and resource navigation countywide. Together, these efforts demonstrate the community’s growing trust in DBH as a source of mental health education and support, while highlighting the department’s commitment to meeting residents where they are and expanding access to behavioral health services.

Outreach and Engagement (O&E) Program

For each program or activity that is part of the county’s standalone O&E programs provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or activity, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.3 Outreach and Engagement](#).

Program or activity name

Office of Suicide Prevention

Please describe the program or activity

The Office of Suicide Prevention leads initiatives to promote mental health and prevent suicide throughout San Bernardino County. Through the Take Action for Mental Health project, the office provides comprehensive mental health and suicide prevention training to diverse audiences while actively engaging youth through the Directing Change program. Additionally, the office offers Technical Assistance (TA) to support countywide efforts, including crisis response, capacity building, strategic guidance, and resource navigation. These services focus on reducing stigma, preventing suicide, and improving student mental health.

Please provide the projected number of individuals served during the plan period by fiscal year (FY) in the table below

Plan Period by FY	Projected Number of Individuals Served
FY 2026 – 2027	2305
FY 2027 – 2028	2305
FY 2028 – 2029	2305

Please describe any data or assumptions the county used to project the number of individuals served through O&E programs

For Fiscal Year (FY) Two Thousand Twenty-Six (2026) through Fiscal Year (FY) Two Thousand Twenty-Nine (2029), the program projects a stable number of individuals served, with no year-over-year change. This flat projection reflects a conservative approach based on historical service trends, capacity constraints, and anticipated funding expectations.

County Workforce, Education, and Training (WET) Program

As described in the Policy Manual, WET activities should supplement, but not duplicate, funding available through other state-administered workforce initiatives, including the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT) workforce initiative administered by the Department of Health Care Access and Information (HCAI). Counties should prioritize available BH-CONNECT and other state-administered workforce programs whenever possible. Responses in this section should address the county’s WET program. Other workforce efforts should be addressed in the Workforce Strategy section of the Integrated Plan (IP).

For each program or activity that is part of the county’s overall WET program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or activity type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.4 Workforce Education and Training](#).

Program or activity name

Workforce Training

Please select which of the following categories the activity falls under

Workforce Recruitment, Development, Training, and Retention

Please describe efforts to address disparities in the Behavioral Health workforce.

Additional information regarding diversity of the behavioral health workforce can found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.4.9](#)

The Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) is committed to reducing workforce disparities through inclusive hiring practices, offering bilingual compensation, and providing training and development initiatives that build a culturally and linguistically competent workforce. Such as Language skills training for bilingual staff and interpreter training for users of interpreter services.

County Workforce, Education, and Training (WET) Program

As described in the Policy Manual, WET activities should supplement, but not duplicate, funding available through other state-administered workforce initiatives, including the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT) workforce initiative administered by the Department of Health Care Access and Information (HCAI). Counties should prioritize available BH-CONNECT and other state-administered workforce programs whenever possible. Responses in this section should address the county’s WET program. Other workforce efforts should be

addressed in the Workforce Strategy section of the Integrated Plan (IP).

For each program or activity that is part of the county’s overall WET program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or activity type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.4 Workforce Education and Training](#).

Program or activity name

Financial Incentives

Please select which of the following categories the activity falls under

Loan Repayment

Please describe efforts to address disparities in the Behavioral Health workforce.

Additional information regarding diversity of the behavioral health workforce can found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.4.9](#)

The Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) is committed to reducing workforce disparities by implementing financial incentive programs that attract and retain a diverse, culturally competent workforce. To address these gaps; DBH offers financial incentives such as student loan repayment assistance and tuition reimbursement. By reducing financial barriers and offering competitive benefits, DBH encourages individuals from underrepresented groups to pursue careers in behavioral health.

County Workforce, Education, and Training (WET) Program

As described in the Policy Manual, WET activities should supplement, but not duplicate, funding available through other state-administered workforce initiatives, including the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT) workforce initiative administered by the Department of Health Care Access and Information (HCAI). Counties should prioritize available BH-CONNECT and other state-administered workforce programs whenever possible. Responses in this section should address the county’s WET program. Other workforce efforts should be addressed in the Workforce Strategy section of the Integrated Plan (IP).

For each program or activity that is part of the county’s overall WET program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or activity type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.4 Workforce Education and Training](#).

Program or activity name

Internships

Please select which of the following categories the activity falls under

Internship and Apprenticeship Programs

Please describe efforts to address disparities in the Behavioral Health workforce.

Additional information regarding diversity of the behavioral health workforce can found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.4.9](#)

The Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) is committed to addressing workforce disparities by expanding internship programs that create pathways for diverse candidates to enter the behavioral health field. These programs provide hands-on experience, mentorship, and training in culturally responsive care, helping interns transition into full-time positions within DBH. By creating a pipeline for underrepresented groups, DBH strengthens its ability to meet community needs and improve language access. To ensure the success of these programs, DBH must also address staffing considerations for supervision. Interns who are not yet licensed require oversight from licensed professionals, which means evaluating current capacity and ensuring adequate supervisory resources. This approach not only supports compliance but also enhances the quality of training and prepares interns for future roles within the department.

County Workforce, Education, and Training (WET) Program

As described in the Policy Manual, WET activities should supplement, but not duplicate, funding available through other state-administered workforce initiatives, including the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT) workforce initiative administered by the Department of Health Care Access and Information (HCAI). Counties should prioritize available BH-CONNECT and other state-administered workforce programs whenever possible. Responses in this section should address the county’s WET program. Other workforce efforts should be addressed in the Workforce Strategy section of the Integrated Plan (IP).

For each program or activity that is part of the county’s overall WET program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or activity type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.4 Workforce Education and Training](#).

Program or activity name

Psychiatric Residency

Please select which of the following categories the activity falls under

Workforce Recruitment, Development, Training, and Retention

Please describe efforts to address disparities in the Behavioral Health workforce.

Additional information regarding diversity of the behavioral health workforce can found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.4.9](#)

The Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) is committed to addressing workforce disparities by expanding its psychiatric residency program to develop a pipeline of culturally and linguistically competent psychiatrists. Through structured training, mentorship, and exposure to community-based behavioral health settings, this program encourages participation from underrepresented groups and strengthens the psychiatric workforce in high-need areas. To ensure the success of this initiative, DBH must also address supervisory capacity. Residents require oversight from licensed professionals, which means evaluating current staffing resources and ensuring adequate supervision is available. This approach not only supports compliance with training requirements but also enhances the quality of education and prepares residents for future roles within the department.

County Workforce, Education, and Training (WET) Program

As described in the Policy Manual, WET activities should supplement, but not duplicate, funding available through other state-administered workforce initiatives, including the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT) workforce initiative administered by the Department of Health Care Access and Information (HCAI). Counties should prioritize available BH-CONNECT and other state-administered workforce programs whenever possible. Responses in this section should address the county’s WET program. Other workforce efforts should be addressed in the Workforce Strategy section of the Integrated Plan (IP).

For each program or activity that is part of the county’s overall WET program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or activity type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.4 Workforce Education and Training](#).

Program or activity name

Volunteer Services

Please select which of the following categories the activity falls under

Workforce Recruitment, Development, Training, and Retention

Please describe efforts to address disparities in the Behavioral Health workforce.

Additional information regarding diversity of the behavioral health workforce can found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.4.9](#)

The purpose of Department of Behavioral Health (DBH’s) Volunteer Services Program is to strengthen and

sustain the behavioral health workforce by intentionally recruiting and developing future professionals. The program actively engages a diverse range of individuals, including students, individuals exploring new career pathways, and community members who are interested in pursuing careers in behavioral health. Through hands-on experience, mentorship, and exposure to real-world public service environments, the program builds a pipeline of knowledgeable, culturally responsive, and community-centered professionals. Volunteer Services plays a critical role in cultivating interest in behavioral health careers, increasing workforce diversity, and supporting long-term workforce development efforts across San Bernardino County. In addition, this program providing structured, supervised, and relevant experiential learning opportunities that expose volunteers to the real-world application of behavioral health services and outreach activities.

County Workforce, Education, and Training (WET) Program

As described in the Policy Manual, WET activities should supplement, but not duplicate, funding available through other state-administered workforce initiatives, including the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT) workforce initiative administered by the Department of Health Care Access and Information (HCAI). Counties should prioritize available BH-CONNECT and other state-administered workforce programs whenever possible. Responses in this section should address the county’s WET program. Other workforce efforts should be addressed in the Workforce Strategy section of the Integrated Plan (IP).

For each program or activity that is part of the county’s overall WET program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or activity type, use the “Add” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.4 Workforce Education and Training](#).

Program or activity name

Peer and Family Advocates

Please select which of the following categories the activity falls under

Workforce Recruitment, Development, Training, and Retention

Please describe efforts to address disparities in the Behavioral Health workforce.

Additional information regarding diversity of the behavioral health workforce can found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.4.9](#)

The Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) is actively addressing disparities in the behavioral health workforce through its Peer Program, which promotes cultural responsiveness and workforce diversity. This program provides specialized training, certification support, and career development opportunities to

ensure individuals with lived experience are prepared for success in professional roles. Over the next three years, DBH plans to expand the peer workforce by 10 full-time equivalent (FTE) positions to meet Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Transformation requirements and mandates. Certified Peer Support Specialists are integral to delivering Evidence-Based Practices (EBPs) with fidelity and meeting state performance measures, including Follow-up After Emergency Department visits for Mental Illness (FUM) and Substance Use (FUA). Currently, DBH employs 55 Peer and Family Advocate (PFA) staff members, with 22 certified to bill Medi-Cal. Increasing the number of certified peers will enhance compliance with BHSA requirements and expand Medi-Cal billable services. Peer support became a Medi-Cal benefit in 2022, and DBH provides training and funding for peers to obtain and maintain certification, which includes completing 20 hours of Continuing Education Units (CEUs) every two years. Certification and training are funded through the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Workforce Education and Training Component and will continue under the BHSA Behavioral Health Services and Support Component (BHSS). These efforts not only strengthen cultural responsiveness but also create pathways for individuals from diverse backgrounds to enter and advance within the behavioral health workforce. DBH maintains ongoing recruitment for these positions to ensure sustainability and growth.

County Workforce, Education, and Training (WET) Program

As described in the Policy Manual, WET activities should supplement, but not duplicate, funding available through other state-administered workforce initiatives, including the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT) workforce initiative administered by the Department of Health Care Access and Information (HCAI). Counties should prioritize available BH-CONNECT and other state-administered workforce programs whenever possible. Responses in this section should address the county's WET program. Other workforce efforts should be addressed in the Workforce Strategy section of the Integrated Plan (IP).

For each program or activity that is part of the county's overall WET program, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program or activity type, use the "Add" button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.4 Workforce Education and Training](#).

Program or activity name

Leadership Development

Please select which of the following categories the activity falls under

Workforce Recruitment, Development, Training, and Retention

Please describe efforts to address disparities in the Behavioral Health workforce.

Additional information regarding diversity of the behavioral health workforce can found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.4.9](#)

The Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) is committed to cultivating a robust, diverse, and equitable leadership pipeline. By addressing professional development gaps and fostering internal talent, DBH ensures that its leadership team is equipped to drive innovation and maintain high-quality behavioral health services. Key leadership initiatives include: 1. DBH Employee Scholarship Program (ESP) - To promote a diverse and resilient workforce, the DBH Employee Scholarship Program (ESP) awards annual tuition assistance to employees pursuing a range of clinical and non-clinical degrees. From foundational certificates to doctoral studies, the ESP empowers staff to advance their careers and strengthens the department's commitment to professional growth and long-term stability. 2. Franklin Covey Leadership Training - Through a partnership with the Southern Counties Regional Partnership (SCRIP), DBH offers world-class performance improvement training. Participants engage in a 10-month instructor-guided program based on The 6 Critical Practices for Leading a Team. Additionally, employees receive an All-Access Pass to the Franklin Covey library, featuring: The 7 Habits of Highly Effective People®: Foundational personal and interpersonal effectiveness. The 4 Essential Roles of Leadership™: Focusing on trust, vision, execution, and coaching. Leading at the Speed of Trust®: Improving collaboration and organizational efficiency. The 4 Disciplines of Execution® (4DX): A framework for achieving strategic goals amidst daily operations. 3. Leadership Workshops (SCRIP) - In collaboration with SCRIP, DBH provides targeted workshops to address specific supervisory challenges: Transitioning into New Supervisor Roles, Managing Change in Changing Times, Intergenerational Supervision Dynamics, Clinical Supervision 4. Internal County Leadership Development Programming - Leadership Development Program (LDP) was a year-long initiative DBH employees at all levels, designed to build core competencies in areas such as communication, project management, team building, and supervisory skills. It fostered professional growth and operational excellence across the organization. Management and Leadership Academy (MLA) the County's MLA is a high-level professional development program. The MLA prepares employees for management roles by focusing on strategic planning, executive coaching, bias awareness, and advanced team leadership. 5. Reality-Based Leadership Management Training - Based on the principles of Cy Wakeman, this six-month instructor-guided program (led by presenter Alex Dorr) challenges traditional management philosophies. It equips leaders to eliminate workplace drama and focus on accountability and results.

Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN) Program

For each project that is part of the county's CFTN project, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one project, use the "Add" button. Additional information on CFTN policies can be found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.5](#).

Project name

myAvatar EHR

Please select the type of project

Technological needs project

If Technological Needs Project, please select the focus area(s) of the project

Data exchange and interoperability

Data security and privacy

Electronic health record system

Online information resources for individuals/families

System maintenance costs

Telemedicine

Please describe the project

Continued use of myAvatar, as well as system enhancements to maximize efficiency for staff use and end-user utilization. Automating processes via artificial intelligence (AI) technologies, assessing clinical AI assistants, and product add-ons will be evaluated and implemented when applicable.

Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN) Program

For each project that is part of the county’s CFTN project, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one project, use the “Add” button. Additional information on CFTN policies can be found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.5](#).

Project name

Administrative Services Process Automation

Please select the type of project

Technological needs project

If Technological Needs Project, please select the focus area(s) of the project

Imaging/paper conversion

Monitoring

Online information resources for individuals/families

Please describe the project

Procure or develop automated solutions to replace manual processes for contract review, fiscal budget tracking, and approval procedures.

Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN) Program

For each project that is part of the county’s CFTN project, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one project, use the “Add” button. Additional information on CFTN policies can be found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.5](#).

Project name

Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) data tracking and Integration Plan software solution for project tracking and monitoring

Please select the type of project

Technological needs project

If Technological Needs Project, please select the focus area(s) of the project

Other

Please describe the other focus area of the project

Process automation

Please describe the project

Developing or procuring software solutions to track and monitor data elements required for Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) compliance as well as having the ability to assist with the development and monitoring of BHSA Integrated Plans.

Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN) Program

For each project that is part of the county’s CFTN project, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one project, use the “Add” button. Additional information on CFTN policies can be found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.5](#).

Project name

SB 929 Data Collection Portal

Please select the type of project

Technological needs project

If Technological Needs Project, please select the focus area(s) of the project

Other

Please describe the other focus area of the project

Data collection

Please describe the project

Working with County Information Technology (IT) to develop a public-facing web portal that will allow entities within San Bernardino County to submit their SB 929 data to the Department of Behavioral Health (DBH), which will, in turn, submit that data to the state. Phase 2 of this project may include implementing form upload capabilities to further automate data submissions.

Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CFTN) Program

For each project that is part of the county's CFTN project, provide the following information. If the county provides more than one project, use the "Add" button. Additional information on CFTN policies can be found in [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section A.5](#).

Project name

Substance Use Disorder Bed Tracking Application

Please select the type of project

Technological needs project

If Technological Needs Project, please select the focus area(s) of the project

Monitoring

Please describe the project

Development of a software solution for tracking and monitoring bed availability for Substance Use Disorder (SUD) members.

Full Service Partnership Program

DHCS will provide counties with information to complete the estimated fields for eligible population and practitioners/teams needed for each EBP. The estimated numbers of teams/practitioners reflect the numbers needed to reach the entire eligible population (i.e., achieve a 100 percent penetration rate), and DHCS recognizes that counties will generally not be able to reach the entire eligible population, in consideration of BHSA funding availability. These projections are not binding and are for planning purposes only. In future guidance, DHCS will provide more information on the number of teams counties must implement to demonstrate compliance with BHSA FSP requirements. For related policy information, refer to [7.B.3 Full Service Partnership Program Requirements](#) and [7.B.4 Full Service Partnership Levels of Care](#).

Please review the total estimated number of individuals who may be eligible for each of the following Full Service Partnership (FSP) services (consistent with the Service Criteria in the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT) [Evidence-Based Practice \(EBP\) Policy Guide](#), the [Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section B](#), and forthcoming High Fidelity Wraparound (HFW) Medi-Cal Guidance): Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) and Forensic Assertive Community Treatment (FACT), Full Service Partnership (FSP) Intensive Case Management (ICM), HFW and Individual Placement and Support (IPS) Model of Supported Employment). Please input the estimates provided to the county in the table below

Total Adult FSP Eligible Population	Estimates
Number of Medi-Cal Enrolled Individuals	7252

Total Adult FSP Eligible Population	Estimates
Number of Uninsured Individuals	1098
Number of Total FSP Eligible Individuals with Some Justice-System Involvement	2714

Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) and Forensic Assertive Community Treatment (FACT) Eligible Population

Please input the estimates provided to the county in the table below

ACT Eligible Population	Estimates
Number of Medi-Cal Enrolled Individuals	890
Number of Uninsured Individuals	135

FACT Eligible Population (ACT with Justice-System Involvement)	Estimates
Number of Medi-Cal Enrolled Individuals	445
Number of Uninsured Individuals	67

ACT/FACT Practitioners and Teams Needed	Estimates
Number of Practitioners Needed to Serve Total Eligible Population	160
Number of Teams Needed to Serve Total Eligible Population	16

Taking into account the total eligible population estimates, current and projected workforce capacity, and BHSA funding allocation for FSP, please provide the total number of teams and Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs) (county and non-county contracted providers) the county behavioral health system plans to utilize (i.e., current and new FTEs) to provide ACT and FACT over this Integrated Plan period, by fiscal year. DHCS will provide further guidance and Technical Assistance (TA) to assist counties with completing these fields.

County Actuals	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29
Total Number of Practitioners	145	145	145
Total Number of Teams	25	25	25

Full Service Partnership (FSP) Intensive Case Management (ICM) Eligible Population

Please input the estimates provided to the county in the table below

FSP ICM Eligible Population	Estimates
Number of Medi-Cal Enrolled Individuals	5917
Number of Uninsured Individuals	896

FSP ICM Practitioners and Teams Needed	Estimates
Number of Practitioners Needed to Serve Total Eligible Population	275
Number of Teams Needed to Serve Total Eligible Population	55

Taking into account the total eligible population estimates, current and projected workforce capacity, and BHSA funding allocation for FSP, please provide the total number of teams and FTEs (county and non-county contracted providers) the county behavioral health system plans to utilize (i.e., current and new FTEs) to provide FSP ICM over this Integrated Plan period, by fiscal year. DHCS will provide further guidance and TA to assist counties with completing these fields.

County Actuals	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29
Total Number of Practitioners	199	243	276

County Actuals	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29
Total Number of Teams	30	35	40

High Fidelity Wraparound (HFW) Eligible Population

Please input the estimates provided to the county in the table below

HFW Eligible Population	Estimates
Number of Medi-Cal Enrolled Individuals	2576
Number of Uninsured Individuals	375

HFW Practitioners and Teams Needed	Estimates
Number of Practitioners Needed to Serve Total Eligible Population	966
Number of Teams Needed to Serve Total Eligible Population	19

Taking into account the total eligible population estimates, current and projected workforce capacity, and BHSA funding allocation for FSP, please provide the total number of teams and FTEs (county and non-county contracted providers) the county behavioral health system plans to utilize (i.e., current and new FTE) to provide HFW over this Integrated Plan period, by fiscal year. DHCS will provide further guidance and TA to assist counties with completing these fields.

County Actuals	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29
Total Number of Practitioners	303	321	329
Total Number of Teams	104	111	114

Individual Placement and Support (IPS) Eligible Population

Please input the estimates provided to the county in the table below

IPS Eligible Population	Estimates
Number of Medi-Cal Enrolled Individuals	9538
Number of Uninsured Individuals	1477

IPS Practitioners and Teams Needed	Estimates
Number of Practitioners Needed to Serve Total Eligible Population	690
Number of Teams Needed to Serve Total Eligible Population	276

Taking into account the total eligible population estimates, current and projected workforce capacity, and BHSA funding allocation for FSP, please provide the total number of teams and FTEs (county and non-county contracted providers) the county behavioral health system plans to utilize (i.e., current and new FTE) to provide IPS over this Integrated Plan period, by fiscal year.

County Actuals	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29
Total Number of Practitioners	15	17	17
Total Number of Teams	5	9	9

Full Service Partnership (FSP) Program Overview

Please provide the following information about the county's BHSA FSP program

Will any of the estimated number of practitioners the county plans to utilize (provided above) be responsible for providing more than one EBP?

Yes

Please describe how the estimated practitioners will provide more than one EBP

Estimated practitioners may provide more than one evidence-based practice (EBP) through an integrated approach within individual client interactions. While practitioners will primarily deliver services under a designated EBP category, they will often incorporate complementary EBPs to enhance engagement and treatment effectiveness. For example, a practitioner may apply Motivational Interviewing techniques within the framework of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy during client sessions. This blended approach allows practitioners to adapt interventions to client needs while maintaining fidelity to core program models. It also ensures that clients benefit from multiple evidence-based strategies without requiring separate service tracks, which is particularly valuable in harder-to-reach areas where flexibility and resource optimization are essential.

Please describe how the county is employing a whole-person, trauma-informed approach, in partnership with families or an individual’s natural supports

The county employs a whole-person, trauma-informed approach within its Full-Service Partnership (FSP) programs by addressing the comprehensive needs of each participant. FSP programs provide or facilitate access to mental health treatment, substance use treatment, physical health services, and supports related to employment, education, and other essential aspects of life. As part of this approach, all new participants receive a comprehensive clinical assessment, conducted in a compassionate and collaborative manner. An output of this assessment is the Adult Needs and Strengths Assessment (ANSA), which helps facilitate capturing the individual’s needs and strengths, including support from family and other significant people. This assessment explores issues related to trauma, which are represented in the ANSA as well. Through engagement efforts, the needs and strengths captured in the ANSA are monitored and updated every six months to ensure ongoing accuracy and responsiveness to participants’ needs. FSP staff participate in regular training focused on trauma-informed care and practices to maintain high standards of service delivery. Additionally, FSP teams make every effort to engage and collaborate with a participant’s family and/or natural support network, when available, and include them in the treatment process in alignment with the participant’s preferences and applicable privacy laws. For participants without an existing support network, the development of natural supports within the community is integrated into the ongoing treatment plan, ensuring that care remains holistic and person-centered.

Please describe the county’s efforts to reduce disparities among FSP participants

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) is committed to reducing disparities among Full-Service Partnership (FSP) participants by promoting equitable access, cultural responsiveness, and inclusivity in service delivery. Recognizing that systemic inequities, social determinants of health, and historical trauma contribute to gaps in behavioral health outcomes, DBH strives to implement strategies that ensure services are accessible, respectful, and effective for all populations. Key priorities include fostering culturally responsive practices, strengthening community engagement, and building partnerships to reach underserved populations. DBH emphasizes equity-driven approaches that align with participants’ cultural values and needs, supported by continuous improvement through data-informed decision-making.

Monitoring demographic and outcome trends helps identify gaps and guide resource allocation to improve enrollment, engagement, and success across diverse groups. Over the next three years, programs will review services to ensure alignment with Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) requirements, supporting ongoing improvements and compliance with evolving standards. DBH places a strong focus on reducing disparities among children, adolescents, and transition-age youth by delivering developmentally responsive, trauma-informed, and culturally relevant services. These efforts address risk factors such as trauma, child welfare involvement, juvenile justice involvement, and homelessness, while providing mental health and substance use treatment tailored to the cultural and linguistic needs of diverse communities. Through approaches such as High-Fidelity Wraparound (HFW), youth are supported in safe, welcoming environments that promote individualized care planning and family engagement. DBH recognizes that incorporating family voice and choice, shared goals, and cross-system collaboration is critical to improving outcomes and fostering resilience. Strategies also include addressing developmental needs, reducing barriers such as transportation and stigma, and creating empowering environments where youth can heal from trauma and build skills for successful transitions into adulthood. By engaging families as partners, connecting youth to natural supports, and coordinating comprehensive care, DBH works to close gaps in access and ensure equitable opportunities for recovery and wellness for all FSP participants. These efforts reflect DBH's commitment to person-centered care that is responsive to the unique needs of individuals and communities.

Select which goals the county is hoping to support based on the county's allocation of FSP funding

- Access to care
- Homelessness
- Institutionalization
- Justice involvement
- Removal of children from home
- Untreated behavioral health conditions
- Care experience
- Engagement in school
- Engagement in work
- Overdoses
- Prevention of co-occurring physical health conditions
- Quality of life
- Social connection
- Suicides

Please describe what actions or activities the county behavioral health system is doing to provide ongoing engagement services to individuals receiving FSP ICM

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) promotes ongoing engagement for individuals receiving Full-Service Partnership (FSP) Intensive Case Management (ICM) through approaches that prioritize accessibility, trust, and individualized care. Engagement is viewed as a continuous process that adapts to the evolving needs of participants, ensuring services remain aligned with personal goals and preferences. DBH emphasizes person-centered planning and the importance of creating supportive environments that reduce barriers to care. Family involvement and natural supports are considered essential to strengthening engagement and promoting resilience, while culturally responsive practices help ensure services reflect the diverse needs of participants. For children, adolescents, and transition-age youth, DBH incorporates developmentally appropriate and trauma-informed approaches that recognize the unique challenges faced during critical life transitions. Peer and family advocates play an important role in building trust and encouraging participation, while collaboration with community partners supports outreach and engagement efforts. DBH's commitment to equity and inclusion ensures that engagement strategies are responsive to cultural and linguistic needs and address factors that may impact access to care. By maintaining a focus on individualized support and community connection, DBH seeks to promote sustained recovery, resilience, and long-term success for all FSP participants.

Ongoing engagement services is a required component of ACT, FACT, IPS, and HFW.

Please describe any ongoing engagement services the county behavioral health system will provide beyond what is required of the EBP

not applicable

Please describe how the county will comply with the required FSP levels of care (e.g., transition FSP ICM teams to ACT, stand up new ACT teams and/or stand up new FSP ICM teams, etc.)

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will comply with the required FSP levels of care by strategically transitioning existing teams and establishing new teams to meet the standards outlined in the BHSA Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) manual for Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) and ACT fidelity models. Several current programs that provide intensive case management (ICM) will continue offering ICM services until they can fully implement ACT-level services to fidelity. For example, certain court-linked programs and outreach teams will maintain ICM while focusing on linking clients to the appropriate level of care, including ACT FSP, as needed. DBH will also transition existing FSP teams, such as those serving transition-age youth (TAY), into ACT and ICM teams by adding required staffing to ensure compliance with ACT fidelity standards. Coordination between DBH-operated ICM teams and contracted providers will remain a priority to support smooth transitions for clients moving between ACT and ICM levels of care. In addition to transitioning existing teams, DBH will establish new ACT teams through contracted providers, while regional outpatient clinics will continue delivering FSP ICM services. These outpatient teams will work closely with ACT teams to ensure continuity of care and seamless transitions for

clients who no longer require FSP-level services, moving them into regular outpatient specialty mental health services. DBH leadership is actively working with the DHCS Center of Excellence to guide the rollout of ACT implementation and ongoing fidelity monitoring. DBH will also maintain specialized programs such as Age Wise to provide FSP ICM services for targeted populations, while contracted ACT providers will serve individuals with the most intensive needs. Alongside these efforts, DBH will focus on restructuring its children's programs to align with High Fidelity Wraparound (HFW) EBP requirements. HFW will incorporate a developmentally appropriate, trauma-informed, family-centered approach that emphasizes individualized planning, family voice and choice, and cross-system collaboration to promote resilience and reduce disparities among younger populations. Through these coordinated strategies, DBH will meet required FSP levels of care while maintaining a client-centered approach that supports recovery and long-term stability across the lifespan.

Please indicate whether the county FSP program will include any of the following optional and allowable services

not applicable

Primary substance use disorder (SUD) FSPs

No

Outreach activities related to enrolling individuals living with significant behavioral health needs in an FSP (activities that fall under assertive field-based initiation of substance use disorder treatment services will be captured separately in the next section)

Yes

Please describe the outreach activities the county will engage in to enroll individuals living with significant behavioral health needs into the county's FSP program

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) employs a comprehensive, multi-pronged outreach strategy to identify and enroll individuals living with significant behavioral health needs into Full-Service Partnership (FSP) programs. These efforts are designed to reduce barriers, build trust, and ensure equitable access to intensive, community-based services. DBH conducts proactive outreach in natural settings where individuals live and gather, including homes, hospitals, senior centers, nutrition programs, and community events. For older adults, outreach includes presentations at senior centers and events, as well as home visits for those who are isolated or have mobility limitations. These activities help reduce stigma, raise awareness of available services, and provide direct access for individuals who may otherwise be reluctant to engage. To engage youth and young adults, DBH provides orientations for referred or self-referred individuals, distributes program brochures and beneficiary handbooks, and schedules intake and assessment appointments. Outreach emphasizes education about available support, including counseling, housing assistance, and vocational services, while fostering a welcoming

environment that encourages participation. FSP teams utilize a “whatever it takes” approach to connect with individuals in the community, including those experiencing homelessness, hospitalization, or involvement in the criminal justice system. Teams provide field-based services and maintain frequent contact—often multiple times per week—to build rapport and link individuals to care. Outreach begins by addressing immediate needs such as food, clothing, hygiene items, and transportation, creating an entry point for ongoing engagement. DBH partners with hospitals, law enforcement, schools, other county programs, and community-based organizations to identify individuals with high behavioral health needs and provide warm referrals into FSP programs. Peer and family advocates with lived experience play a critical role in outreach, offering mentorship and shared understanding to build trust and encourage enrollment. Outreach strategies are culturally and linguistically tailored to meet the needs of diverse communities. Staff provide education about FSP services—including housing support, intensive case management, peer services, and 24/7 availability, so individuals understand the benefits of enrollment. Engagement is relationship-driven and persistent, with repeated contacts and follow-ups for individuals who may initially decline or hesitate to participate. Additionally, the County’s Public Relations Office collaborates with the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Administration to educate the community about FSP services through Community Program Planning meetings, tabling events, and coordinated efforts with Managed Care Plans, community organizations, and County partners. These efforts help raise awareness, reduce stigma, and ensure that individuals and families understand the resources available to them. Through these coordinated strategies, community-based engagement, family involvement, peer support, culturally responsive practices, and public education, DBH ensures that individuals with the highest behavioral health needs are identified, supported, and successfully enrolled into FSP programs, where they can access comprehensive services that promote stability, recovery, and long-term wellness.

Other recovery-oriented services

Yes

Please describe the other recovery-oriented services the county’s FSP program will include

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) offers a comprehensive array of recovery-oriented services that complement Full-Service Partnership (FSP) care and help individuals achieve their personalized recovery goals. Agencies engaged for High-Fidelity Wraparound (HFW) also provide Specialty Mental Health Services (SMHS), including therapy, rehabilitation, and psychiatric services, ensuring continuous clinical support alongside family-centered planning. In alignment with Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) principles, DBH delivers therapeutic groups, medication support, advocacy, and peer services, providing flexible, field-based care that sustains engagement and promotes wellness. FSP teams provide intensive case management, teach symptom management and recovery skills, and deliver individualized support across multiple life domains. These services include assistance with physical health needs, employment and educational opportunities (e.g., general education diploma (GED) preparation and vocational support), housing stability (including navigation and in-home support), and the

development of social support through peer and family advocate involvement. Finally, the FSP programs will continue to collaborate with peer-run Clubhouses to enhance recovery - oriented services for FSP participants. Clubhouse services include support groups, social activities, community connection opportunities, and other wellness services. By addressing behavioral health and social determinants holistically and in a person-centered manner, DBH's recovery-oriented services help participants build resilience, enhance independence, and sustain long-term wellness.

If there are other services not described above that the county FSP program will include, please list them here. For team-based services, please include number of teams. If no additional FSP services, use "N/A"

not applicable

What actions or activities did the county behavioral health system engage in to consider the [unique needs of eligible children and youth](#) in the development of the county's FSP program (e.g., review data, engage with stakeholders, analyze research, etc.) who are:

In, or at-risk of being in, the juvenile justice system

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) engaged in a comprehensive planning process to ensure that the unique needs of children and youth, including those in or at risk of involvement in the juvenile justice system, were considered in the development of the county's Full-Service Partnership (FSP) program. This process focused on understanding service gaps, risk factors, and disparities impacting this population, as well as identifying trends and social determinants of health that influence behavioral health outcomes. Stakeholder input was incorporated to ensure that services reflect the priorities and needs of youth and families. The planning process emphasized approaches that are developmentally appropriate, trauma-informed, and culturally responsive, with a focus on individualized care, family involvement, and cross-system collaboration. These efforts aim to promote resilience, reduce disparities, and support successful transitions away from juvenile justice involvement toward long-term recovery and wellness.

Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Plus (LGBTQ+)

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) engaged in the planning process to ensure the unique needs of LGBTQ+ children and youth were considered in the development of the county's Full-Service Partnership (FSP) program. This process focused on understanding disparities, risk factors, and service gaps impacting this population, as well as identifying factors that influence behavioral health outcomes. Stakeholder input was incorporated to ensure services are inclusive, culturally responsive, and affirming. The planning process emphasized approaches that prioritize safety, inclusion, and individualized care, while recognizing the importance of trauma-informed practices, family engagement, and cross-system collaboration. These efforts aim to reduce disparities, promote resilience, and improve

outcomes for LGBTQ+ youth by ensuring that FSP programs reflect their unique needs and support long-term recovery and wellness.

In the child welfare system

San Bernardino County reviewed the current level of services provided to youth involved with child welfare via Full-Service Partnerships (FSPs) that would need High Fidelity Wraparound (HFW), as well as stakeholder engagement and participation in community partnership workgroups, and continuous communication with County Child Welfare Agencies.

What actions or activities did the county behavioral health system engage in to consider the [unique needs of eligible adults](#) in the development of the county's FSP (e.g., review data, engage with stakeholders, analyze research, etc.) who are

Older adults

San Bernardino County has multiple approaches to meeting the needs of FSP adults, which are determined by information gathered from various sources. Demographic data is compiled on a monthly and annual basis, allowing for the identification of who is being provided with services and consideration of how to outreach to those with barriers to services, as well as how to engage stakeholders in these efforts. The County discusses the input of its clients and partners (like Adult Protective Services and any other agencies that serve older adults) to be fully aware of older adult needs and potential service gaps, and shares this information through the Community Program Planning process to gather feedback for department consideration. These efforts allow the County to ensure that FSP services remain appropriate and effective for the older adult population in San Bernardino County and that the needs of the community are being taken into consideration during these planning efforts.

Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Plus (LGBTQ+)

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) engaged in the planning process to ensure the unique needs of LGBTQ+ eligible adults were considered in the development of the County's Full Service Partnership (FSP) program. This process focused on understanding disparities, risk factors, and service gaps impacting this population, as well as identifying factors that influence behavioral health outcomes. Stakeholder input was incorporated to ensure services are inclusive, culturally responsive, and affirming. The planning process emphasized approaches that prioritize safety, inclusion, and individualized care, while recognizing the importance of trauma-informed practices and cross-system collaboration. Service data and stakeholder feedback from contracted providers was utilized to develop the County's FSPs. These efforts aim to reduce disparities, promote resilience, and improve outcomes for LGBTQ+ adults by ensuring that FSP programs reflect their unique needs and support long-term recovery and wellness.

In, or are at risk of being in, the justice system

To address the unique needs of eligible older adults who are in, or at risk of involvement in, the justice system, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) engaged in several key actions during the development of the County's Full-Service Partnership (FSP) programs. DBH reviewed service data and incorporated stakeholder feedback from contracted providers to ensure FSPs reflect the needs of this population. The department analyzed research and collaborated with community partners to identify gaps in care and housing stability for older adults with serious mental illness. These efforts informed strategies to provide comprehensive, integrated services that promote independence, safety, and access to housing resources. DBH's planning aligns with Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) goals and California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) priorities, focusing on reducing suffering, improving resiliency, and enhancing life functioning for older adults.

Assertive Field-Based Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Questions

For related policy information, refer to [7.B.6 Assertive Field-Based Initiation for Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services](#)

Please describe the county behavioral health system's approach and timeline(s) to support and implement assertive field-based initiation for SUD treatment services program requirements by listing the existing and new programs (as applicable) that the county will leverage to support the assertive field-based SUD program requirements and provide the current funding source, BHSA service expansion, and the expected timeline for meeting programmatic requirements to expand existing programs and/or stand up new initiatives before July 1, 2029. Counties should include programs not funded directly or exclusively by BHSA dollars. Additional information regarding assertive field-based initiation for SUD treatment services can be found in the BHSA Policy Manual [Chapter 7, Section B.6.](#)

Existing Programs for Assertive Field-Based SUD Treatment Services

Targeted outreach

Existing programs

Mobile Wellness Outreach Unit Operations

Program descriptions

Targeted mobile outreach program informed by geocoded overdose data from San Bernardino County's Opioid Data Analytics and Surveillance for Harm Reduction (ODASH) system.

Current funding source

DMC-ODS and for the vehicle: ARPA grant

BHSA changes to existing programs to meet BHSA requirements

Mobile wellness vehicle partners substance use disorder staff with Homeless outreach staff to provide life-saving overdose prevention and response services and infectious disease prevention services to high-risk individuals.

Expected timeline of operation

Already implemented

Mobile-field based programs**Existing programs**

Homeless Outreach Support Team (Host)

Program descriptions

Outreach in the field includes assistance to complete applications, assistance to gain necessary documentation, and linkage to benefits and other resources including SUD treatment.

Current funding source

MHSA and SUBG

BHSA changes to existing programs to meet BHSA requirements

Field based outreach by Mental Health and SUD staff to chronically homeless individuals including homeless encampments.

Expected timeline of operation

Already implemented

Open-access clinics

Existing programs

1. Emergency Department (ED) Bridge 2. Narcotic Treatment Programs (NTP) Providers 3. No wrong door policy

Program descriptions

1. Emergency Department (ED) Bridge program: Supports same-day 24/7 access to Medications for Addiction Treatment (MAT) services by approaching substance use disorder (SUD) as a treatable chronic illness. 2-3. Narcotic Treatment Program (NTP) Providers and No Wrong Door Policy: Contracted MAT providers that service all regions of the county, including partnerships with neighboring counties for rural areas such as Trona and Yucca Valley.

Current funding source

DMC-ODS, SUBG, MHSA

BHSA changes to existing programs to meet BHSA requirements

Local Hospitals provide same-day access to Medications for Addiction Treatment (MAT). Narcotic Treatment Program (NTP) providers offer same-day service. San Bernardino County follows a "No Wrong Door" (NWD) policy for behavioral health services, ensuring Medi-Cal beneficiaries receive timely mental and substance use treatment without being turned away if they seek help from an incorrect entry point.

Expected timeline of operation

All programs already implemented

New Programs for Assertive Field-Based SUD Treatment Services

Targeted outreach

New programs

Predictive modeling outreach

Program descriptions

Guidance based on predictive modeling offered by statistics and applied mathematics faculty from local universities will provide optimal targeted outreach based on available statistical methods.

Planned funding

Opioid Settlement

Planned operations

Academic partners will provide their recommendations in ongoing collaborative meetings in which both county and community resources are coordinated to maximize impact on overdose events based on best available predictions.

Expected timeline of implementation

2029

Mobile-field based programs**New programs**

Mobile Clinic

Program descriptions

The Mobile Clinic provides field-based Medications for Addiction Treatment (MAT) services with a focus on rapid initiation of care. On-site medical staff complete same-day screenings, assessments, and medical evaluations, allowing providers to prescribe and administer MAT during the same visit. The clinic carries necessary medications and equipment, enabling immediate treatment when appropriate. Flexible deployment to high-need areas, low-barrier access, and coordination with community partners support fast referrals and continuity of care.

Planned funding

SUBG, OSF, DMC-ODS

Planned operations

Leverage technology such as satellite internet access and telehealth to provide clinical field-based services in remote areas.

Expected timeline of implementation

2026-2027

Open-access clinics

New programs

1. Expand Medications for Addiction Treatment (MAT) same-day service availability and 2. Walk-in Clinic

Program descriptions

The County is expanding same-day Medications for Addiction Treatment (MAT) access by adding County-run and contracted providers across multiple outpatient and walk-in sites. The expansion includes identifying high-need clinic locations and partner agencies to offer same-day screening, assessment, medical evaluation, and MAT initiation. Low-barrier strategies include walk-in availability during all operating hours, same-day medical clearance and prescribing, on-site medication dispensing, and rapid referrals through partnerships with community organizations, homeless service providers, and justice-involved programs. The expanded sites will offer flexible scheduling, minimal paperwork requirements, and immediate linkage to ongoing care to ensure timely MAT initiation.

Planned funding

DMC-ODS, SUBG

Planned operations

1. Target expansion of Medication for Addiction Treatment (MAT) providers to high-need or underserved regions of the county and target. 2. Open walk-in clinic to provide low-barrier access to MAT services

Expected timeline of implementation

2029

Medications for Addiction Treatment (MAT) Details

Please describe the county's approach to enabling access to same-day medications for addiction treatment (MAT) to meet the estimated population needs before July 1, 2029.

Describe how the county will assess the gap between current county MAT resources (including programs and providers) and MAT resources that can meet estimated needs

Currently, we cross-reference initial contact log entries with Medications for Addiction Treatment (MAT) client episodes to determine timeliness. Further analysis of this data provides insights into needs in specific regions and populations. The department is pursuing multiple paths to increase access and capacity to meet the need for MAT services. These paths include expanding internal MAT service capacity, establishing new partnerships with Narcotic Treatment Program (NTP) providers, leveraging technology to ease access for field-based staff to medical providers, and predictive modeling to anticipate areas of need.

Select the following practices the county will implement to ensure same day access to MAT

- Contract directly with MAT providers in the County
- Operate MAT clinics directly
- Enter into referral agreements with other MAT providers including providers whose services are covered by Medi-Cal MCPs and/or Fee-For-Service (FFS) Medi-Cal
- Leverage telehealth model(s)
- Partner with neighboring counties
- Contract with MAT providers in other counties
- Other strategy

Please provide the names of the neighboring counties the county will partner with

Kern County and Riverside County

Please provide the names of other counties the contracted MAT providers are located in

Kern County and Riverside County

Please explain what other strategy the county will use

not applicable

What forms of MAT will the county provide utilizing the strategies selected above?

- Buprenorphine
- Methadone
- Naltrexone
- Other

Please specify other forms of MAT

long acting injectable Vivitrol, Acamprosate, and Disulfiram

Housing Interventions

Planning

For related policy information, refer to [7.C.3 Program priorities](#) and [7.C.4 Eligible and priority populations](#).

System Gaps

Please identify the biggest gaps facing individuals experiencing homelessness and at risk of homelessness with a behavioral health condition who are Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) eligible in the county. Please use the following definitions to inform your response: No gap – resources and connectivity available; Small gap – some resources available but limited connectivity; Medium gap – minimal resources and limited connectivity available; Large gap – limited or no resources and connectivity available; Not applicable – county does not have setting and does not consider there to be a gap. Counties should refer to their local [Continuum of Care \(CoC\) Housing Inventory Count \(HIC\)](#) to inform responses to this question.

Supportive housing

Medium gap

Apartments, including master-lease apartments

Medium gap

Single and multi-family homes

Medium gap

Housing in mobile home communities

Medium gap

(Permanent) Single room occupancy units

Large gap

(Interim) Single room occupancy units

Large gap

Accessory dwelling units, including junior accessory dwelling units

Not applicable

(Permanent) Tiny homes

Not applicable

Shared housing

Medium gap

(Permanent) Recovery/sober living housing, including recovery-oriented housing

Large gap

(Interim) Recovery/sober living housing, including recovery-oriented housing

Large gap

Assisted living facilities (adult residential facilities, residential facilities for the elderly, and licensed board and care)

Small gap

License-exempt room and board

Not applicable

Hotel and Motel stays

Medium gap

Non-congregate interim housing models

Not applicable

Congregate settings that have only a small number of individuals per room and sufficient common space (does not include behavioral health residential treatment settings)

Medium gap

Recuperative Care

Medium gap

Short-Term Post-Hospitalization housing

Medium gap

(Interim) Tiny homes, emergency sleeping cabins, emergency stabilization units

No gap

Peer Respite

Not applicable

Permanent rental subsidies

Medium gap

Housing supportive services

Medium gap

What additional non-BHSA resources (e.g., county partnerships, vouchers, data sharing agreements) or funding sources will the county behavioral health system utilize (local, state, and federal) to expand supply and/or increase access to housing for [BHSA eligible individuals](#)?

The county behavioral health system currently utilizes time-limited Behavioral Health Bridge Housing (BHBH) funding, set to expire June 30, 2027, in conjunction with the Substance Use Prevention, Treatment, and Recovery Services Block Grant (SUBG) to support Recovery Residences. The County partners with the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB) and the Office of Homeless Services (OHS) to secure Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) vouchers for individuals with Serious Mental Illness (SMI) and co-occurring conditions, leveraging State and Federal Continuum of Care (CoC) resources. To expand housing access, the County employs a comprehensive network of local, state, and federal programs that create a full housing continuum—from emergency shelter to long-term PSH—while addressing affordability and stability barriers. Key resources include the Homeless Housing Assistance and Prevention (HHAP) program, which supports flexible housing interventions and outreach, and Project Homekey, which funds acquisition and rehabilitation of motels and hotels for permanent housing. Collaboration with HACSB, city housing departments, and community-based organizations ensures prioritized referrals, dedicated voucher set-asides, and supportive housing operations. Grants fund housing navigation and case management, while the Coordinated Entry System (CES) prioritizes individuals for housing opportunities. Regional homelessness task force participation aligns strategies with public health, probation, and social services. Additional supports include Interim Assistance for individuals in board-and-care settings without income while seeking SSI or employment, and Mental Health Block Grant funding to maintain housing stability through treatment access. These partnerships and resources collectively strengthen the housing continuum and increase access to safe, affordable, and stable housing paired with supportive services that promote recovery and long-term wellness for BHSA-eligible individuals. Furthermore, the County maintains a data-sharing agreement led by the County Administrative Office (CAO) to coordinate homeless reduction efforts across systems of care. The Homeless Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT) works across departments to increase housing access and leverage non-BHSA funds for long-term housing solutions.

How will BHSA Housing Interventions intersect with those other resources and supports to strengthen or expand the continuum of housing supports available to BHSA eligible individuals?

Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Housing Interventions intersect with federal, state, and local resources to strengthen and expand the housing continuum for BHSA-eligible individuals through a highly integrated approach. These interventions operate within the County’s Coordinated Entry System (CES), ensuring consistent prioritization across Housing and Urban Development (HUD), state, and local programs. After the six months of transitional rent provided by Managed Care Plans (MCPs) beginning January 1, 2026, BHSA can extend support by covering additional rental assistance and essential needs such as utilities, emotional support animal accommodations, and household items. To maximize impact, the County employs layered funding strategies that combine BHSA subsidies with Section 8 vouchers, No Place Like Home units, Project Homekey properties, and Homeless Housing Assistance Program (HHAP) resources, reducing financial barriers and expanding housing capacity. BHSA also provides intensive supportive services—including case management and behavioral health care—to complement housing-focused services offered by other programs, ensuring individuals maintain housing stability. Partnerships with housing authorities, community-based providers, and programs such as Innovative Remote Onsite Assistance Delivery (InnROADs) further enhance outreach and engagement, connecting individuals, including those living in encampments to housing and supportive services. Additionally, the County maintains a data-sharing agreement led by the County Administrative Office (CAO) to coordinate homeless reduction efforts across systems of care. The Homeless Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT) works across departments to increase housing access and leverage non-BHSA funds for long-term housing solutions. This flexibility allows individuals to transition seamlessly between temporary, transitional, and permanent housing while receiving continuous behavioral health support. Through these integrated strategies, BHSA Housing Interventions strengthen the housing continuum and promote long-term stability, recovery, and wellness for BHSA-eligible individuals.

What is the county behavioral health system’s overall strategy to promote permanent housing placement and retention for individuals receiving BHSA Housing Interventions?

The County behavioral health system employs a comprehensive, collaborative approach to promote permanent housing placement and retention for individuals receiving Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Housing Interventions. The strategy begins with effective outreach and engagement when relevant housing opportunities become available, ensuring a timely connection to resources. At the leadership level, regular meetings coordinated through the County Administrative Office (CAO) focus on building a robust housing continuum, managing funds through the Department of Community Development and Housing (CDH), and implementing structural shifts that enable departments to work collectively toward shared goals. A key component of this strategy is the Homeless Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT), which operates across multiple departments to increase housing access and leverage non-BHSA funding sources for long-term housing solutions. To strengthen housing stability, the County will explore building in incentives and milestones for providers and exploring opportunities to pivot shelter programs into month-to-month lease arrangements, creating a pathway to permanent housing. Supportive services delivered through

Evidence-Based Practices (EBPs), Managed Care Plans (MCPs), and Enhanced Care Management (ECM) are integrated to promote long-term success and retention. Partnerships with the Office of Homeless Services (OHS) further enhance coordination and resource alignment, ensuring individuals have access to housing navigation, case management, and wraparound supports in a timely manner. This multi-pronged approach maximizes available resources, fosters collaboration, and supports sustainable housing outcomes for individuals served by BHSA programs.

What actions or activities is the county behavioral health system engaging in to connect BHSA eligible individuals to and support permanent supportive housing (PSH) (e.g., rental subsidies for individuals residing in PSH projects, operating subsidies for PSH projects, providing supportive services to individuals in other permanent housing settings, capital development funding for PSH)?

The County behavioral health system offers a comprehensive housing continuum designed to support individuals experiencing homelessness and behavioral health challenges. Within this continuum, a central focus is ensuring that individuals placed in Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) continue to receive the behavioral health (BH) services necessary for long-term stability, as outlined in Policy Manual 7.C.9.5. For individuals with Serious Mental Illness (SMI) or co-occurring conditions, the County relies on the Department of Behavioral Health's Homeless Outreach Support Team (HOST) to provide ongoing, field-based behavioral health services after placement into PSH. HOST maintains regular contact with tenants through home visits, wellness checks, case management, crisis intervention, and coordination of clinical care, ensuring continuity of services regardless of housing status. This includes facilitating medication support, therapeutic services, relapse prevention, and linkages to specialty mental health programs as clinically indicated. The County's PSH system operates in partnership with the Housing Authority, Office of Homeless Services (OHS), and Community Development and Housing (CDH), enabling coordinated case conferencing and shared tracking of tenants' behavioral health needs. Behavioral health staff participate in multidisciplinary team meetings to review tenant progress, identify emerging concerns, and adjust service plans to prevent housing instability or psychiatric deterioration. When tenants require additional support, behavioral health providers offer intensive case management or connection to higher levels of care. HOST also provides bridge housing and engagement services during housing navigation to ensure uninterrupted BH support throughout the transition into PSH. Other housing programs, such as Recovery Residences and Rapid Re-Housing (RRH), continue to complement these efforts by stabilizing individuals as they move toward permanent housing. The InnROADs program further enhances outreach to individuals living in encampments and transitions them into the behavioral health and housing continuum. Countywide collaboration is supported through a data-sharing agreement led by the County Administrative Office (CAO) and coordinated through the Homeless Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT), ensuring consistent communication across departments and reinforcing continuity of BH services for tenants in PSH. Additionally, the County is participating in a CAO-led initiative to improve access, referral pathways, and service navigation within the regional housing and supportive services system.

Please describe how the county behavioral health system will ensure all Housing Interventions settings provide access to clinical and supportive behavioral health care and housing services

The County Behavioral Health (DBH) system delivers housing intervention services through a recovery-focused, multidisciplinary team that includes DBH Homeless Outreach Support Team (HOST) staff, contracted providers, and Innovation Remote Onsite Assistance Delivery (InnROADs) outreach specialists. This collaborative approach addresses both clinical needs and daily living skills to promote client wellness and long-term housing stability. All participants receive comprehensive behavioral health care, including therapy, case management, life skills development, employment support, and connections to community resources. Individualized service plans integrate treatment and housing goals, ensuring tailored support throughout the housing process. Clients also benefit from high-intensity, on-site therapeutic groups, skills training, and structured peer and staff support designed to strengthen functioning and promote stability. Regular multidisciplinary team meetings foster coordinated communication, early identification of challenges, and timely intervention. As individuals transition into housing, the team maintains ongoing involvement, linking them to continued services and ensuring access to all necessary supports. This integrated approach provides a consistent pathway from engagement to placement to long-term community stability. Contracted Recovery Residence providers are required to establish collaborative community partnerships to ensure linkages with additional service organizations. These efforts align with Federal, State, and County goals to prevent relapses through community supports, reduce fragmentation of care, and improve communication among local providers. Additionally, Lighthouse referrals, managed by DBH, serve as a dedicated point of contact to collaborate with Lighthouse staff and ensure access to housing resources. Lighthouse Social Services assists participants in achieving permanent housing and maintaining stability through programs, services, and resources that break the cycle of homelessness. Screening and assessment for substance use disorder are required for program referral. Through Evidence-Based Practices (EBPs) under California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) initiatives, Full-Service Partnerships (FSPs) play a critical role in supporting individuals to secure and maintain housing. These efforts are strengthened by a countywide data-sharing agreement, led by the County Administrative Office (CAO) and coordinated through the Homeless Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT), fostering cross-department collaboration and resource integration. Together, these strategies leverage collective expertise to deliver a full continuum of care, from outreach and shelter placement to long-term supportive housing, ensuring a seamless and coordinated approach to client stability and recovery.

Eligible Populations

Please describe how the county behavioral health system will identify, screen, and refer individuals eligible for BHSA Housing Interventions

Referrals are facilitated through multiple coordinated behavioral health pathways to ensure individuals with significant treatment needs are appropriately linked to housing and community-based supports. The Innovation Remote Onsite Assistance Delivery (InnROADs) program conducts initial screening during

outreach and engagement encounters, and when necessary, a full clinical assessment is completed in the field. Referrals may originate from the Department of Behavioral Health's Long-Term Care (LTC) program or Centralized Hospital Aftercare Services, which assist individuals transitioning from higher levels of locked psychiatric care into community settings. The LTC team evaluates referrals, conducts eligibility assessments, and connects individuals to contracted partners for further assessment and admission. Upon placement, a Client Resource Evaluation is completed to identify additional needs and guide service planning. Referrals may also come from contracted Crisis Residential Treatment facilities as part of coordinated discharge planning. Together, these pathways ensure timely assessment, appropriate placement, and smooth transitions into community-based housing and services. Clients must be in recovery and identified as part of the continuum of care population. Outpatient and Intensive Outpatient Treatment clients are assessed at admission to determine individualized treatment services and identify supportive services necessary for sustainable recovery. Recovery Residences may be recommended as a supportive service, with referrals submitted to the Substance Use and Recovery Services Administration for outpatient clients. Perinatal clients must be enrolled in a Perinatal Treatment program and maintain attendance throughout their Recovery Residence stay. Any Department of Behavioral Health (DBH)-linked client is eligible for Lighthouse services, and referrals can be submitted by any DBH staff member who identifies a housing need. A streamlined referral process is currently being developed within the new behavioral health continuum in partnership with Community Development Housing and the Office of Homeless Services to align referral pathways and ensure timely access to care. This will include a structured referral process that establishes clear entry points, integrates access lines for mental health and substance use services, and enables tracking and monitoring of progress. It ensures housing interventions meet required timeframes while supporting smooth transitions to appropriate levels of care. By embedding access lines into the workflow, clients receive timely connections to behavioral health and supportive housing resources, reinforcing a coordinated approach that promotes stability and recovery.

Will the county behavioral health system provide BHSA-funded Housing Interventions to [individuals living with a substance use disorder \(SUD\) only](#) ?

Yes

What actions or activities did the county behavioral health system engage in to consider the [unique needs of eligible children and youth](#) in the development of the county's Housing Interventions services (e.g., review data, engage with stakeholders, analyze research, etc.) who are:

In, or at-risk of being in, the juvenile justice system

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) engaged in multiple actions to consider the unique needs of eligible children and youth involved in, or at risk of involvement in, the juvenile justice system when developing Housing Intervention services. DBH reviewed service data and incorporated stakeholder feedback to identify gaps and inform program design. The department implemented targeted programs such as the Juvenile Justice Reintegration Program, which provides individualized case planning,

home visits, and referrals to community resources for youth transitioning from detention or probation. DBH also operates One Stop Transitional Age Youth (TAY) Centers for youth ages 16–25, including those re-entering from the justice system, offering drop-in access to basic needs such as showers, food, and laundry, along with counseling, vocational support, and housing referrals. Additionally, DBH funds the STAY, a 14-bed crisis residential facility for transitional-age youth, providing short-term stays, counseling, and connections to permanent housing and community supports, and has designated shelter beds within its provider network for youth and TAY populations. These programs were developed through collaboration with probation and local nonprofits to ensure justice-involved youth have access to safe housing and comprehensive wraparound services that promote stability and successful reintegration. DBH reviewed data from these programs to guide housing intervention planning.

Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Plus (LGBTQ+)

To address the housing needs of eligible children and youth who identify as LGBTQ+, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) engaged in several actions. DBH reviewed service data and gathered stakeholder feedback through its Office of Equity and Inclusion and the Cultural Competency Advisory Committee, which includes an LGBTQ+ Awareness Subcommittee. These groups informed culturally responsive strategies and policy improvements. DBH analyzed research and developed resources such as the Inland Empire LGBTQ+ Resource Guide to ensure providers have access to affirming practices. The department also partnered with community organizations, including Rainbow Pride Youth Alliance, to provide free, gender-affirming therapy and support services. For housing interventions, DBH implemented the “Welcome Home” Family Assistance Program, offering housing and case management for LGBTQ+ transitional-age youth (TAY) experiencing homelessness, and designated shelter beds within its provider network for LGBTQ+ youth. Additionally, DBH promotes inclusion through multilingual services, resource sharing, and participation in local LGBTQ+ Pride events to strengthen community engagement. DBH utilized stakeholder engagement in the development of housing intervention services. These efforts ensure housing interventions are equitable, affirming, and responsive to the unique needs of LGBTQ+ youth in San Bernardino County.

In the child welfare system

To address the unique housing needs of children and youth in the child welfare system, the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) engaged in multiple actions. DBH reviewed service data and collaborated with stakeholders, including San Bernardino County Children and Family Services (CFS), to inform housing interventions. Through its Transitional Age Youth (TAY) program, DBH is establishing a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with CFS to coordinate referrals and services for youth eligible for the Independent Living Program (ILP). This partnership involves working closely with ILP staff, youth, community agencies, and other counties to strengthen communication, create clear referral pathways, and enhance linkage to housing resources. Both agencies actively engage in community outreach to ensure effective service delivery. These efforts align with Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) goals to reduce suffering associated with serious mental illness and emotional disorders, while improving resiliency and

functioning in critical areas such as housing, education, employment, and interpersonal relationships for youth transitioning from the child welfare system.

What actions or activities did the county behavioral health system engage in to consider the unique needs of eligible adults in the development of the county’s Housing Interventions services (e.g., review data, engage with stakeholders, analyze research, etc.) who are

Older adults

The County Behavioral Health System engages in ongoing, targeted efforts to support older adults by collaborating closely with programs such as Agewise Full-Service Partnership (FSP) to identify and address the unique needs of this population. We place a strong focus on the unique needs of older adults who are experiencing homelessness in our county, ensuring they receive specialized attention and resources. Through these partnerships, the County provides access to appropriate behavioral health services, care coordination, and supportive resources that promote wellness, stability, and quality of life for older adults. In addition, the County actively participates in committees focused on older adult services, working collaboratively to develop strategies that enhance service delivery and address emerging needs within this vulnerable population.

In, or are at risk of being in, the justice system

The County Behavioral Health System maintains ongoing collaboration with the Sheriff’s Department, local law enforcement agencies, and justice partners to support individuals at risk of involvement in the criminal justice system. These efforts include coordinated case management, diversion planning, and linkage to behavioral health services to prevent justice involvement and promote stabilization, treatment, and access to community-based supports. This collaboration extends across all justice partners, including probation, the Sheriff’s Department, the State Department of Corrections, the Department of State Hospitals, the courts, and Arrowhead Regional Medical Center (ARMC). These initiatives align with California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) priorities and focus on a targeted population requiring comprehensive and integrated care. While resources in this area remain limited, expanding capacity to meet these needs is a key focus for future planning and development. DBH utilized stakeholder engagement in the development of housing intervention services.

In underserved communities

The County Behavioral Health System actively facilitates and participates in multiple county initiatives, including the Criminal Justice Collaborative, the countywide Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT), and the Continuum of Care (COC). Through ongoing collaboration with the Housing Authority and the Office of Homeless Services (OHS), the County works to expand access to housing and supportive services for underserved communities. These partnerships strengthen efforts to address housing insecurity while ensuring individuals receive the behavioral health care necessary for stability and recovery. In addition to housing initiatives, the County has implemented strategies that have successfully reduced the state

hospital population, aligning with state requirements and improving community-based care options. Continued utilization of the Community Assistance Recovery and Empowerment (CARE) Court and Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) further enhances support for individuals with complex behavioral health needs. All efforts remain focused on priority populations identified under the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA), ensuring equitable access to services and resources for those most in need. Stakeholders with lived experience are actively engaged in the development and planning of housing intervention services, reinforcing a person-centered approach to care.

Local Housing System Engagement

How will the county behavioral health system coordinate with the Continuum of Care (CoC) and receive referrals for Housing Interventions services?

The County Behavioral Health System collaborates extensively with cities and other departments to strengthen infrastructure under the Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT) initiative, which includes developing systems for data sharing and implementing a bed capacity tracking system through the Office of Homeless Services' (OHS) Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). Housing interventions are coordinated through the Continuum of Care (CoC) in partnership with the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB), which serves as the primary point of contact for referrals organized through the Coordinated Entry System (CES). This coordination is facilitated by the Department of Behavioral Health's Homeless & Supportive Services division, ensuring streamlined access to housing and supportive services. When potential clients are identified, DBH works closely with the CoC to initiate referrals, which are submitted to the Behavioral Health Bridge Housing (BHBH) inbox for review by Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) staff. For clients already connected to DBH, referrals are forwarded to Lighthouse for additional housing support. For individuals not yet engaged with DBH but presenting with mental health or substance use needs, DBH ensures linkage to appropriate behavioral health services before submitting a referral to Lighthouse. This collaborative process promotes timely access to housing resources and behavioral health care, supporting stability and recovery for individuals experiencing homelessness while reinforcing a coordinated, person-centered approach across county systems.

Please describe the county behavioral health system's approach to collaborating with the local CoC, Public Housing Agencies, Medi-Cal managed care plans (MCPs), Enhanced Care Management (ECM) and Community Supports providers, as well as other housing partners, including existing and prospective PSH developers and providers in your community in the implementation of the county's Housing Interventions

Local CoC

The County Behavioral Health System collaborates extensively with cities and other departments to strengthen infrastructure under the Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT) initiative, which includes developing

systems for data sharing and implementing a bed capacity tracking system through the Office of Homeless Services' (OHS) Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). Housing interventions are coordinated through the Continuum of Care (CoC) in partnership with the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB), which serves as the primary point of contact for referrals organized through the Coordinated Entry System (CES). This coordination is facilitated by the Department of Behavioral Health's Homeless & Supportive Services division, ensuring streamlined access to housing and supportive services. When potential clients are identified, DBH works closely with the CoC to initiate referrals, which are submitted to the Behavioral Health Bridge Housing (BHBH) inbox for review by Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) staff. For clients already connected to DBH, referrals are forwarded to Lighthouse for additional housing support. For individuals not yet engaged with DBH but presenting with mental health or substance use needs, DBH ensures linkage to appropriate behavioral health services before submitting a referral to Lighthouse. This collaborative process promotes timely access to housing resources and behavioral health care, supporting stability and recovery for individuals experiencing homelessness while reinforcing a coordinated, person-centered approach across county systems.

Public Housing Agency

The County Behavioral Health System is actively building capacity in partnership with Community Development and Housing (CDH), the Office of Homeless Services (OHS), the Housing Authority (HA), and the Continuum of Care (CoC). Recently, the County agreed to serve as co-lead for the Managed Care Plans' (MCP) Transitional Residential (TR) and Community Support (CS) services, a role that requires strong partnerships and ongoing collaboration. DBH works closely with CDH and HA to implement housing interventions and coordinates directly with Public Housing Authorities to assist clients throughout the housing process. Staff provide hands-on support by scheduling and attending appointments, offering coaching, and guiding clients through requirements to ensure successful outcomes. This approach helps individuals access permanent supportive housing and connect to community-based services while reinforcing partnerships with local CoC, housing developers, and other providers. These efforts strengthen the County's ability to address housing insecurity and behavioral health needs, promoting stability and recovery for vulnerable populations.

MCPs

The Department of Behavioral Health (DBH), in collaboration with the County Office of Homeless Services (OHS) and Community Development and Housing (CDH), will serve as the transitional rent provider for the County. This role requires strong and ongoing collaboration with Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans (MCPs) to ensure effective implementation of housing interventions and continuity of care for members. DBH and MCPs work together through regular case conferences, coordinated care planning, and shared review of member needs to ensure that individuals receiving transitional rent support are also connected to medically necessary behavioral health services. MCPs provide referrals to DBH for members who require behavioral health treatment or housing stabilization services, while DBH ensures timely intake, assessment, and linkage to the appropriate level of care. DBH and MCPs maintain continuous communication to verify

eligibility, align service plans, and identify additional supports such as Enhanced Care Management (ECM) or Community Supports that may complement transitional rent assistance. MCPs also collaborate with DBH on problem-solving housing barriers, coordinating with property owners, and addressing clinical or behavioral concerns that may jeopardize housing stability. Through this coordinated partnership, DBH, OHS, CDH, and the MCPs ensure members receive integrated housing and behavioral health services that promote stability, reduce risk of homelessness, and support long-term recovery.

ECM and Community Supports Providers

The County Behavioral Health System collaborates closely with Enhanced Care Management (ECM) and Community Supports providers through ongoing coordination and regular meetings with the Office of Homeless Services (OHS) and other community partners. The program links individuals to appropriate services and supports, assisting with enrollment and completion of necessary paperwork to ensure seamless access to housing interventions. This approach facilitates coordinated care, supports smooth transitions during discharge or placement, and strengthens connections between behavioral health services and housing resources, including permanent supportive housing and other community-based supports.

Other (e.g., CalWORKS/TANF housing programs, child welfare housing programs, PSH developers and providers, etc.)

The County Behavioral Health System collaborates with a broad network of housing partners, including California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs)/Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) housing programs, child welfare housing programs, permanent supportive housing (PSH) developers, and other community-based providers, to implement housing interventions that promote stability and recovery. The program assists individuals in connecting to appropriate services and programs, supporting enrollment and completion of necessary paperwork, including during discharge planning, to ensure smooth transitions and sustained access to resources. This coordinated approach strengthens partnerships across housing and supportive service providers, enhances client access to permanent supportive housing, and promotes long-term well-being for individuals with behavioral health needs. Additionally, Lighthouse provides housing support services for CalWORKs clients under a separate Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), which operates independently of Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) funding. While these efforts represent significant progress, we acknowledge the need to continue building and refining this process. Currently, many operations occur in silos, which can limit efficiency and collaboration. Moving forward, our focus will be on breaking down these silos, fostering stronger integration among partners, and ensuring a seamless, client-centered approach to housing and behavioral health services.

How will the county behavioral health system work with Homekey+ and supportive housing sites to provide services, funding, and referrals that support and house BHSA eligible individuals?

Eligible individuals can be enrolled through the Homeless Outreach Support Team (HOST) program, whose existing MHSA policies already align with Housing Intervention support. Additional coordination will be needed to integrate the Managed Care Plan (MCP), covered transitional rent during the first six months. The Innovative Remote Onsite Assistance Delivery (InnROADs) team will provide Housing Intervention outreach and engagement for Homekey+ and other supportive housing sites, which may include locating individuals already identified by the behavioral health system or referring eligible clients to appropriate programs. Enhanced services (PACES) deliver coordinated onsite clinical and case-management support to individuals placed in contracted residential treatment settings, such as Enhanced Board and Care and Enhanced Assisted Living facilities, helping residents stabilize and maintain their housing. When individuals are ready for discharge, adult residential treatment programs certified in the social rehabilitation model will assist with transitions by coordinating referrals and completing required paperwork to ensure continuity of care and housing stability.

Did the county behavioral health system receive Homeless Housing Assistance and Prevention Grant Program (HHAP) Round 6 funding?

No

BHSA Housing Interventions Implementation

The following questions are specific to BHSA Housing Interventions funding (no action needed). For more information, please see [7.C.9 Allowable expenditures and related requirements](#)

Rental Subsidies [\(Chapter 7, Section C.9.1\)](#)

The intent of Housing Interventions is to provide rental subsidies in permanent settings to eligible individuals for as long as needed, or until the individual can be transitioned to an alternative permanent housing situation or rental subsidy source. (no action needed)

Is the county providing this intervention?

Yes

Is the county providing this intervention to chronically homeless individuals?

Yes

How many individuals does the county behavioral health system expect to serve with rental subsidies under BHSA Housing Interventions on an annual basis?

The County Behavioral Health System anticipates serving approximately 720 individuals annually through the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Housing Interventions program, providing rental subsidies to support housing stability for those with behavioral health needs. This number includes individuals receiving services for mental health and/or substance use disorders, ensuring comprehensive support for priority populations as part of an integrated approach to recovery and long-term wellness.

How many of these individuals will receive rental subsidies for permanent housing on an annual basis?

20

How many of these individuals will receive rental subsidies for interim housing on an annual basis?

700

What is the county’s methodology for estimating total rental subsidies and total number of individuals served in interim and permanent settings on an annual basis?

The County’s approach to estimating total rental subsidies and the annual number of individuals served is grounded in known, ongoing commitments and identified program needs. Specifically, the methodology accounts for the 20 established units or vouchers that currently receive consistent, ongoing rental subsidies. In addition, projections incorporate the expectation that all Enhanced Services for Supportive Housing (ESS) model clients will require assistance with interim housing rental subsidies. Together, these factors form the foundation for estimating both the total subsidy requirements and the number of individuals served in interim and permanent housing settings each year. For Substance Use Disorder (SUD) services, the estimated cost per client is \$15,832, which includes all Rapid Rehousing (RRH) services such as temporary housing, navigation, and rental subsidies. This figure is derived by dividing the total contract amount by the projected number of clients served.

For which setting types will the county provide rental subsidies?

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Supportive housing

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Apartments, including master-lease apartments

Time Limited Interim Settings: Hotel and motel stays

Time Limited Interim Settings: Congregate settings that have only a small number of individuals per room and sufficient common space (not larger dormitory sleeping halls)[134] (does not include behavioral health

residential treatment settings)

Time Limited Interim Settings: Short-Term Post-Hospitalization housing

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: License-exempt room and board

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Shared housing

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Single room occupancy units

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Housing in mobile home communities

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Single and multi-family homes

Will this Housing Intervention accommodate family housing?

Yes

Please provide a brief description of the intervention, including specific uses of BHSA

Housing Interventions funding

Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Housing Interventions funding supports a range of housing-related services that promote stability and recovery for individuals experiencing homelessness or housing insecurity, addressing both mental health and substance use disorder (SUD) needs. The Homeless Outreach Support Team (HOST) program utilizes this funding to provide interim housing for clients awaiting placement into Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), ensuring a safe and stable environment during the transition period, and offers rental assistance to individuals already placed in PSH to maintain housing stability and prevent returns to homelessness. Complementing these efforts, the Innovative Remote Onsite Assistance Delivery (InnROADs) program provides outreach and engagement, connecting individuals to housing resources and supporting them through navigation and placement. The Recovery Residence Program prioritizes perinatal clients, including pregnant and postpartum women and their children, Post Release Community Supervised clients referred by County Probation, Screening Assessment and Referral Center (SARC) referrals, and CalWORKs-eligible clients. Referrals are submitted by Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) staff, and any staff member identifying a housing need may initiate a referral. Rapid Rehousing (RRH) services are available to families as long as one household member is connected to DBH services, ensuring comprehensive support for housing stability and recovery.

Will the county behavioral health system provide rental assistance through project-based (tied to a particular unit) or tenant-based (tied to the individual) subsidies?

Tenant-based

Project-based

How will the county behavioral health system identify a portfolio of available units for placing BHSA eligible individuals, including in collaboration with other county partners and as applicable, Flex Pools (e.g., Master Leasing)? Please include partnerships and collaborative efforts your county behavioral health system will engage in

To identify a portfolio of available units for placing Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) eligible individuals with mental health and substance use disorder (SUD) needs, the County Behavioral Health system engages in strategic collaboration with key partners, including the Housing Authority and the Coordinated Entry System (CES). Through ongoing coordination, programs such as Homeless Outreach Support Team (HOST) and Innovative Remote Onsite Assistance Delivery (InnROADs) work to identify eligible clients for housing voucher opportunities and ensure timely placement into appropriate housing units. HOST actively collaborates with the Housing Authority and CES to match individuals with available housing resources, including vouchers and Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) options, while InnROADs provides critical outreach and engagement services to help clients navigate the housing process. Additionally, the County explores the use of Flex Pools, such as Master Leasing, to expand the portfolio of available units and increase housing options for BHSA-eligible individuals. These efforts are complemented by landlord outreach activities conducted by Lighthouse to secure additional housing opportunities. For recovery residence services, the Department of Behavioral Health Substance Use Disorder and Recovery Services (DBH SUDRS) currently contracts with Inland Valley Recovery Services, New Hope, and Veterans Alcoholic Rehabilitation Program (VARP), with two additional providers, Avector Community Group, Inc., and Momentum Behavioral Health Services, Inc., pending approval by the Board of Supervisors on December 16, 2025. Collectively, these collaborative strategies aim to streamline housing placements, reduce barriers, and promote long-term stability for individuals with behavioral health needs.

Total number of units funded with BHSA Housing Interventions per year

520

Please provide additional details to explain if the county is funding rental subsidies with BHSA Housing Interventions that are not tied to a specific number of units

The County's includes the housing strategy Enhanced Services for Supportive Housing (ESS) model, which provides up to 500 interim housing beds that are not tied to specific units. This flexible approach ensures that individuals with behavioral health needs, both mental health and substance use disorder (SUD), have access to safe, temporary accommodations while awaiting placement into permanent housing solutions. By maintaining a pool of available beds rather than fixed units, the Enhanced Services for Supportive Housing (ESS) model allows for rapid response to client needs, reduces barriers to housing access, and supports continuity of care during the transition period. This model complements other housing interventions, such as the Homeless Outreach and Support Team (HOST) and Innovative Remote Onsite Assistance Delivery (InnROADs), which focus on permanent supportive housing placements, rental assistance, and navigation services, collectively promoting housing stability and recovery for Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) eligible individuals.

Operating Subsidies ([Chapter 7, Section C.9.2](#))

Is the county providing this intervention?

Yes

Is the county providing this intervention to chronically homeless individuals?

Yes

Anticipated number of individuals served per year

144

Please provide a brief description of the intervention, including specific uses of BHSA

Housing Interventions funding

Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Housing Interventions funding is used to support housing stability and operational sustainability within allowable permanent and interim housing settings, including Permanent Supportive Housing, long-term rental units, interim housing programs, and recovery-focused transitional settings as defined in Policy Manual Chapters 7.C.9.2 and 7.C.9.3. Funds are allocated to cover essential operating costs required for the physical management of these housing environments, such as utilities, property maintenance and repairs, property taxes and insurance, property management services, legal and accounting fees, office and administrative supplies, cleaning services, and other necessary operating expenses that ensure safe and functional housing conditions. For interim housing programs, allowable expenditures support temporary service-connected placements that must adhere to required time limits and placement rules, including defined lengths of stay, eligibility criteria, and expectations for active engagement in housing navigation to support transitions into permanent housing. By aligning these operational investments with the specific requirements of each allowable housing setting, BHSA Housing Interventions funding helps maintain well-managed and stable housing environments and ensures that BHSA-eligible individuals have continued access to permanent and interim housing options that promote long-term stability and support their engagement in behavioral health services.

For which setting types will the county provide operating subsidies?

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Supportive housing

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Apartments, including master-lease apartments

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Single and multi-family homes

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Housing in mobile home communities

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Single room occupancy units

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Accessory dwelling units, including Junior Accessory Dwelling Units

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Shared housing

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Recovery/Sober Living housing, including recovery-oriented

housing

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Assisted living (adult residential facilities, residential facilities for the elderly, and licensed board and care)

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: License-exempt room and board

Non-Time-Limited Permanent Settings: Other settings identified under the Transitional Rent benefit

Time Limited Interim Settings: Hotel and motel stays

Time Limited Interim Settings: Non-congregate interim housing models

Time Limited Interim Settings: Congregate settings that have only a small number of individuals per room and sufficient common space (not larger dormitory sleeping halls)[134] (does not include behavioral health residential treatment settings)

Time Limited Interim Settings: Recuperative Care

Time Limited Interim Settings: Short-Term Post-Hospitalization housing

Time Limited Interim Settings: Peer respite

Time Limited Interim Settings: Other settings identified under the Transitional Rent benefit

Will this be a scattered site initiative?

No

Will this Housing Intervention accommodate family housing?

No

Total number of units funded with BHSA Housing Interventions per year

72

Please provide additional details to explain if the county is funding operating subsidies with BHSA Housing Interventions that are not tied to a specific number of units

The County will utilize BHSA Housing Interventions funding to support flexible operating subsidies that are not tied to a fixed number of units, allowing for a responsive, needs-based approach to housing and behavioral health services. These funds will be used specifically for allowable operational costs associated with DBH-funded small congregate shelter settings, which typically house 6–12 individuals per unit with 2–4 individuals per room, and will cover shelter operating expenses directly tied to staffing for monitoring and client safety, as well as essential client needs such as food, hygiene supplies, and non-landlord-paid utilities. BHSA funds will not be used to pay for clinical behavioral health services, which will be funded separately under BHSS or Medi-Cal where applicable. To ensure coordinated, non-duplicative use of funding, the Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will work closely with the Office of Homeless Services (OHS) to determine which services are supported by BHSA resources versus those funded through OHS. Through this collaboration, DBH will focus on behavioral-health-aligned operations within small congregate shelter settings and supportive staffing, while OHS manages broader housing and

homelessness system functions. These small congregate shelter settings are an allowable interim housing option under BHSAs Policy Manual Chapter 7, Section C.9.3. This coordinated approach ensures that individuals with the most significant behavioral health needs receive safe shelter and consistent support within a small-scale, recovery-oriented environment.

Landlord Outreach and Mitigation Funds ([Chapter 7, Section C.9.4.1](#))

Is the county providing this intervention?

Yes

Is the county providing this intervention to chronically homeless individuals?

Yes

Anticipated number of individuals served per year

1000

Please provide a brief description of the intervention, including specific uses of BHSAs

Housing Interventions funding

Landlord Outreach and Mitigation Funds administered through Lighthouse are utilized to encourage and incentivize property owners to rent to eligible individuals. These funds help reduce perceived risks for landlords by offering financial support and resources, thereby expanding housing opportunities for individuals with behavioral health needs. This approach strengthens partnerships with property owners and promotes housing stability for vulnerable populations.

Total number of units funded with BHSAs Housing Interventions per year

800

Please provide additional details to explain if the county is providing landlord outreach and mitigation funds with BHSAs Housing Interventions that are not tied to a specific number of units

The county behavioral health system in collaboration with Community Development and Housing will utilize outreach and mitigation funds to outreach and engage landlords and property owners in becoming behavioral health housing providers. Funds will be used to support landlords and property owners in meeting housing requirements and to establish incentives to grow the counties inventory of permanent supportive housing. Funds will also be used to provide training and education to landlord and property owners on behavioral health topics with the goal of participant retention in housing. Mitigation funds will be used for any damages caused by a participant, rental insurance, eviction prevention or unit hold costs. Mitigation funds use and cost will be informed and updated by stakeholders through ongoing stakeholder

engagement with housing intervention providers.

Participant Assistance Funds ([Chapter 7, Section C.9.4.2](#))

Is the county providing this intervention?

Yes

Is the county providing this intervention to chronically homeless individuals?

Yes

Anticipated number of individuals served per year

800

Please provide a brief description of the intervention, including specific uses of BHSA

Housing Interventions funding

To further assist individuals with housing stabilization, the County will utilize Participant Assistance Funds to remove barriers to housing and support immediate housing needs. These funds may include, but are not limited to, costs for obtaining government-issued identification and vital documents, housing application fees, credit reports, security and utility deposits, storage fees, pet deposits and related costs, move-in supports such as transportation, food, hygiene products, and moderate furnishings (e.g., a bed, table, chairs, cleaning tools, and other essential household items), as well as rent and utility arrears. These funds will be used to assist clients with allowable expenses that support and complement Transitional Rent and permanent housing navigation services, but do not pay for services covered by Medi-Cal Community Supports, ensuring individuals can successfully enter and establish stable housing.

Housing Transition Navigation Services and Tenancy Sustaining Services ([Chapter 7, Section C.9.4.3](#))

Pursuant to Welfare and Institutions (W&I) Code section 5830, subdivision (c)(2), BHSA

Housing Interventions may not be used for housing services covered by Medi-Cal MCP. Please select Yes only if the county is providing these services to individuals who are not eligible to receive the services through their Medi-Cal MCP (no action needed)

Is the county providing this intervention?

Yes

Is the county providing this intervention to chronically homeless individuals?

Yes

Anticipated number of individuals served per year

400

Please provide a brief description of the intervention, including specific uses of BHSA

Housing Interventions funding

The County will utilize BHSA Housing Interventions funding to support a range of housing-related activities that assist individuals with mental health and substance use disorder needs in securing and maintaining stable housing. Although CalAIM Housing Transition Navigation Services and Tenancy Sustaining Services have been transitioned to the Office of Homeless Services to support a more centralized and coordinated CalAIM delivery system, DBH continues to provide housing-focused supports through other programs and will use BHSA Housing Interventions funding to enhance these services. Funding will be used to support housing navigation, linkage to permanent housing, case management, and tenancy-related supports delivered through programs such as Adult Residential Treatment facilities, the Placement After Stabilization (PAS) program, the Program for Assisting and Coordinating Enhanced Services (PACES), and Community Reintegration Services (CRS). These programs assist clients with transitioning from residential or emergency placements into stable housing and provide ongoing support to help individuals maintain their housing. BHSA Housing Interventions funding will also help strengthen housing-related activities incorporated into the Rapid Rehousing process through the Community Supports Policy Guide. Collectively, these efforts ensure that individuals continue to receive comprehensive, housing-focused support within DBH programs even as CalAIM-specific functions are centralized under OHS.

Housing Interventions Outreach and Engagement [\(Chapter 7, Section C.9.4.4\)](#)

Is the county providing this intervention?

Yes

Is the county providing this intervention to chronically homeless individuals?

Yes

Anticipated number of individuals served per year

1154

Please provide a brief description of the intervention, including specific uses of BHSA

Housing Interventions funding

Innovative Remote Onsite Assistance Delivery (InnROADs) is a multidisciplinary, field-based team that provides housing intervention services through direct outreach and engagement with individuals experiencing homelessness throughout San Bernardino County. Using Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) Housing Interventions funding, InnROADs staff travel across the county to conduct outreach, assist individuals in navigating available housing resources, and implement harm-reduction strategies. These services play a critical role in connecting individuals to appropriate behavioral health care and housing opportunities, including coordinating placement and ongoing support for individuals referred to contracted residential treatment facilities and emergency shelters operated through the Department of Behavioral Health (DBH). BHSA funding enhances this work by enabling InnROADs staff to meet individuals where they are, assess housing and service needs, and provide linkages that support both housing stability and recovery. For planning purposes, DBH used data from the Office of Homeless Services' 2025 Continuum of Care Homeless Count and Survey Report to update the number of individuals experiencing chronic homelessness while continuing efforts to strengthen local data collection systems for improved accuracy. Of the 1,154 individuals counted, 551 reported a history of mental health challenges and 603 reported a history of substance use, indicating that a substantial portion of individuals experiencing homelessness are likely eligible for both behavioral health and housing services. To support coordinated care, DBH is working closely with the Office of Homeless Services to identify shared clients and ensure that services are complementary rather than duplicative.

Capital Development Projects [\(Chapter 7, Section C.10\)](#)

Counties may spend up to 25 percent of BHSA Housing Interventions on capital development projects. Will the county behavioral health system use BHSA Housing Interventions for capital development projects?

No

Please explain why the county is not providing this intervention

Over the next year, this effort will be developed in collaboration with the County Administrative Office, the Office of Homelessness Services, and other relevant departments to identify and advance capital development opportunities.

Other Housing Interventions

If the county is providing another type of Housing Interventions not listed above, please describe the intervention

The Peer Housing project is an MHSA-approved Innovation project with encumbered funding. The project

was approved by the Commission for Behavioral Health (CBH) on January 22, 2026. For reporting the project in the BHSAs Budget Template, the funding has been included under the Housing Interventions tab. The innovation program will help build the evidence base for new statewide strategies by testing whether a peer-run transitional housing model leads to stronger outcomes for individuals with serious behavioral health challenges who are experiencing homelessness. By tracking changes in housing stability, recovery progress, and successful transitions to permanent housing, the project will generate measurable data on the effectiveness of peer-led approaches compared to traditional models. These findings will contribute valuable evidence that can inform statewide policy decisions, guide future program investments, and support broader adoption of effective, person-centered housing and behavioral health strategies. The intended outcomes of the Peer Housing project include increasing housing stability for participants by providing a peer-run transitional housing environment, enhancing recovery outcomes for adults with serious behavioral health challenges, and improving rates of successful transitions from transitional housing into permanent housing. The project also aims to demonstrate whether a peer-led model can produce stronger housing and recovery results than traditional provider-run approaches, helping to inform future program design and investment.

Is the county providing this intervention to chronically homeless individuals?

Yes

Anticipated number of individuals served per year

400

Continuation of Existing Housing Programs

Please describe if any BHSAs Housing Interventions funding will be used to support the continuation of housing programs that are ending (e.g., Behavioral Health Bridge housing)

To ensure continuity and stability in housing support services, Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSAs) Housing Interventions will be utilized to assist Behavioral Health Bridge Housing (BHBH) rapid rehousing rental assistance clients, as well as individuals placed in hotel/motel interim housing, in coordination with Managed Care. To ensure continuity and stability in housing support services, BHSAs Housing Interventions funding will be utilized to assist BHBH rapid rehousing rental assistance clients, as well as individuals placed in hotel and motel interim housing. Coordination with Managed Care Plans (MCP) will be essential to align with the six-month rental assistance period covered by MCP funding. Sustained funding for the BHBH Enhanced Shelter is also critical to maintain operations and continue providing essential shelter and supportive services to individuals experiencing homelessness. Currently, time-limited BHBH funding, which expires June 30, 2027, is being used in conjunction with the Substance Use Prevention, Treatment, and Recovery Services Block Grant (SUBG) to fund Recovery Residences. To maintain housing supply, expand capacity, and increase access for BHSAs-eligible individuals, BHSAs resources will be required beyond the expiration of BHBH funding. Additionally, the County anticipates transitioning the Lighthouse program,

currently funded by BHBH to BHSA funding to ensure ongoing support for housing placements and stability.

Relationship to Housing Services Funded by Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans

For more information, please see [7.C.7 Relationship to Medi-Cal Funded Housing Services](#)

Which of the following housing-related Community Supports is the county behavioral health system an MCP-contracted provider of?

None of the Above

For which of the following services does the county behavioral health system plan to become an MCP-contracted provider of?

Housing Transition Navigation Services

Undecided

Housing Deposits

Undecided

Housing Tenancy and Sustaining Services

Undecided

Short-Term Post-Hospitalization Housing

Undecided

Recuperative Care

Undecided

Day Habilitation

Undecided

Transitional Rent

Undecided

How will the county behavioral health system identify, confirm eligibility, and [refer Medi-Cal members to housing-related Community Supports covered by MCPs \(including Transitional Rent\)](#)?

The County Behavioral Health System will identify, confirm eligibility, and refer members through the Homeless Outreach Support Team (HOST) program to housing-related community supports covered by the Managed Care Plans (MCPs), in partnership with Public Health Services (PHS), which serves as the County's Community Supports (CS) provider.

Please describe coordination efforts and ongoing processes to ensure the county behavioral health contracted provider network for Housing Interventions is known and shared with MCPs serving your county

The County behavioral health system will maintain the Managed Care Plans (MCPs) informed of the behavioral health contracted provider network for Housing Interventions through their agreement with Community Development and Housing (CDH) and leadership meetings with the MCPs. CDH is the transitional rent provider for the county.

Does the county behavioral health system track which of its contracted housing providers are also contracted by MCPs for housing-related Community Supports (provided in questions #1 and #2 above)?

No

What processes does the county behavioral health system have in place to ensure Medi-Cal members living with significant behavioral health conditions do not experience gaps in service once any of the MCP housing services are exhausted, to the extent resources are available?

San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) has multiple processes to ensure Medi-Cal members with significant behavioral health conditions do not experience gaps in care when Managed Care Plan (MCP) housing supports are exhausted, subject to resource availability. The county provides intensive services through Full-Service Partnerships (FSP), which offer comprehensive support, including housing assistance, case management, and linkage to community resources for individuals with severe mental illness. DBH also enforces a Continuity of Care policy that allows beneficiaries to maintain services with their current provider for up to 12 months during transitions, preventing disruptions that could lead to hospitalization. Additionally, DBH uses a Tier Transition Protocol to move clients who no longer meet medical necessity for specialty mental health services to MCP-provided mild/moderate services, ensuring coordination with plans like Inland Empire Health Plan (IEHP), Molina, Kaiser, and Health Net. When MCP housing benefits end, DBH leverages Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) housing funds and partnerships

with programs such as LightHouse Health & Housing to provide rental subsidies and supportive housing. Crisis and engagement services, including 24/7 crisis lines, mobile crisis teams, and outreach programs like Recovery Based Engagement Support Team (RBEST), are available to stabilize individuals during transitions. These efforts align with state requirements for MCP and county coordination, ensuring continuity of care and housing stability for high-need Medi-Cal members.

Flexible Housing Subsidy Pools

Flexible Housing Subsidy Pools (“Flex Pools”) are an effective model to streamline and simplify administering rental assistance and related housing supports. DHCS released the Flex Pools TA Resource Guide that describes this model in more detail linked here: [Flexible Housing Subsidy Pools - Technical Assistance Resource](#). Please reference the TA Resource Guide for descriptions of the Flex Pool model and roles referenced below including the Lead Entity, Operator, and Funder.

For related policy information, refer to [7.C.8 Flexible Housing Subsidy Pools](#).

Is there an operating Flex Pool (or elements of a Flex Pool, which includes (1) coordinating and braiding funding streams, (2) serving as a fiscal intermediary, (3) identifying, securing, and supporting a portfolio of units for participants, and/or (4) coordinating with providers of housing supportive services) in the county (please refer to DHCS’ Flex Pools TA Resource Guide)?

No

Is the county behavioral health system involved in planning efforts to launch a Flex Pool in the county?

No

Please describe any other roles and functions the county behavioral health system plans to take to support the operations or launch and scaling of a Flex Pool in addition to those described above

The County does not have plans to support or launch a flex pool. However, the County Behavioral Health system is coordinating with the County’s Community Development and Housing Department and Office of Homeless Services as part of the broader Housing Interventions and Homelessness Strategic Planning efforts led by the County Administrative Office (CAO) to address homelessness. These efforts include coordinating funding, increasing the portfolio of available housing units, and identifying potential capital development projects.

Behavioral Health Services Fund: Innovative Behavioral Health Pilot and Projects

For each innovative program or pilot provide the following information. If the county provides more than one program, use the “Add additional program” button. For related policy information, refer to [7.A.6 Innovative Behavioral Health Pilots and Projects](#).

Does the county’s plan include the development of innovative programs or pilots?

Yes

Program

What Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) component will fund the innovative program?

Behavioral Health Services and Supports

Please describe how the innovative program or pilot will help build the evidence base for the effectiveness of new statewide strategies

The innovative Teen 311 mobile application will help build the evidence base for the effectiveness of new statewide strategies by demonstrating how technology can reduce barriers to mental health access among adolescents. Currently, research shows that approximately 30% of teens have a clinically significant mental health need, yet only 25% of those in need receive services due to stigma, lack of awareness, and logistical challenges. By providing a confidential, user-friendly platform that directly links students to mental health and supportive services, this pilot addresses these barriers head-on. By tracking usage patterns, referral rates, and outcomes, this pilot will generate actionable evidence on whether mobile-based interventions can effectively enhance statewide strategies for adolescent mental health. If successful, this model can be scaled to other districts, supporting a data-driven approach to policy and program development.

Please describe intended outcomes of the project

The Teen 311 mobile application aims to increase access to mental health and supportive services for adolescents by reducing common barriers such as stigma, lack of awareness, and logistical challenges. Implemented across Adelanto High School, Silverado High School, Victor Valley High School, and one middle school, the pilot will serve approximately 7,598 students, with an estimated 2,279 teens likely to have clinically significant mental health needs based on national prevalence data. By providing a confidential, user-friendly platform that directly links students to care, the project intends to boost help-seeking among teens in need from the current 25% to 40%, thereby narrowing the gap between

identified needs and actual service delivery. The program will also generate actionable evidence to inform statewide strategies by tracking app usage, referrals, and service engagement, ultimately supporting improvements in student well-being and academic success while establishing a scalable model for adolescent mental health access.

Workforce Strategy

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don't need to finish everything at once—your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use “Return to plan” to navigate between sections and track overall progress. For more information on this section, please see 6.C.2 Securing Medi-Cal Payment.

Maintain an Adequate Network of Qualified and Culturally Responsive Providers

The county must ensure its county-operated and county-contracted behavioral health workforce is well-supported and [culturally and linguistically responsive](#) with the population to be served. Through existing Medi-Cal oversight processes, the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) will assess whether the county:

[Maintains and monitors](#) a network of providers that is sufficient to provide adequate access to services and supports for individuals with behavioral health needs; and

Meets [federal and state standards](#) for timely access to care and services, considering the urgency of the need for services.

The county must [ensure](#) that Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA)-funded providers are qualified to deliver services, comply with nondiscrimination requirements, and deliver services in a culturally competent manner. Effective FY 2027-2028, DHCS encourages counties to require their BHSA providers to comply with the same standards as Medi-Cal providers in these areas (i.e. requiring the same standards regardless of whether a given service is reimbursed under BHSA or Medi-Cal), as described in the Policy Manual.

Does the county intend to adopt this recommended approach for BHSA-funded providers that also participate in the county's Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System?

Yes

Does the county intend to adopt this recommended approach for BHS-funded providers that do not participate in the county's Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System?

Yes

Build Workforce to Address Statewide Behavioral Health Goals

For related policy information, refer to [3.A.2 Contents of Integrated Plan](#) and [7.A.4 Workforce Education and Training](#).

Assess Workforce Gaps

What is the overall vacancy rate for permanent clinical/direct service behavioral health positions in the county (including county-operated providers)?

15

Upload any data source(s) used to determine vacancy rate

For county behavioral health (including county-operated providers), please select the [five positions with the greatest vacancy rates](#)

Licensed Professional Clinical Counselor

Licensed Clinical Social Worker

Substance Use Disorder Counselor

Licensed Psychologist

Licensed Marriage and Family Therapist

Please describe any other key workforce gaps in the county

The San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) provides critical behavioral health and substance use disorder services to a community of over 2.2 million residents across one of California's largest geographic counties. DBH currently employs more than 1,500 full-time equivalent (FTE) positions dedicated to the delivery of care across outpatient, crisis, residential, and community-based programs. Despite this scale, DBH is experiencing an approximate 15% vacancy rate, with the most significant gaps occurring in the classifications of Clinical Therapist, Alcohol and Drug Counselor, and Social Worker. These vacancies directly affect service capacity, access to timely care, and the County's ability to meet increasing demand for integrated behavioral health services. Documented labor market conditions drive recruitment challenges at both the state and national levels. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics,

occupations central to DBH's workforce are projected to grow faster than average through the next decade, including substance abuse, behavioral disorder, and mental health counselors (17% growth), marriage and family therapists (13%), and social workers (6%), with tens of thousands of annual openings nationally due to growth and replacement needs. In California, projections are even more pronounced, with mental health and substance abuse counselors projected to grow by more than 20% and mental health social workers by approximately 19% over the next decade. These trends indicate sustained competition among counties, hospitals, health systems, and private providers for a limited pool of qualified candidates. Compounding these pressures, DBH's highest-vacancy classifications require graduate education, extensive supervised clinical hours, and state licensure, resulting in a lengthy pipeline from education to independent practice. While new graduates continue to enter the workforce, national workforce analyses project persistent shortages in behavioral health professions well into the future due to demand growth, retirements, burnout, and training capacity constraints. Without targeted workforce investments, DBH anticipates continued difficulty recruiting and retaining qualified staff. Integrated Plan funding is therefore critical to support workforce development strategies that expand the pipeline, accelerate time-to-practice, and stabilize staffing levels necessary to sustain integrated, high-quality behavioral health services for San Bernardino County residents.

How does the county expect workforce needs to shift over the next three fiscal years given new and forthcoming requirements, including implementation of new evidence-based practices under Behavioral Health Transformation (BHT) and Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT)?

Over the next three fiscal years (FY 2026–2029), the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) expects workforce needs to shift toward larger, more flexible, and more clinically skilled teams to implement new evidence-based practices and community-based service models required under Behavioral Health Transformation (BHT) and the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT). This shift emphasizes integrated care, culturally responsive practice, and timely access across the continuum, which will require increasing staffing levels, realigning roles, and introducing new classifications that better match evolving operational needs. DBH will evaluate its current structure, reviewing vacancy rates, assessing whether existing roles meet program requirements, and reallocating resources from areas of overcapacity to areas of greatest need, to ensure the workforce reflects the populations served and can deliver at scale. As of February 20, 2026, DBH's vacancy rate is 14.5%, with 1,415 filled positions and 240 vacancies, concentrated in key classifications: Clinical Therapist I (35), Clinical Therapist II (28), Social Worker II (17), and Alcohol and Drug Counselors (16). To meet BHT and BH-CONNECT expectations, DBH's three year plan includes: same day offer hiring events (including spring and winter fairs for new clinical graduates); allowing graduates to apply three months before graduation to secure employment contingent on completion; and establishing a Pre Licensed Clinical Therapist Trainee classification for graduates awaiting registration with the California Board of Behavioral Sciences, with advancement to Pre Licensed Clinical Therapist upon obtaining registration and meeting performance standards. DBH has also updated minimum qualifications for Clinical Therapist II,

reducing required licensed experience from two years to one, to widen the candidate pool and support a career trajectory that includes Clinic Supervisor and Program Manager pathways for those seeking long-term service with the County. To sustain recruitment and retention aligned with BHT and BH-CONNECT, DBH is developing targeted promotional materials highlighting staff only perks (license exam prep, employee scholarships, educational internships) and opportunities (loan repayment, tuition assistance, Good Neighbor programs), and is determining the optimal timing to share these materials with candidates (e.g., at recruitment, interview, or offer stages). DBH is producing client success stories and employee testimonial videos to convey the impact and meaning of the work; conducting employee surveys to refine recruitment and retention strategies; and expanding advertising across alumni job boards, local radio, movie theaters, the DBH website, targeted e-publications, and culturally diverse media venues to strengthen a workforce that represents the community served. Operationally, DBH is reevaluating group requests to fill positions across multiple divisions to maximize applicant volume (similar to hiring events), re-engaging at local community events to reach potential candidates, and expanding the Employee Educational Internship Program to include interested County employees from non-clinical departments, to convert them into DBH behavioral health providers upon graduation. To guide continuous improvement, DBH is investing in enhanced tracking tools and/or additional staff to monitor turnover, identify effective hiring strategies, measure average length of employment by classification, and produce regular and ad hoc reports on vacancies and recruitment outcomes. Taken together, these actions describe how workforce needs will shift: DBH will grow and diversify clinical capacity, create and adapt roles to match integrated, evidence-based, community-based practice requirements, accelerate hiring and onboarding, strengthen retention through supports and career pathways, and use data to dynamically deploy resources where they are most needed. The department's target is to reduce the vacancy rate to below 10% on an ongoing basis, while "leveling up" the workforce to meet the demands of a transformed behavioral health system and ensure timely, equitable, and effective services under BHT and BH-CONNECT.

Address Workforce Gaps

If the county is planning to leverage the Behavioral Health Community-Based Organized Networks of Equitable Care and Treatment (BH-CONNECT) workforce initiative to address workforce gaps including for FSP and CSC for FEP, such as through applying for and/or encouraging providers to apply for the following BH-CONNECT workforce programs, please specify below.

Is the county planning to leverage the BH-CONNECT workforce initiative by applying for the Behavioral Health Scholarship Program?

Yes

Please explain any actions or activities the county is engaging in to leverage the program

The San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) promotes the Behavioral Health Scholarship Program and other financial incentives through biweekly emails to staff and contract providers. These messages include key details like award amounts, eligibility, obligations, deadlines, and application instructions. New employees learn about available incentives during new employee orientation. DBH, in partnership with its Public Relations and Outreach Services, is also creating a website section for up-to-date financial opportunities for current and prospective behavioral health professionals. Future plans involve working with local educational institutions to share information about financial incentives and career opportunities with DBH and its contract providers, promoting the scholarship program through county and community recruitment efforts, and hosting sessions for San Bernardino County employees about behavioral health careers and scholarships. By attending college career fairs and partnering with schools offering relevant programs, DBH hopes to reach students who may qualify for awards and meet service requirements. The aim is to give staff, potential employees, and students clear information to support their education and careers, strengthening the behavioral health workforce in San Bernardino County.

Is the county planning to leverage the BH-CONNECT workforce initiative by applying for the Behavioral Health Student Loan Payment Program?

Yes

Please explain any actions or activities the county is engaging in to leverage the program

Through the DBH Workforce Education and Training (WET) Program, San Bernardino County DBH has designated a Staff Analyst I to manage the tracking and dissemination of financial incentive information such as the BH Student Loan Repayment Program. DBH regularly shares and announces application cycles for the Behavioral Health Loan Payment Program and other available financial incentives including the loan payment application process that began July 1, 2025. Information like this is primarily distributed to DBH employees and contract providers through the biweekly Training Opportunities email blast. The announcements provide essential details such as potential award amounts, eligibility criteria, service obligations, application deadlines, and instructions on how and where to apply. DBH also shares available financial incentives with new DBH employees offered through HCAI during new employee orientation. DBH is developing a dedicated financial incentive section on the department's website, where current and prospective staff can access the most up-to-date information on opportunities available to individuals pursuing careers in the behavioral health field. Future plans involve promoting the loan payment program through county and community based organization recruitment efforts. Recruitment efforts include attending college career fairs and partnering with schools offering relevant programs advising that working with DBH would afford students interested in behavioral health careers the ability to apply for loan payment and other financial incentives. The aim is to give existing and potentially new employees the information to support their education and careers, strengthening the behavioral health workforce in San Bernardino County. The goal is to ensure that staff and prospective employees have access to comprehensive information that supports their educational and professional growth, ultimately

contributing to a stronger and more sustainable behavioral health workforce in San Bernardino County.

Is the county planning to leverage the BH-CONNECT workforce initiative by applying for the Behavioral Health Recruitment and Retention Program?

Yes

Please explain any actions or activities the county is engaging in to leverage the program

San Bernardino County DBH aims to use the Behavioral Health Recruitment and Retention Program's workforce initiative, collaborating with the CA Behavioral Health Directors Association (CBHDA) Workforce Workgroup. The incentive program is currently inactive; however, counties are working with CBHDA to resolve implementation challenges. If these issues are addressed, DBH will participate, advertise bonuses in recruitment materials, and inform candidates about bonus details. DBH considers this incentive essential for attracting and retaining staff, especially given competition from neighboring counties and higher salaries offered by state and federal employers.

Is the county planning to leverage the BH-CONNECT workforce initiative by applying for the Behavioral Health Community-Based Provider Training Program?

Yes

Please explain any actions or activities the county is engaging in to leverage the program

DBH has committed to fully leveraging the Behavioral Health Community-Based Provider Training Program and has demonstrated this commitment by notifying its community based organizational providers of this incentive opportunity. Another demonstration is the fact DBH, in partnership with its Public Relations and Outreach Services, is creating a section of its website to post up-to-date financial opportunities for current and prospective behavioral health professionals. Future plans include expanding collaboration with local educational institutions to share information about financial incentives and career opportunities for Medi-Cal Peer Support Specialists, Medi-Cal Community Health Workers and Alcohol and Other Drug Counselors including eligible practice sites. By participating in college career fairs and sharing resources with colleges and trade schools offering behavioral-health-related programs, DBH aims to connect with prospective students who will qualify for these awards and fulfill service obligations as DBH or contracted employees. The goal is to ensure that staff, prospective employees, and students have access to comprehensive information that supports their educational and professional growth, ultimately contributing to a stronger and more sustainable behavioral health workforce in San Bernardino County.

Is the county planning to leverage the BH-CONNECT workforce initiative by applying for the Behavioral Health Residency Program?

No

Please describe any other efforts underway or planned in the county to address workforce gaps aside from those already described above under Behavioral Health Services Act Workforce, Education, and Training

The County is committed to addressing disparities in the behavioral health workforce through strategic initiatives that promote diversity, cultural responsiveness, and language access. A key effort includes fully leveraging the Behavioral Health-CONNECT (BH-CONNECT) workforce initiative to address critical workforce challenges and prepare for upcoming requirements under Behavioral Health Transformation and BH-CONNECT. These initiatives emphasize integrated, evidence-based practices, culturally responsive care, and community-based service delivery, which require a workforce that is clinically skilled and reflective of the populations served. To achieve these goals, the County is focusing on strengthening workforce capacity, evaluating current structures, and ensuring alignment with evolving needs. This includes reviewing vacancy rates, assessing existing roles, and determining where adjustments or new classifications may be necessary. These efforts will help ensure timely access to care and sustain high-quality behavioral health services. The Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) will continue to strengthen language capacity through targeted recruitment and retention strategies to increase bilingual staff across threshold and emerging languages. All DBH job postings include bilingual skills as a desired qualification. While Spanish remains a primary threshold language, DBH will expand outreach efforts to recruit individuals fluent in languages such as Cambodian, Thai, Mandarin, Vietnamese, American Sign Language (ASL), and other languages spoken by clients. In addition, DBH maintains contracted language service vendors to supplement workforce capacity and ensure meaningful access to care while long-term workforce capacity efforts continue. Vendors provide timely access to over 25 languages and dialects. Currently, DBH has 287 Spanish-speaking bilingual staff, 152 of whom are direct service providers. The remaining staff have direct contact with clients and assist clients in navigating our system of care at their various program locations. Additionally, DBH has bilingual staff for the following languages: Farsi, Vietnamese, ASL, and Arabic. For a total of 291 bilingual staff. English, Spanish, Mandarin, Vietnamese, and Cambodian are the top 5 most requested languages from our clients. Language requests are monitored on an ongoing basis to assess language access needs from our clients and inform recruitment efforts. These figures highlight the continued need for targeted recruitment in emerging languages to meet the linguistic and cultural needs of diverse communities. Through these efforts, DBH is actively working to reduce disparities and ensure a behavioral health workforce that reflects and effectively serves the County's population.

Budget and Prudent Reserve

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don't need to finish everything at once—your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use “Return to plan” to navigate between sections and track overall progress. For more information on this section, please see [6.B.3 Local Prudent Reserve](#).

Budget and Prudent Reserve

Download and complete the budget template using the button below before starting this section

Please upload the completed [budget template](#)

SBC DBH BHSa Integrated-Plan-Budget-Template_v3 - 042826 CAO Final.xlsx

Please indicate how the county plans to spend the amount over the maximum allowed prudent reserve limit for each component if the county indicated they would allocate excess prudent reserve funds to a given Behavioral Health Services Act component in Table Nine of the budget template

Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS)

The County does not have funds in excess of the maximum allowed prudent reserve limit. Therefore, there are no additional allocations planned for any Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) components beyond what is already reflected in the budget. All prudent reserve funds remain within the allowable limit in compliance with BHSA requirements.

Full Service Partnership (FSP)

The County does not have funds in excess of the maximum allowed prudent reserve limit. Therefore, there are no additional allocations planned for any Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) components beyond what is already reflected in the budget. All prudent reserve funds remain within the allowable limit in compliance with BHSA requirements.

Housing Interventions

The County does not have funds in excess of the maximum allowed prudent reserve limit. Therefore, there are no additional allocations planned for any Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) components beyond what is already reflected in the budget. All prudent reserve funds remain within the allowable limit in compliance with BHSA requirements.

[Enter date of last prudent reserve assessment](#)

6/30/2025

Please describe how the use of excess prudent reserve funds drawn down from the local prudent reserve aligns with the goals of the Integrated Plan

BHSS

The County does not have excess prudent reserve funds drawn down from the local prudent reserve. However, the County remains committed to ensuring that any future allocations or adjustments will align with the goals and priorities outlined in the Integrated Plan to support comprehensive and coordinated behavioral health services.

FSP

The County does not have excess prudent reserve funds drawn down from the local prudent reserve. However, the County remains committed to ensuring that any future allocations or adjustments will align with the goals and priorities outlined in the Integrated Plan to support comprehensive and coordinated behavioral health services.

Housing Interventions

The County does not have excess prudent reserve funds drawn down from the local prudent reserve. However, the County remains committed to ensuring that any future allocations or adjustments will align with the goals and priorities outlined in the Integrated Plan to support comprehensive and coordinated behavioral health services.

Plan Approval and Compliance

All fields must be completed unless marked as optional. You don't need to finish everything at once—your progress will be saved automatically as you go. Use “Return to plan” to navigate between sections and track overall progress. For more information on this section, please see [3.A.1 Reporting Period](#)

Behavioral health director certification

Download and complete the behavioral health director certification template using the button below before starting this section

Please upload the completed Behavioral health director certification template

Behavioral Health Director Certification.DHCS.J.Dugas.3.3.26.pdf

County administrator or designee certification

Download and complete the county administrator or designee certification template using the button below before starting this section

Please upload the completed County administrator or designee certification template

County Administrator Certification - L. Snoke 3_11 (1).pdf

Board of supervisor certification

For final submission, download and complete the board of supervisor certification template using the button below before starting this section

Please upload the completed Board of supervisor certification template

Confirm that the data is up to date and reflects the correct information for a Draft Plan

Requests

Funding Transfer Request

Please enter the proposed allocation adjustments to the tables below

	Plan year one	Plan year two	Plan year three
Behavioral Health Services and Supports (Base 35%)			
Full Service Partnership (Base 35%)			
Housing Intervention (Base 30%)			
Housing Interventions for Outreach and Engagement			

Behavioral Health Services and Supports Transfers

Enter the proposed dollars transferred into/from Behavioral Health Services and Supports (Base 35 percent)

	Plan year one	Plan year two	Plan year three
Dollars transferred from Full Service Partnerships			
Dollars transferred from Housing Intervention			
Dollars transferred into Full Service Partnerships			
Dollars transferred into Housing Intervention			

For Behavioral Health Services and Supports, please include a rationale for the funding allocation transfer request

Full Service Partnership Transfers

Enter the proposed dollars transferred into/from Full Service Partnerships (Base 35 percent)

	Plan year one	Plan year two	Plan year three
Dollars transferred from Behavioral Health Services and Supports			
Dollars transferred from Housing Intervention			
Dollars transferred into Behavioral Health Services and Supports			
Dollars transferred into Housing Intervention			

For Full Service Partnership, please include a rationale for the funding allocation transfer request

Housing Interventions Transfers

Enter the proposed dollars transferred into/from Housing Interventions (Base 30 percent)

	Plan year one	Plan year two	Plan year three
Dollars transferred from Behavioral Health Services and Supports			
Dollars transferred from Full Service Partnerships			
Dollars transferred into Behavioral Health Services and Support			
Dollars transferred into Full Service Partnerships			

For Housing Intervention, please include a rationale for the funding allocation transfer request

Supporting Information and Data

How does the funding transfer request respond to community needs and input?

Please include local data supporting the funding transfer request

Data Suppression Notice:

Values marked with "*" have been suppressed per DHCS de-identification standards. Counts between 1–10 are displayed as "<11*"

Appendix A

Instructions

Countries shall report their planned expenditures for all behavioral health funding sources, not limited to only BHSA, along the Behavioral Health Care Continuum in Tab One. For Annual Updates, counties should review and make updates only to the next fiscal year. For Interim Updates, counties should review and make updates to the current fiscal year. **Column C:** counties shall indicate whether they provide each category of services using the check box.

Columns D through I: counties shall include their estimated total expenditures for the Integrated Plan period across all behavioral health funding sources and programs by each Behavioral Health Care Continuum category. Counties should consider children/youth as 21 and under for Columns G - I.

Columns J and K: counties shall input their estimated total count of all individuals served through the county behavioral health system across all funding sources/programs. These counts may be duplicated. Counties should consider eligible children/youth as 21 and under for Column K.

Row 38: the total projected expenditures in columns D through I and total projected individuals served annually in columns J and K will be auto-populated from rows 20 through 36. **Note:** For a list of all funding streams that should be included in the projected expenditures calculation for each BH Care Continuum Category, please see the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) County Policy Manual Chapter 3, Section A.

Reminder: 1) Counties must comply, and must ensure their providers comply, with all applicable conditions for each source of funding, as defined in applicable laws, regulations, and guidance, including the BHSA County Policy Manual. 2) Counties must promote access to care through efficient use of state and county resources as outlined in Chapter 6, Section C of the BHSA County Policy Manual, including requiring BHSA-funded providers to bill appropriately for services covered by the county's Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System and make a good faith effort to seek reimbursement from Medi-Cal managed care plans and commercial health insurance.

These policies apply only to non-housing services that are eligible for both BHSA funding and another funding source, such as Medi-Cal payment, commercial payment, etc.

Table One: Behavioral Health Care Continuum Projected Expenditures

	Services Are Provided in County	Total Projected Expenditures On Adults and Older Adults (Year One)	Total Projected Expenditures On Adults and Older Adults (Year Two)	Total Projected Expenditures On Adults and Older Adults (Year Three)	Total Projected Expenditures on Children/Youth (Year One)	Total Projected Expenditures on Children/Youth (Year Two)	Total Projected Expenditures on Children/Youth (Year Three)	Projected Individuals to be Served Annually (May be duplicated) Eligible Adults and Older Adults	Projected Individuals to be Served Annually (May be duplicated) Eligible Children/Youth (under 21)
Substance Use Disorder (SUD) Services									
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 4,387,864.89	\$ 4,519,500.84	\$ 4,655,085.86	\$ 2,563,194.33	\$ 2,640,090.16	\$ 2,719,292.87	2330.00	2547.00
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 2,515,848.03	\$ 2,591,323.47	\$ 2,669,063.17	\$ 154,647.75	\$ 159,287.18	\$ 164,065.80	1011	1091.00
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 21,813,091.35	\$ 22,512,740.81	\$ 23,240,168.25	\$ 587,340.40	\$ 604,960.61	\$ 623,109.43	1714	1455.00
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 2,333,556.86	\$ 2,403,563.56	\$ 2,475,670.47	\$ 184,687.70	\$ 190,228.33	\$ 195,935.18	338	364.00
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 324,478.87	\$ 334,213.24	\$ 344,239.63	\$ 139,062.37	\$ 143,234.24	\$ 147,531.27	1404	1366.00
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 19,578,187.88	\$ 20,175,191.84	\$ 20,791,554.67	\$ 1,362,538.58	\$ 1,403,414.74	\$ 1,445,517.18	481	365.00
	<input type="checkbox"/>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0	0.00
Mental Health (MH) Services									
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 10,630,774.37	\$ 10,949,697.60	\$ 11,278,188.53	\$ 3,282,900.64	\$ 3,381,387.66	\$ 3,482,829.29	674	727
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 14,643,542.45	\$ 16,771,969.44	\$ 19,031,859.24	\$ 31,982,843.72	\$ 36,631,524.06	\$ 41,567,331.27	4044	4364
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 158,894,624.57	\$ 160,041,236.68	\$ 172,171,320.85	\$ 192,420,960.44	\$ 198,193,589.26	\$ 204,139,396.94	19087	16821
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 30,457,916.54	\$ 31,371,654.04	\$ 32,312,803.66	\$ 20,972,666.30	\$ 21,601,846.29	\$ 22,249,801.68	3033	3273
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 40,172,949.16	\$ 41,378,137.63	\$ 42,619,481.76	\$ 5,651,815.64	\$ 5,821,370.11	\$ 5,996,011.22	674	727
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 64,114,874.10	\$ 66,038,320.32	\$ 68,019,469.93	\$ 14,981,839.75	\$ 15,431,294.95	\$ 15,894,233.79	337	364
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 112,220.83	\$ 115,587.45	\$ 119,055.07	\$ 28,055.21	\$ 28,896.86	\$ 29,763.77	337	364
Housing Services (MH + SUD)									
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	\$ 15,029,285.56	\$ 15,480,164.12	\$ 15,944,569.05	\$ 433,053.20	\$ 446,044.79	\$ 459,426.13	2359	2546
Total Projected Expenditures and Individuals Served									
		\$ 385,009,215.45	\$ 394,683,301.04	\$ 415,672,530.15	\$ 274,745,606.04	\$ 286,677,169.25	\$ 299,114,345.81	37822.69389	36374

Instructions

Countries shall report their planned expenditures for all behavioral health services and activities, not limited to only BHSA funded services and activities, other than those that are part of the Behavioral Health Care Continuum in Tab Two.

Rows 17 through 20: countries shall include their estimated total expenditures for the Integrated Plan period across all behavioral health funding sources and programs for each category listed. These costs are those that do not easily fit under the categories in Tab One, "BH CoC Expenditures."

Row 22: total projected expenditures will be auto-populated from rows 17 through 20.

For a list of all funding streams that should be included in the projected expenditures calculation for Table Two: Other County Expenditures please see the Behavioral Health Services Act County Policy Manual Chapter 3 Section A.

Reminder: 1) Countries must comply, and must ensure their providers comply, with all applicable conditions for each source of funding, as defined in applicable laws, regulations, and guidance, including the BHSA County Policy Manual. 2) Countries must promote access to care through efficient use of state and county resources as outlined in Chapter 6, Section C of the BHSA County Policy Manual, including requiring B HSA-funded providers to bill appropriately for services covered by the county's Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System and make a good faith effort to seek reimbursement from Medi-Cal managed care plans and commercial health insurance. These policies apply only to non-Housing services that are eligible for both BHSA funding and another funding source, such as Medi-Cal payment, commercial payment, etc.

Table Two: Other County Expenditures			
Other Expenditures	Total Projected Expenditures (Year One)	Total Projected Expenditures (Year Two)	Total Projected Expenditures (Year Three)
Capital Infrastructure Activities	\$ 78,112,460.12	\$ 21,319,621.45	\$ 20,762,355.24
Workforce Investment Activities	\$ 6,244,075.97	\$ 6,428,822.27	\$ 6,619,110.95
Quality & Accountability, Data Analytics, and Plan Management & Administrative Activities (including indirect administrative activities)	\$ 44,666,621.59	\$ 46,006,620.24	\$ 47,386,818.84
Other County Behavioral Health Agency Services/Activities (e.g., Public Guardian, CARE Act, LPS Conservatorships, DSH for Housing, Court Diversion Programs)	\$ 3,659,407.65	\$ 3,769,189.88	\$ 3,882,265.58
Total Projected Expenditures	\$ 132,682,565.32	\$ 77,524,253.83	\$ 78,650,550.62

Instructions

Countries shall report their planned revenue across the county behavioral health delivery system to support all behavioral health services and programs by funding source in Tab Three.

Rows 18 through 33: countries shall report projected expenditures for each funding source/program.

Row 21: for State General Fund, include funds received for the non-federal share of Medi-Cal payments.

Row 26: for Commercial Insurance (including Medicare), reporting reflects planned reimbursement obtained by county-operated providers, not county-contracted providers.

Row 35: total expenditures will be auto-populated from rows 18 through 33.

Row 36: will be auto-validated by DHCS against rows 35, 37, and 38. Validation: total projected expenditure variance should total out to \$0.

Rows 37 and 38: will be auto-validated by DHCS against total projected expenditures in Tabs One and Two.

Reminder: 1) Countries must comply, and must ensure their providers comply, with all applicable conditions for each source of funding, as defined in applicable laws, regulations, and guidance, including the BHSA County Policy Manual.

2) Countries must promote access to care through efficient use of state and county resources as outlined in Chapter 6, Section C of the BHSA County Policy Manual, including requiring BHSA-funded providers to bill appropriately for services covered by the county's Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System and make a good faith effort to seek reimbursement from Medi-Cal managed care plans and commercial health insurance. These policies apply only to non-housing services that are eligible for both BHSA funding and another funding source, such as Medi-Cal payment, commercial payment, etc.

Table Three: Projected Annual Expenditures by County BH Funding Source

	Total Annual Projected Expenditures (Year One)	Total Annual Projected Expenditures (Year Two)	Total Annual Projected Expenditures (Year Three)
BHSA	\$ 259,808,959.04	\$ 266,225,224.33	\$ 272,879,337.60
1991 Realignment (Bronzan-McCorquodale Act)	\$ 101,506,616.00	\$ 101,610,101.00	\$ 112,625,744.00
2011 Realignment (Public Safety Realignment)	\$ 115,279,429.00	\$ 119,560,160.00	\$ 123,974,848.00
State General Fund	\$ 4,237,500.00	\$ 4,364,625.00	\$ 4,495,563.75
FFP (SMHS, DMC/DMC-ODS, NSMHS)	\$ 210,588,558.76	\$ 208,458,306.78	\$ 221,922,086.23
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)	\$ 526,611.00	\$ 526,611.00	\$ 526,611.00
Community Mental Health Block Grant (MHBG)	\$ 5,233,073.00	\$ 5,233,073.00	\$ 5,233,073.00
Substance Use Block Grant (SUBG)	\$ 10,581,383.00	\$ 10,581,383.00	\$ 10,581,383.00
Commercial Insurance	\$ 1,150,000.00	\$ 1,184,500.00	\$ 1,220,035.00
County General Fund	\$ 2,110,492.00	\$ 2,110,492.00	\$ 2,110,492.00
Opioid Settlement Funds	\$ 19,468,253.00	\$ 9,630,248.00	\$ 8,468,253.00
Other Funding Sources	Total Annual Projected Expenditures (Year One)	Total Annual Projected Expenditures (Year Two)	Total Annual Projected Expenditures (Year Three)
Other federal grants	\$ 1,100,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
Other state funding (including DSH funding)	\$ 31,446,512.00	\$ -	\$ -
Other county mental health or SUD funding	\$ 29,400,000.00	\$ 29,400,000.00	\$ 29,400,000.00
Other foundation funding	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Summary	Total Annual Projection (Year One)	Total Annual Projection (Year Two)	Total Annual Projection (Year Three)
Total projected expenditures (all BH funding streams/ programs) (auto-populated)	\$ 792,437,386.81	\$ 758,884,724.12	\$ 793,437,426.58
Total Projected Expenditure Variance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Auto-validation: Table 1: Behavioral Health Care Continuum Projected Expenditures	\$ 659,754,821.49	\$ 681,360,470.29	\$ 714,786,875.96
Auto-validation: Table 2: Other County Expenditures	\$ 132,682,565.32	\$ 77,524,253.83	\$ 78,650,550.62

Instructions

Counities shall report their base BHSFA funding allocations, approved Housing Intervention Component Exemptions, and planned transfers on this sheet. All counties must complete this sheet.

Rows 38-46: Input your county's base BHSFA funding allocation by component and year.

Rows 43-54: This section will be auto-populated from the sections below it.

Row 44, 49, and 53: the total adjusted allocation percentages for each component, inclusive of both exemptions and transfers.

Row 45, 50, and 54: is the projected amount of funding, in dollars, based on the adjusted total allocation percentages.

Row 46: reflects the unspent MHSA funding that will be transferred to each of the Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) component allocations.

Row 47: reflects the excess prudent reserve funding that will be transferred to each of the BHSA components.

Row 48, 86, and 102: the base funding amount for Housing Interventions will auto-populate from Column C, rows 38-40.

Row 49, 81, and 103: If your county has an approved housing exemption, enter the percent of funds you are moving out of Housing Interventions into the other components. Enter this percentage as a positive value. It will automatically display as a negative value in the cell.

Row 60, 82, and 104: If your county has an approved housing exemption, enter the percent of funds you are moving out of the other components and into Housing Interventions.

Enter this percentage as a positive value.

Row 63, 85, 107: the base funding amount for Full Service Partnerships will auto-populate from Column D, rows 38-40.

Row 66, 90, 112: the base funding amount for Behavioral Health Services and Supports will auto-populate from Column E, rows 38-40.

Row 64, 89, 91, 106, and 113: enter the percentage transferred out of Full Service Partnerships (FSP) and Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS) into Housing Interventions, respectively.

Row 65, 70, 87, 92, 109, and 114: enter the percentage transferred from Housing Interventions into Full Service Partnerships (FSP) and Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS), respectively.

Row 74, 96, 118: the updated base percentage will be auto-populated for Housing Interventions, FSP, and BHSS, respectively. Ensure the validation states, "Row Equals 100%."

Row 75, 97, 119: enter the amount you are transferring out of each component as a positive number. It will automatically display as a negative value. Ensure the validation states, "Row Does Not Exceed 14%."

Row 76, 98, 120: enter the amount you are transferring into each component as a positive number. Ensure the validation states, "Row Does Not Exceed 14%."

Note: If your county plans to use Housing Intervention funds (up to 7 percent) to provide care and engagement, the amount of funds transferred out of the Housing Intervention component (Row 75)

must be less than the amount of MHSA funds for component allocation transferring to each BHSA component. Encumbered unspent MHSA funds tied to VET, CFTR, or INN should be included, unencumbered INN

funds should also be included. Please see Policy Manual Chapter 6, Section 7 for additional information.

Row 136: the total dollar amount of MHSA Transfers to BHSA is auto-populated.

Row 137: enter the dollar amount of prior year prudent reserve ending balance.

Row 138: enter the prudent reserve maximum for your county.

Row 139: the dollar amount of excess prudent reserve funding to be transferred out of the prudent reserve will auto-populate. Negative values indicate no transfer is necessary.

Row 136-138: enter the amount of excess prudent reserve funds allocated to each component.

Row 139: the total transferred excess prudent reserve is auto-populated.

Reminder: 1) Counties must comply, and must ensure their providers comply, with all applicable conditions for each source of funding, as defined in applicable laws, regulations, and guidance, including the BHSA County Policy Manual, 2) Counties must promote access to care through efficient use of state and county resources as outlined in Chapter 6, Section C of the BHSA County Policy Manual, including requiring BHSA-funded providers to bill appropriately for services covered by the county's Medical Behavioral Health Delivery System and make a good faith effort to seek reimbursement from Medi-Cal managed care plans and commercial health insurance.

These policies apply only to non-Housing services that are eligible for both base, funding and another funding source, such as Medi-Cal payment, commercial payments, etc.

Table Four: BHSA Transfers			
	County Base BHSFA Funding Allocations Housing Interventions (dollars)	County Base BHSFA Funding Allocations Full-Service Partnership (dollars)	County Base BHSFA Funding Allocations Behavioral Health Services and Support (dollars)
Year One Component Allocation (dollars)	\$ 81,609,490.50	\$ 71,877,738.91	\$ 71,877,738.91
Year Two Component Allocation (dollars)	\$ 63,457,775.21	\$ 74,034,071.08	\$ 74,034,071.08
Year Three Component Allocation (dollars)	\$ 65,361,508.47	\$ 76,255,093.21	\$ 76,255,093.21
Year One Summary (auto-populated)			
Adjusted Total Allocation Percentages (Exemptions and Transfers)	30%	35%	35%
Projected Component Allocation (Based on Adjusted Allocation Percentages)	\$ 61,609,490.50	\$ 71,877,738.91	\$ 71,877,738.91
Unspent Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) to BHSA	\$ 32,709,595.00	\$ 36,608,220.00	\$ 211,597,029.00
Excess Prudent Reserve (PRS) to BHSA	\$ --	\$ --	\$ --
Year Two Summary (auto-populated)			
Adjusted Total Allocation Percentages (Exemptions and Transfers)	30%	35%	35%
Projected Component Allocation (Based on Adjusted Allocation Percentages)	\$ 63,457,775.21	\$ 74,034,071.08	\$ 74,034,071.08
Year Three Summary (auto-populated)			
Adjusted Total Allocation Percentages (Exemptions and Transfers)	30%	35%	35%
Projected Component Allocation (Based on Adjusted Allocation Percentages)	\$ 65,361,508.47	\$ 76,255,093.21	\$ 76,255,093.21
Behavioral Health Services Fund (BHSS) Housing Intervention Component Exemption (Ability to change component's overall percentages) (Year One)			
Base Component (Year One)	Housing Intervention Percentage (Year One)	Housing Intervention Percentage (Year One)	Housing Intervention Percentage (Year One)
Base Percentage and Funding	30%	35%	35%
Percentage Reduced	0%	0%	0%
Percentage Added	0%	0%	0%

New Housing Interventions Base Percentage (auto-populated)	30%	\$	61,609,490.50
Transferred To/From	Full Service Partnership Percentage (Year On)		Full Service Partnership Funds (Year On)
Base Percentage and Funding	35%	\$	71,877,738.91
Percentage Reduced	0%	\$	
Percentage Added	0%	\$	
New FSP Base Percentage (auto-populated)	Behavioral Health Services and Support Percentage (Year On)		Behavioral Health Services and Support Funding (Year On)
Transferred To/From	35%	\$	71,877,738.91
Base Percentage and Funding	0%	\$	
Percentage Reduced	0%	\$	
Percentage Added	0%	\$	
New BHSS Base Percentage (auto-populated)	35%	\$	71,877,738.91

Funding Transfers (Year One)				
	Housing Intervention (Year One) (1)	Full-Service Partnership (Year One)	Behavioral Health Services and Support (Year One)	Validation
Base Percentage after Housing Intervention Component Exemption (auto-populated)	30%	35%	35%	Row Equals 100%
Amount Transferring Out	0%	0%	0%	Row Does Not Exceed 14%
Amount Transferring In	0%	0%	0%	Transfers Out and In Equal
New Base Percentage after Funding Transfer Request (auto-populated)	30%	35%	35%	Row Equals 100%

Behavioral Health Services Fund (BHSS) Housing Intervention Component Exemption (Ability to change component's overall percentage) (Year Two)				
	Housing Interventions Percentage (Year Two)	Housing Intervention Funds (Year Two)	Behavioral Health Services and Support (Year Two)	Validation
Base Component				
Base Percentage and Funding	30%	\$ 63,457,775.21		
Percentage Reduced	0%			
Percentage Added	0%			
New Housing Interventions Base Percentage (auto-populated)	30%	\$ 63,457,775.21		
Transferred To/From				
Full Service Partnership Percentage (Year Two)	35%	\$ 74,034,071.08		
Base Percentage and Funding	0%			
Percentage Reduced	0%			
Percentage Added	0%			
New FSP Base Percentage (auto-populated)	35%	\$ 74,034,071.08		
Transferred To/From				
Behavioral Health Services and Support Percentage (Year Two)	35%	\$ 74,034,071.08		
Base Percentage and Funding	0%			
Percentage Reduced	0%			
Percentage Added	0%			
New BHSS Base Percentage (auto-populated)	35%	\$ 74,034,071.08		

Funding Transfers (Year Two)				
	Housing Intervention (Year Two) (1)	Full-Service Partnership (Year Two)	Behavioral Health Services and Support (Year Two)	Validation
Base Percentage after Housing Intervention Component Exemption (auto-populated)	30%	35%	35%	Row Equals 100%
Amount Transferring Out	0%	0%	0%	Row Does Not Exceed 14%
Amount Transferring In	0%	0%	0%	Transfers Out and In Equal
New Base Percentage after Funding Transfer Request (auto-populated)	30%	35%	35%	Row Equals 100%

Behavioral Health Services Fund (BHSS) Housing Intervention Component Exemption (Ability to change component's overall percentage) (Year Three)				
	Housing Interventions Percentage (Year Three)	Housing Intervention Funds (Year Three)	Behavioral Health Services and Support (Year Three)	Validation
Base Component				
Base Percentage and Funding	30%	\$ 65,361,508.47		
Percentage Reduced	0%			
Percentage Added	0%			
New Housing Interventions Base Percentage (auto-populated)	30%	\$ 65,361,508.47		
Transferred To/From				
Full Service Partnership Percentage (Year Three)	35%	\$ 76,255,093.21		
Base Percentage and Funding	0%			
Percentage Reduced	0%			
Percentage Added	0%			
New FSP Base Percentage (auto-populated)	35%	\$ 76,255,093.21		
Transferred To/From				
Behavioral Health Services and Support Percentage (Year Three)	35%	\$ 76,255,093.21		
Base Percentage and Funding	0%			
Percentage Reduced	0%			
Percentage Added	0%			
New BHSS Base Percentage (auto-populated)	35%	\$ 76,255,093.21		

Funding Transfers (Year Three)				
	Housing Intervention (Year Three) (1)	Full-Service Partnership (Year Three)	Behavioral Health Services and Support (Year Three)	Validation
Base Percentage after Housing Intervention Component Exemption (auto-populated)	30%	35%	35%	Row Equals 100%
Amount Transferring Out	0%	0%	0%	Row Does Not Exceed 14%
Amount Transferring In	0%	0%	0%	Transfers Out and In Equal

New Base Percentage after Funding Transfer Request (auto-populated)	30%		35%		35%		35%		Now Equals 100%
	MHSFA Component		MHSFA Transfers to BHSFA		MHSFA Transfers to BHSFA		MHSFA Transfers to BHSFA		
	Available Unspent BHSFA Funds		Transferred to Housing Intervention		Transferred to Full-Service Partnership		Transferred to Behavioral Health Services and Support		
	CSS \$	185,120,131.20 \$	24,491,000.00 \$	8,509,715.20 \$	152,115,416.00 \$				
	PEI \$	46,280,032.80 \$	6,122,750.00 \$	2,127,428.80 \$	39,029,854.00 \$				
	Encumbered (PIN) \$	49,508,690.00 \$	2,089,045.00 \$	25,971,076.00 \$	21,447,759.00 \$				
	Unencumbered (PIN) \$								
	WET \$								
	CFPI \$								
	Total (auto-populated) \$	280,908,844.00 \$	32,703,595.00 \$	36,606,220.00 \$	211,597,029.00 \$				

Excess Prudent Reserve to BHSFA Components	
Transfer from Prudent Reserve to BHSFA Component	Amount
Estimated Local Prudent Reserve Balance At End of Previous Fiscal Year	21,655,429.00
Local Prudent Reserve Maximum (2)	33,152,687.03
Excess Prudent Reserve Funding that must be transferred	(11,497,258.03)
Housing Intervention (3)	
FSP	
BHSS (4)	
Total Transferred Excess Prudent Reserve (to-to-populate)	

III. Remarks

1. BHSFA County Policy Manual section 6.B.5 states counties may use up to seven percent of Housing Interventions component funds on outreach and engagement. The amount of funds transferred out of the Housing Interventions component into another funding component must be decreased by a corresponding amount. Counties are not required to use Housing Intervention component funding for outreach and engagement, or other funding transfer requests. It remains at the discretion of the counties to transfer up to a total of 14 percent of its BHSFA funds in a fiscal year.

2. WBI Code § 5802, subdivision (b)(3)(A) states a county's prudent reserve must not exceed 20% of average of the total funds distributed to the county Behavioral Health Services Fund over past five years (25% for counties with a population of less than 200,000).

3. WBI Code § 5802, subdivision (b)(6)(B) states prudent reserve funding cannot be spent on capital development.

Instructions

Countries shall report their projected expenditures for their BHSa Housing Interventions allocation component. Counties shall report projected expenditures for all other non-BHSa funding sources in Tab Five.

Row 53-62: input the estimated total Housing Intervention component allocation received for each year. Row 39 will auto-populate from Tab Four in the BHSa Transfers tab. Row 40: input the total dollar amount projected to be added to Housing Intervention component funds from the prudent reserve, if applicable. If you reported on Tab 4, row 136 that you will be transferring excess PR funds to Housing Interventions please report them here.

Row 47-64: input the projected expenditures for each Housing Intervention component service category or program for each year.

Row 46: the aim of Housing Interventions is to help individuals achieve permanent housing stability. To the maximum extent possible, counties should seek to place individuals in permanent housing settings. Housing Interventions may only be used for placement in interim settings for a limited time, 6 months for BHSa eligible individuals who have exhausted the Transitional Rent benefit and 12 months for BHSa eligible individuals not eligible to receive Transitional Rent through their Medi-Cal MCP.

Row 51: pursuant to WBI Code section 5830, subdivision (G)(2), BHSa Housing Interventions may not be used for housing services covered by Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans (MCP). Please indicate the projected expenditures for BHSa funding ONLY in columns C, D, and E. Please indicate the projected expenditures for all other funding sources excluding BHSa in columns F, G, and H.

Row 63: input expenditures for BHSa-funded innovation pilots or projects.

Row 64: input expenditures for any unencumbered MHSA INN Projects with services that do NOT align with the sub-allocations above.

Row 65: the sub-total will be auto-populated, excluding the percentage of rental and operating subsidies administered through Flex Pools.

Row 67: input the total dollar amount projected to be transferred out of Housing Intervention component funds into one prudent reserve.

Row 69 enter the total amount of direct and indirect costs required to implement this component. (See Policy Manual Chapter 6, BHT Fiscal Policies, Section 8.8.2 Direct Costs and Indirect Costs).

Row 70: the overall total of Housing Intervention expenditures will be auto-populated from rows 67, 68, and 69.

Row 72: input the total dollar amount for Housing Intervention component allocation.

This amount should equal 50% of Housing Interventions component allocation.

Row 73: input the total dollar amount for Housing Intervention component programs and services that will be dedicated to serving individuals with only a substance use disorder, if provided by the county. DHCS recognizes there may be duplication with funds captured in row 72.

Row 75: the proportion of funds dedicated to capital development will be auto-populated.

Row 76: the proportion of funds dedicated to Outreach and Engagement will be auto-populated.

Row 77: the proportion of funds dedicated to count of individuals that will be served across all Housing Intervention component services.

Row 79-80: input the estimated unduplicated count of individuals that will be served across all Housing Intervention component services.

Row 82: auto-populates projected estimated amount of MHSA Encumbered INN funds that will be available in the BHSa HI component for each year.

Reminder: 1) Counties must comply, and must ensure their providers comply, with all applicable conditions for each source of funding, as defined in applicable laws, regulations, and guidance, including the BHSa County Policy Manual.

2) Counties must promote access to care through efficient use of state and county resources as outlined in Chapter 6, Section C of the BHSa County Policy Manual, including requiring BHSa-funded providers to bill appropriately for services covered by the county's Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System and make a good faith effort to seek reimbursement from Medi-Cal managed care plans and commercial health insurance. These policies apply only to non-Housing services that are eligible for both BHSa funding and another funding source, such as Medi-Cal payment, commercial payment, etc.

	Table Five: BHSa Components					
	Total Housing Interventions Funding (Year One)	Total Housing Interventions Funding (Year Two)	Total Housing Interventions Funding (Year Three)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent MHSA and BHSa Funding Only (Year One)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent MHSA and BHSa Funding Only (Year Two)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent MHSA and BHSa Funding Only (Year Three)
Total Estimated Housing Intervention Funding (BHSa Funds)	\$ 61,609,490.00	\$ 63,457,775.00	\$ 65,361,508.00			
Transfers into Housing Intervention component from Local Prudent Reserve	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			
Total Estimated Housing Intervention Funding Allocated (MHSA - Unspent Carryover Funds)	\$ 4,641,122.00	\$ 5,082,755.00	\$ 5,582,998.00			
Total Estimated Housing Intervention Funding (BHSa + MHSA Funds)	\$ 66,250,612.00	\$ 68,540,530.00	\$ 70,944,506.00			
Type of Service	Projected Expenditures - Unspent MHSA and BHSa Funding Only (Year One)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent MHSA and BHSa Funding Only (Year Two)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent MHSA and BHSa Funding Only (Year Three)	Projected Expenditures - All Other Funding Sources (Year One)	Projected Expenditures - All Other Funding Sources (Year Two)	Projected Expenditures - All Other Funding Sources (Year Three)
Housing Interventions Component Programs/Services						
Non-Time Limited Permanent Settings (e.g. supportive housing, apartments, single and multi-family homes, shared housing, etc.)						
Rental Subsidies	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Operating Subsidies	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Bundled Rental and Operating Subsidies	\$ 32,298,439.19	\$ 32,298,439.19	\$ 32,298,439.19	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
% of Rental and Operating Subsidies Administered through Flex Pools	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Five Limited Interim Settings (e.g. hotel and motel stays, non-congregate interim housing models, recuperative care) (2)						
Rental Subsidies	\$ 15,283,161.02	\$ 15,283,161.02	\$ 15,283,161.02	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

<p>1. W&I Code § 5892, subdivision (a)(1)(A)(i) states 30% of BHSA funds distributed to counties shall be used for Housing Interventions.</p>
<p>2. See Policy Manual Section 7.C.9 Allowable Expenditures and Related Requirements for further information regarding allowable Housing Interventions expenditures.</p>
<p>3. Single room occupancy and recovery housing can be interim or permanent. If interim, Housing Interventions is limited to 6 months for those who have exhausted Transitional Rent or 12 months for those not eligible for Transitional Rent. Appendix B of the Policy Manual includes a crosswalk of coverage by select programs.</p>
<p>4. Congregate settings that have only a small number of individuals per room and sufficient common space (not larger dormitory sleeping halls) and does not include behavioral health residential treatment settings.</p>
<p>5. Counties must provide Housing Intervention services to eligible children, youth, and adults (defined in W&I Code section 5892) who are chronically homeless, experiencing homelessness, or at risk of homelessness. The provision of BHSA-funded Housing Interventions specifically for individuals with a substance use disorder is optional for counties, per W&I Code section 5891, subdivision (a)(2).</p>
<p>6. W&I Code § 5892, subdivision (b)(2).</p>
<p>7. W&I Code § 5892, subdivision (a)(1)(A)(ii) states no more than 25% of Housing Interventions funds may be used for capital development.</p>
<p>8. W&I Code § 5892, subdivision (a)(1)(A)(ii) states 50% of Housing Interventions funds shall be used for housing interventions for persons who are chronically homeless, with a focus on those in encampments.</p>

WISIA, Encumbered: BHS \$ 25,971,076.00 | \$ 17,314,090.67 | \$ 8,657,025.34

References

1. WBI Code § 3952, subsection (c)(2)(A) states 35% of BHS funds distributed to counties shall be used for Full Service

2. May be bonded or un-bonded depending on county BHS-CONNECT option.

Instructions

- Counties shall report their projected expenditures of their Behavioral Health Services and Supports funding for their BHSA allocation component, federal financial participation, and all other non-BHSA funding sources in Tab Seven.
- Row 24-26: input the total estimated BHSS component allocation received for each year. Row 26 will auto-populate from Tab Four in the BHSA Transfers tab.
- Row 27: input the total dollar amount projected to the BHSS funding component from the prudent reserve (if applicable). If you reported on Tab 4, row 138 that you will be transferring excess PR funds to BHSS please report them here.
- Input Unspent BHSA dollars carried over to this component in row 28. Row 29 will auto-populate the sum of rows 26-28.
- Row 33-46: input the projected expenditures for each BHSS service category or program for each year. Rows 35, 39, and 42 auto-populate from their sub rows.
- Row 46: input expenditures for any encumbered BHSA INN Projects with services that do NOT align with the sub-allocations above.
- Row 47: the subtotal for projected expenditures will be auto-populated from rows 33 -- 35, 38, 42, 45, and 46.
- Row 49: input the total dollar amount projected to be transferred out of the BHSS funding component into the prudent reserve.
- Row 51: enter the total amount of direct and indirect costs required to implement this component. (See Policy Manual Chapter 6, BHT Fiscal Policies, Section 6.8.2 Direct Costs and Indirect Costs).
- Row 52: the total for projected BHSS expenditures will be auto-populated from rows 47, 49, and 51.
- Row 53: input the total dollar amount of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 54: the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 55: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 56: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 57: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 58: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 59: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 60: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 61: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 62: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 63: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 64: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 65: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 66: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 67: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 68: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 69: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 70: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 71: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 72: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 73: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 74: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 75: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 76: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 77: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 78: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 79: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 80: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 81: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 82: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 83: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 84: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 85: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 86: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 87: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 88: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 89: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 90: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 91: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 92: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 93: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 94: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 95: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 96: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 97: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 98: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 99: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.
- Row 100: input the proportion of Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures.

Type of Service	Total Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS) Funding (Year One)		Total Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS) Funding (Year Two)		Total Behavioral Health Services and Supports (BHSS) Funding (Year Three)	
	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year One)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year Two)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year One)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year Two)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year One)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year Two)
Total Estimated Behavioral Health Services and Support Funding Received (BHSA Funds)	\$ 71,877,738.00	\$ 74,034,071.00	\$ 71,253,095.00			
Transfers into Behavioral Health Services and Support component from Local Prudent Reserve	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total Estimated Behavioral Health Services and Support Funding Allocated (BHSA - Unspent Category Funds)	\$ 32,291,796.00	\$ 33,023,391.00	\$ 33,776,334.00			
Total Estimated Behavioral Health Services and Support Funding (BHSA + MHSA Funds)	\$ 104,169,534.00	\$ 107,057,462.00	\$ 110,033,027.00			

Table Seven: BHSA Suballocations

Type of Service	Total Behavioral Health Services and Supports Category (1)		Total Behavioral Health Services and Supports Category (1)		Total Behavioral Health Services and Supports Category (1)	
	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year One)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year Two)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year One)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year Two)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year One)	Projected Expenditures - Unspent BHSA and BHSA Funding Only (Year Two)
Children's System of Care-Non FSP (25 years and younger)	\$ 11,503,867.50	\$ 11,848,993.53	\$ 12,204,453.03	\$ 11,848,993.53	\$ 12,204,453.03	\$ 11,848,993.53
Adult and Older Adult System of Care, Excluding Populations Identified in 5892(a)(1) and 5892(a)(2)-Non FSP	\$ 8,258,991.50	\$ 8,506,761.25	\$ 8,761,964.09	\$ 8,258,991.50	\$ 8,506,761.25	\$ 8,761,964.08
Early Intervention Expenditures	\$ 4,218,105.25	\$ 47,307,067.56	\$ 52,470,216.74	\$ 2,220,626.39	\$ 3,817,036.28	\$ 3,776,054.22
Coordinated Specialty Care for First Episode Psychosis	\$ 742,032.35	\$ 832,068.05	\$ 922,880.91	\$ 39,054.33	\$ 48,393.06	\$ 48,572.68
All Other EI Expenditures	\$ 41,446,072.99	\$ 46,674,995.51	\$ 51,547,335.83	\$ 2,181,372.26	\$ 3,768,243.20	\$ 5,727,483.54
Outreach and Engagement	\$ 17,297,155.70	\$ 13,962,730.62	\$ 10,637,677.38	\$ 4,374,263.93	\$ 3,456,682.65	\$ 2,659,419.35
Workforce Education and Training (WET)	\$ 3,982,888.00	\$ 6,158,793.51	\$ 6,342,011.34	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Dedicated BHSA WET funds	\$ 5,982,883.00	\$ 6,158,793.51	\$ 6,342,011.34	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Dedicated MHSA WET funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Capital Facilities and Technological Needs (CF/N)	\$ 6,046,381.00	\$ 6,227,772.43	\$ 6,414,605.60	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Dedicated BHSA CF/N funds	\$ 6,046,381.00	\$ 6,227,772.43	\$ 6,414,605.60	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Dedicated MHSA CF/N funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
BHSA Innovative BHSS Pilots and Projects	\$ 670,164.67	\$ 670,164.67	\$ 670,164.67	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
MHSA INN Projects	\$ 7,149,251.00	\$ 7,149,251.00	\$ 7,149,251.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Subtotal (auto-populated)	\$ 90,096,181.82	\$ 107,057,462.00	\$ 104,650,343.84	\$ 21,307,589.32	\$ 27,658,463.68	\$ 29,400,480.68
BHSS Prudent Reserve Transfer (Transfers out of BHSS component into Prudent Reserve)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
BHSS Administrative Information	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
BHSS Component Admin Expenses	\$ 5,072,751.94	\$ 5,224,934.50	\$ 5,381,882.54	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Behavioral Health Services and Supports Expenditures (auto-populated)	\$ 104,169,533.56	\$ 107,057,461.06	\$ 110,025,026.38			

* These figures apply only to non-housing services that are eligible for both BHSA funding and another funding source, such as Medicaid, Medicaid, Supplemental Payment, etc.

Total Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures	Year One	Year Two	Year Three
Behavioral Health Services and Supports Validation (auto-populated based on budget sheets)	\$ 21,895,870.08	\$ 24,553,763.17	\$ 27,235,589.93
BHSS Funds: Early Intervention Expenditures/Total BHSS Funding (2)			
Youth-Focused (25 years and younger) Early Intervention Expenditures/Total Allocated Early Intervention Funds (3)	51.0%	51.0%	51.0%
Proportional Amounts for Services (Budgeted)	Year One	Year Two	Year Three
Eligible Children/TAY (25 years and younger; Eligible Adults/Older Adults)	16397	16397	16397
Projected BHSS Funds transferred to WET or CFTN	16400	16400	16400
BHSS transfer to WET	\$ 5,982,883.00	\$ 6,159,793.51	\$ 6,342,011.34
BHSS transfer to CFTN	\$ 6,046,381.00	\$ 6,227,772.43	\$ 6,414,605.60
Projected BHSS-Origin WET, CFTN and Incumbent (IN) Funds Available (except from suballocation requirements)	Year One	Year Two	Year Three
Estimated MESA WET Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Estimated MESA CFTN Funds	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Estimated Incumbent (IN) Funds	\$ 21,447,759.00	\$ 14,206,596.00	\$ 7,149,243.00

1. WET Code 1 5892, suballocation (X)(3)(0)

2. WBI Code 1 5892, suballocation (X)(3)(0)

3. WBI Code 1 5892, suballocation (X)(3)(0) states that at least 51% of funds allocated for early intervention programs must serve individuals 25 years of age and younger.

4. BHSA Policy Manual Ch. 6 § B.2.3 states that MESA, WET or CFTN funds transferred into BHSA, BHSS will remain WET or CFTN funds and will not be subject to the suballocation requirements. Counties may set aside BHSS funds for WET and CFTN; the reversion period for these specific funds is ten years. All transfers into WET and CFTN are irrevocable and cannot be transferred out of WET and CFTN. Counties may continue to keep separate fund accounts to track their WET and CFTN funds.

5. BHSA Policy Manual Ch. 6 § B.2.2 states that the share of indirect costs attributed to BHSA funding should be in proportion to the extent the BHSA program benefits from the support activity. Proportional administrative and indirect costs will be verified through the Behavioral Health Outcomes Accountability and Transparency Report (BHOATY). Counties should ensure that their cost-allocation methodology complies with 2 CFR 200 and 47 CFR 1.10101(c)(1).

Instructions

Counties shall report their projected spending for Behavioral Health Services Act (BHSA) plan administration in Tab Eight.

Row 27: the total dollar-amount of BHSA component allocations dedicated to improvement and monitoring activities, including plan operations, quality and outcomes, data reporting pursuant to W&I Code § 5963.04, and monitoring of subcontractor compliance for all county behavioral health programs, including, but not limited to, programs administered by a Medi-Cal behavioral health delivery system, as defined in subdivision (i) of Section 14184.101, and programs funded by the Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness grant, the Community Mental Health Services Block Grant, and other Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration grants by year. Under W&I Code § 5892 (e)(2)(B), the total amount shall equal 2% or less of total projected annual revenues of the local behavioral health services fund for counties with a population over 200,000 or 4% of the total projected annual revenues of the local behavioral health services fund for counties with a population of less than 200,000. Any costs that exceed that amount will be included in the governor's budget. Administrative costs for improving and monitoring will only be reported on this tab, not the BHSA component tabs.

Row 28: input amounts of BHSA component allocations dedicated to county Integrated Plan annual planning costs, including stakeholder engagement in planning and local Behavioral Health Board activities by year. Under W&I Code § 5892 (e)(1)(B), this amount shall be 5% or less of total projected annual revenues of the local behavioral health services fund. Any costs that exceed that amount will be included in the governor's budget. Planning costs will only be reported on this tab, not the BHSA component tabs.

Row 29: input total dollar amount of new and ongoing county and behavioral health agency administrative costs to implement W&I Code § 5963-5963.06 and § 14197.71.

Row 30: select your county population size. This will ensure the formatting in the Admin Spending Overages section presents accurately.

Row 32: total projected annual revenues of the Local Behavioral Health Services Fund.

Row 33: the proportion of funding used for improvement and monitoring will be auto-populated from rows 32 and 27.

Row 34: the proportion of funding used for planning expenditures will be auto-populated from rows 28 and 32.

Row 36-38: based upon the county population size selected in row 31, this calculator will auto-populate any Improvement and Monitoring expenditures that exceed (2%/4%) of the total projected annual revenues of the Local Behavioral Health Services Fund and any County Integrated Plan Annual Planning expenditures that exceed 5% of the total projected annual revenues of the Local Behavioral Health Services Fund.

Table Eight: BHSA Plan Administration			
INTEGRATED PLAN ADMINISTRATION AND MONITORING	Year One	Year Two	Year Three
Total Projected Improvement and Monitoring Expenditures	\$ 4,107,299.00	\$ 4,230,518.00	\$ 4,357,433.00
Total Projected County Integrated Plan Annual Planning Expenditures	\$ 10,268,248.00	\$ 10,576,295.00	\$ 10,893,584.00
New and Ongoing Administrative Costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Select County Population Size:	More than 200k		
Administrative Information Validation			
Total Projected Annual Revenues of Local Behavioral Health Services Fund	\$ 205,364,966.00	\$ 211,525,917.00	\$ 217,871,694.00
Improvement and Monitoring Expenditures/Total Annual Revenues of Local Behavioral Health Services Fund (auto-populated)	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
Total Projected Planning Expenditures/Total Projected Annual Revenues for Local Behavioral Health Services Fund (auto-populated)	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Admin Spending Overages (in Dollars)			
Improvement & Monitoring	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Planning	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
References			

1. W&I Code § 5963, subdivision (c) states that any costs incurred for BHSA implementation exceeding the required maximums set forth in W&I Code § 5892, subdivision (e)(1)(B) and W&I Code § 5892, subdivision (e)(2)(B) will be included in the Governors 2024-2025 May Revision.

Instructions

Counties shall report their estimated local prudent reserve maximums for each allocation component in Tab Nine.

Row 18-19: dollar amounts will be auto-populated from Tab 4 rows 133-134.

Row 20: total excess prudent reserve dollars will be auto-populated from rows 18-19.

Row 21-23: total dollar amounts will be auto-populated from Tab 4, rows 136-138.

Row 24: total excess prudent reserve funds allocated to BHSA components will be auto-populated from rows 21-23.

Row 25: auto-validates from rows 20 and 24 to check if the county has "No Excess" or if county must "Reduce Excess" prudent reserve.

Row 26: the total amount of planned contributions into the prudent reserve from all BHSA components allocations across all plan years will be auto-populated from Tab 5 row 67, Tab 6 row 43, and Tab 7 row 49.

Row 27: the total amount of planned distributions from the prudent reserve into the BHSA component allocations across all plan years will be auto-populated from Tab 5 row 40, Tab 6 row 25, and Tab 7 row 27.

Table Nine: Estimated Local Prudent Reserve Balance	
Estimated Local Prudent Reserve Balance At End of Previous Fiscal Year	\$ 21,655,429.00
Local Prudent Reserve Maximum (1)	\$ 33,152,667.63
Excess Prudent Reserve Funds (auto-populated)	\$ (11,497,238.63)
Total prudent reserve funds above prudent reserve maximum allocated to Housing Interventions	\$ -
Total prudent reserve funds above maximum allocated to Full Service Partnerships	\$ -
Total prudent reserve funds above maximum allocated to Behavioral Health Services and Supports	\$ -

Total Excess Prudent Reserve Funds allocated to BHSA Component Allocations (auto-populated)	\$	-
Auto-validation: allocation of all excess Prudent Reserve Funds		NO EXCESS
Total Contributions Into the Local Prudent Reserve (auto-populated)	\$	-
Total Distributions From the Local Prudent Reserve (auto-populated)	\$	-

References

1. W&I Code § 5892, subdivision (b)(3)-(4) states a county's prudent reserve must not exceed 20% of average of the total funds distributed to the county Behavioral Health Services Fund over past five years (25% for counties with a population of less than 200,000).

Instructions

Countries will complete Tabs One through Nine prior to completing Tab Ten. Data on other tabs will auto-populate to Tab Ten.
Rows 25, 28, and 31: the new base percentage for each component will be auto-populated from Tab 4, rows 43, 49, and 53.
Rows 26, 29, and 32: the dollar amount allocated to each component for each year of the Integrated Plan will be auto-populated from Tab 5, row 39; Tab 6, row 24; and Tab 7, row 26, respectively.
Row 35: the total amount of BHSA funding for each component auto-populated from Tab 5, row 39; Tab 6, row 24; and Tab 7, row 26.
Rows 36, 44, and 52: the total amount of funding transferred from the prudent reserve into each BHSA component allocation for each plan year will be auto-populated from Tab 5, row 40; Tab 6, row 25; and Tab 7, row 27.
Row 37: the total amount of unspent MHSA-carryover funds from prior fiscal years, will be auto-populated from Tab 5, row 41; Tab 6, row 26; and Tab 7, row 28.
Rows 38, 46, and 54: estimated total available funding will be auto-populated from rows 35-37, 43-45 and 51-53.
Rows 39, 47, and 55: the total amount of funding transferred from each BHSA component into the prudent reserve for each plan year will be auto-populated from Tab 5, row 67; Tab 6, row 43; and Tab 7, row 49.
Rows 40, 48, and 56: estimated expenditures for each component will be auto-populated from Tab 5, row 70; Tab 6, row 46; and Tab 7, row 52.
Rows 45 and 53: auto-populated by adding the existing year's carryover MHSA funds to any remaining funds (from all sources) not spent from the previous year.
Rows 59-61: the total amount of annual BHSA plan administration expenses from Tab 8, rows 27-29.
Reminder: 1) Counties must comply, and must ensure their providers comply, with all applicable conditions for each source of funding, as defined in applicable laws, regulations, and guidance, including the BHSA County Policy Manual.
 2) Counties must promote access to care through efficient use of state and county resources as outlined in Chapter 6, Section C of the BHSA County Policy Manual, including requiring BHSA-funded providers to bill appropriately for services covered by the county's Medi-Cal Behavioral Health Delivery System and make a good faith effort to seek reimbursement from Medi-Cal managed care plans and commercial health insurance. These policies apply only to non-Housing services that are eligible for both BHSA funding and another funding source, such as Medi-Cal payment, commercial payment, etc.

Table Ten: BHSA Funding Summary (auto-populated)				
	Housing Interventions	Full-Service Partnerships	Behavioral Health Services and Supports	Total
Year One				
Allocation Percentage, with Transfers	30%	35%	35%	100%
Component Allocations	\$ 61,609,490.00	\$ 71,877,738.00	\$ 71,877,738.00	\$ 205,364,966.00
Year Two				
Allocation Percentage, with Transfers	30%	35%	35%	100%
Component Allocations	\$ 63,457,775.00	\$ 74,034,071.00	\$ 74,034,071.00	\$ 211,525,917.00
Year Three				
Allocation Percentage, with Transfers	30%	35%	35%	100%
Component Allocations	\$ 65,361,508.00	\$ 76,255,093.00	\$ 76,255,093.00	\$ 217,871,694.00
BHSA Funding Summary (Year One)				
	Housing Interventions (Year One)	Full Service Partnerships (Year One)	Behavioral Health Services and Supports (Year One)	Year One Totals
Estimated Year One Component Allocations (BHSA Funding Only)	\$ 61,609,490.00	\$ 71,877,738.00	\$ 71,877,738.00	\$ 205,364,966.00
Transfers From PR Into Component	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Estimated Unspent Funds From Prior Fiscal Years (Including MHSA Funds) (Unspent Carryover MHSA Funds)	\$ 4,641,122.00	\$ 3,135,529.00	\$ 32,291,796.00	\$ 40,068,447.00
Estimated Total Available Funding for Year One	\$ 66,250,612.00	\$ 75,013,267.00	\$ 104,169,534.00	\$ 245,433,413.00
Transfers from Component Into PR	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Estimated Total Year One Expenditures	\$ 66,250,611.60	\$ 75,013,266.10	\$ 104,169,533.56	\$ 245,433,411.26
BHSA Funding Summary (Year Two)				

	Housing Interventions (Year Two)	Full Service Partnerships (Year Two)	Behavioral Health Services and Supports (Year Two)	Year Two Totals
Estimated New Year Two Component Allocations (BHSA Funding Only)	\$ 63,457,775.00	\$ 74,034,071.00	\$ 74,034,071.00	\$ 211,525,917.00
Transfers from PR into Component	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Estimated Unspent Funds From Prior Fiscal Years (Including MHSA Funds)	\$ 5,082,755.40	\$ 1,786,349.90	\$ 33,023,391.44	\$ 39,892,496.74
Estimated Total Available Funding for Year Two	\$ 68,540,530.40	\$ 75,820,420.90	\$ 107,057,462.44	\$ 251,418,413.74
Transfers from Component Into PR	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Estimated Total Year Two Expenditures	\$ 68,540,529.95	\$ 75,820,419.12	\$ 107,057,461.06	\$ 251,418,410.12
BHSA Funding Summary (Year Three)				
	Housing Interventions (Year Three)	Full Service Partnerships (Year Three)	Behavioral Health Services and Supports (Year Three)	Year Three Totals
Estimated New Year Three Component Allocations (BHSA Funding Only)	\$ 65,361,508.00	\$ 76,255,093.00	\$ 76,255,093.00	\$ 217,871,694.00
Transfers from PR into Component	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Estimated Unspent Funds From Prior Fiscal Years (Including MHSA Funds)	\$ 5,582,998.46	\$ 396,695.78	\$ 33,776,935.39	\$ 39,756,629.62
Estimated Total Available Funding for Year Three	\$ 70,944,506.46	\$ 76,651,788.78	\$ 110,032,028.39	\$ 257,628,323.62
Transfers from Component Into PR	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Estimated Total Year Three Expenditures	\$ 70,944,505.84	\$ 76,651,786.73	\$ 110,032,026.38	\$ 257,628,318.95
BHSA Plan Admin Expenses				
Plan Admin Category	Year One	Year Two	Year Three	Total
Total Projected Improvement and Monitoring Expenditures	\$ 4,107,299.00	\$ 4,230,518.00	\$ 4,357,433.00	\$ 12,695,250.00
Total Projected County Integrated Plan Annual Planning Expenditures	\$ 10,268,248.00	\$ 10,576,295.00	\$ 10,893,584.00	\$ 31,738,127.00
Total Projected New and Ongoing Administrative Expenditures	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Budget Template Updates			
Version	Revision Date	Description of Changes	Effective Date of Change
2.0	10/25/2025	Tab 10 (BHSA Summary): Formula updated to avoid double counting of MHSA unspent carryover funds.	10/25/2025
2.0	10/25/2025	Tab 7 (BHSS): EI Threshold calculation should exclude MHSA transferred WET and CFTN funds as they are exempt from suballocation requirements, formula revised to remove WET and CFTN. Added a BHSS transfer to WET/CFTN for <u>reversion tracking</u> .	10/25/2025
2.0	10/25/2025	Tab 8 (BHSA Plan Admin): Updated instructions to clarify DHCS will not pre-populate data for "Total Projected Annual Revenues of BHSF". Counties must <u>enter in the data</u> .	10/25/2025
2.0	10/25/2025	Tab 5, 6, 7 (BHSA Components): Added unspent MHSA funds row for year 1, 2 and 3.	10/25/2025
2.0	10/25/2025	Tab 7 (BHSS): Added separate rows for unspent MHSA WET/CFTN expenditures.	10/25/2025
2.0	10/25/2025	Tabs 1-10: Fixed formula and instruction errors	10/25/2025
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 4 (BHSA Transfers): Added Year 2 and Year 3 for exemption requests	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 4 (BHSA Transfers): Added validation check for funding transfers	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 4 (BHSA Transfers): Added two new rows for unspent MHSA "Encumbered" INN Funds and unspent MHSA "Unencumbered" INN Funds.	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 5, 6 and 7 (BHSA Components): Moved transfers from prudent reserve into the BHSA component funding section to be included with total revenue	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 5, 6, and 7 (BHSA Components): Included prudent reserve transfers as an <u>expenditure</u>	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 5, 6, and 7 (BHSA Components): Included prudent reserve transfers as an <u>expenditure</u>	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 5, 6 and 7 (BHSA Components): Added a row for projected MHSA "Encumbered" INN Project expenditures.	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 5 (Housing Interventions): Removed projected encumbered MHSA INN fund expenditures from the 50% HI funds dedicated to chronically homeless <u>suballocation requirement calculation</u> .	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 7 (BHSS): Removed projected encumbered MHSA INN fund expenditures from the 51% BHSS funds dedicated to Early Intervention <u>suballocation requirement calculation</u>	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 8 (BHSA Plan Admin): Updated to include a validation check for "Improvement and Monitoring" (2% or 4%) and "Planning" (5%)	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 9 (Prudent Reserve Assessment): Updated PR validation checks to "No Excess" or "Reduce Excess"	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 10 (BHSA Summary): Included component percentage breakdowns for all <u>three years</u>	2/18/2026
3.0	2/18/2026	Tab 10 (BHSA Summary): Include total administrative and planning expenditures from tab 8	2/18/2026