

CEQA EMAIL MISCOMUNICATION

SEPT 8, 2024 TO JAN 5, 2025

Mx. Pfau, the Resident at 61657 Alta Vista, exchanged emails with Land planner Ron Cruz. Over 6 emails sent and 1 response email, specifically asking for any information about the CEQA study. Emails spanned over the CEQA open comment period and the resident received no notice while the Planner neglected to reply to the resident.

Atlasphere Pfau <atlasatlasphere@gmail.com>

Sep 8, 2024, 4:20 PM ☆ 😊 ↩ ⋮

to Ron ▾

hello Mr. Cruz

I missed my Friday check-in, and also didn't hear back from you about my last email attached below.

I'm deeply concerned about LMR project for many reasons. I believe the developer is being evasive about filing /completing a CEQA report.

According to my hour long conversation with a member of the Joshua basin water district, Mr. Kramer has not met with the water department to discuss the facts of our aquifer crisis. o

As well as the proposed sewer treatment plant, which is completely incompatible with any future plans for a town approved sewer project.

According to my research, a sewer treatment plant should be within a mile from where somebody lives. This proposed plant is 20 feet from my house, this will destroy my quality of life and my property value. I don't understand why someone who has never built a sewer plant, believes that they will do it successfully the first time.

our research reveals true concerns about what the arbitrary zoning numbers and parameters are. we are not convinced about his numbers that were 75 now 64 and he revealed that he was able to change the road with by working with you and that also opens the window to being able to change the zoning in cooperation with San Bernardino county.

Mr. Kramer has also made clear that he is unwilling to prohibit STR conversions on any of these properties. In a high risk fire area when many people are being dropped from their insurance, I don't understand how he would be able to ensure these properties when they are in STR status, which is an even higher risk from some dingdong, starting a fire pit outside in the wind and burning down the whole desert

This is a reckless project and it is confirmed over and over that he's not answering questions. He just repeats his cult like belief system, which is not based in fact. for example he says that he made nice with local residents however he has been threatening to most of us, rudely dismissive and intimidating, to me in particular.

please outline the next steps for the Land used department & this project moving forward or halting completely.

We would like to arrange a group of people to attend the next planning meeting in order to represent our interest as residents of this very small and fragile town

I appreciate your attention to this matter
mx pfau

Atlasphere Pfau <atlasatlasphere@gmail.com>

Sep 13, 2024, 2:46 PM ☆ 😊 ↩

to Ron ▾

hello me cruz

its friday and im checking in. as I did not hear back from you on my previous email, please simply reply to last weeks email below

appreciated
mx pfau

Atlasphere Pfau <atlasatlasphere@gmail.com>
to Ron ▾

Sep 16, 2024, 9:43 AM ☆ 😊 ↩ ⋮

hello Mr Cruz

I've written to you for 2 weeks and not heard back. I'm concerned of what's happening whether you are off this project and somebody else is on it which has happened before or if we're now in the silent treatment phase of this where San Bernardino doesn't respond to concerns of residence in Joshua Tree.

please please let me know what the current status is.

thank you

Cruz, Ron <Ron.Cruz@lus.sbcounty.gov>
to me ▾

Sep 16, 2024, 10:01 AM ☆ 😊 ↩ ⋮

The project is still in 3rd Party CEQA review. Once the CEQA review is complete, the document will be publicly routed for comments, then a Planning Commission hearing will be scheduled. At that hearing, anyone who wants to comment will have the ability to do so. The Planning Commission is an independent body and they will make a determination on the project, after considering County Department comments and public comments.

The Zoning for this parcel has not been changed. The existing RS zone allows for up to 4 units per acre, so the original proposal on the 18.49 acre parcel was for 74 units, but was reduced to the current proposal for 64 units.

The applicant will have to have to get confirmation from the Joshua Basin Water District that the project can be serviced.

I hope this makes the process clear. Please let me know if you have any more questions.

...

Atlasphere Pfau <atlasatlasphere@gmail.com>
to Ron ▾

Oct 1, 2024, 9:54 AM ☆ 😊 ↩ ⋮

Hello Mr Cruz.

Another check in from me. Thank you for the information in your last email. How do I find out more about the planning commission, their standards and procedures and who is their point of contact?

A few more questions:

1. Is there a way to track the CEQA for this project ?
2. IF the JDWB does not agree to service this project does that mean it is effectively DOA?
3. Will this set a precedent for all proposals to meet with JBWD first in order to determine service viability and to ultimately save everyone the administrative headaches and expenses?
4. How was the zoning allowed to be decreased from 75 to 64 units? if that IS allowed why not an even lower number? Mr Cramer reveled on his "Ask ME Anything" live Q&A that he was able to negotiate the width of the interior roads, which leads one to believe these rules are arbitrary and negotiable.

Thanks again for your continued communications
Mx Pfau

Atlasphere Pfau <atlasatlasphere@gmail.com>
to Ron ▾

Oct 17, 2024, 11:50 PM ☆ 😊

hello mr Cruz

apologies for not keeping up my weekly checks. i have a pet in hospice and it's been full time energy.

will you please update me on my last set of questions?

appreciated
tysm

CEQA OPEN COMMENT PERIOD

OCTOBER 23, 2024 to NOVEMBER 25, 2024

Atlasphere Pfau <atlasatlasphere@gmail.com>
to Ron ▾

Oct 28, 2024, 6:51 PM ☆

Hello Mr Cruz

Are you still there? Is it possible to get some answers?

Please advise

Mx Pfau

Atlasphere Pfau <atlasatlasphere@gmail.com>
to Ron ▾

Jan 5, 2025, 10:06 AM ☆ 😊 ↩ ⋮

hello Mr Cruz

what is the update on this project. i recently learned the CEQA had an open comment period we were not informed of.

what can you tell me about the status of this development? Are you still on this, or has the ever absent project manager game begun again?

Sadly now, San Bernardino turns over five PMs and still no progress or priority to protect the tax paying residents of our fragile town from massively destructive development by corporate interests.

please advise
mx pfau