

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 11:36 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon!

My name is Sky Allen, I work for a local nonprofit in the region and I am a resident of the county. I just learned that the first Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting was on the 11th, do you all have a recording of the meeting for the public to watch? Also, there are not currently future meetings scheduled; is there an understanding yet of how frequently this body will meet?

Thank you so much for your time,

--

*Sky Allen
she/her/hers
Project Manager
IE United*

[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 2:54 PM
To: Sky Allen
Subject: RE: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

Good Afternoon,

Here is a link to the recording: http://sanbernardino.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=1cf616e3-b29a-11eb-8549-0050569183fa. This recording and recordings of future meetings will be posted on the redistricting website, <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/>.

The body will meet very frequently once the U.S. Census data becomes available in the fall. There isn't much the commission can do before then. They met last week because the County Charter requires them to conduct their first meeting in May of post-Census years because ordinarily that's when the data becomes available.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,
David

David Wert
Public Information Officer
San Bernardino County, California
Phone: [REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov



From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 11:36 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon!

My name is Sky Allen, I work for a local nonprofit in the region and I am a resident of the county. I just learned that the first Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting was on the 11th, do you all have a recording of the

meeting for the public to watch? Also, there are not currently future meetings scheduled; is there an understanding yet of how frequently this body will meet?

Thank you so much for your time,

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 3:03 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you so much for sharing David!

If I may, the statewide commission is hosting public input meetings all throughout the summer to gather community of interest testimony before they receive the Census data. Communities of interest are one of this commission's criteria to consider in order to draft maps, but they are only reliant on social or economic considerations, not the Census data. It would be awesome to have maybe 2 public input meetings, potentially one in July and another in August, prior to receiving data, so that people can start engaging with the commission and to give the commission a head start on information gathering.

I would be happy to connect with you and any other relevant officials to discuss this idea if you would like. Thanks again for your prompt response.

All the best,

On Tue, May 18, 2021 at 2:53 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Here is a link to the recording: http://sanbernardino.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=1cf616e3-b29a-11eb-8549-0050569183fa. This recording and recordings of future meetings will be posted on the redistricting website, <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/>.

The body will meet very frequently once the U.S. Census data becomes available in the fall. There isn't much the commission can do before then. They met last week because the County Charter requires them to conduct their first meeting in May of post-Census years because ordinarily that's when the data becomes available.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

David

David Wert

Public Information Officer

San Bernardino County, California
Phone: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov



From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 11:36 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon!

My name is Sky Allen, I work for a local nonprofit in the region and I am a resident of the county. I just learned that the first Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting was on the 11th, do you all have a recording

of the meeting for the public to watch? Also, there are not currently future meetings scheduled; is there an understanding yet of how frequently this body will meet?

Thank you so much for your time,

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United

[REDACTED]

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United

[REDACTED]

To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>

Subject: Re: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you so much for sharing David!

If I may, the statewide commission is hosting public input meetings all throughout the summer to gather community of interest testimony before they receive the Census data. Communities of interest are one of this commission's criteria to consider in order to draft maps, but they are only reliant on social or economic considerations, not the Census data. It would be awesome to have maybe 2 public input meetings, potentially one in July and another in August, prior to receiving data, so that people can start engaging with the commission and to give the commission a head start on information gathering.

I would be happy to connect with you and any other relevant officials to discuss this idea if you would like. Thanks again for your prompt response.

All the best,

On Tue, May 18, 2021 at 2:53 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Here is a link to the recording: http://sanbernardino.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=1cf616e3-b29a-11eb-8549-0050569183fa. This recording and recordings of future meetings will be posted on the redistricting website, <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/>.

The body will meet very frequently once the U.S. Census data becomes available in the fall. There isn't much the commission can do before then. They met last week because the County Charter requires them to conduct their first meeting in May of post-Census years because ordinarily that's when the data becomes available.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

David

David Wert

Public Information Officer



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov



From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 11:36 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon!

My name is Sky Allen, I work for a local nonprofit in the region and I am a resident of the county. I just learned that the first Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting was on the 11th, do you all have a recording of the meeting for the public to watch? Also, there are not currently future meetings scheduled; is there an understanding yet of how frequently this body will meet?

Thank you so much for your time,

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United



--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United



Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2021 8:00 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning David,

I hope you had a restful and joyous weekend. I am reaching out to you today to follow up on our conversation a few weeks ago. Thank you again for raising the idea of summer meetings with the Commission; do you have any updates on when future meetings will be hosted? COI testimony is so important in redistricting and it is really important to community groups that there be opportunities to share public input, ideally before the release of Census data.

All the best,

On Fri, May 21, 2021 at 4:44 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcountry.gov> wrote:

Hi Sky,

We are currently going over dates with our Commissioners for a meeting in July and we are also considering another meeting before the census data is released.

Take Care,

David

From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 3:03 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcountry.gov>
Subject: Re: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you so much for sharing David!

If I may, the statewide commission is hosting public input meetings all throughout the summer to gather community of interest testimony before they receive the Census data. Communities of interest are one of this commission's criteria to consider in order to draft maps, but they are only reliant on social or economic considerations, not the Census data. It would be awesome to have maybe 2 public input meetings, potentially one in July and another in August, prior to receiving data, so that people can start engaging with the commission and to give the commission a head start on information gathering.

I would be happy to connect with you and any other relevant officials to discuss this idea if you would like. Thanks again for your prompt response.

All the best,

On Tue, May 18, 2021 at 2:53 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Here is a link to the recording: http://sanbernardino.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=1cf616e3-b29a-11eb-8549-0050569183fa. This recording and recordings of future meetings will be posted on the redistricting website, <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/>.

The body will meet very frequently once the U.S. Census data becomes available in the fall. There isn't much the commission can do before then. They met last week because the County Charter requires them to conduct their first meeting in May of post-Census years because ordinarily that's when the data becomes available.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

David

David Wert

Public Information Officer

San Bernardino County, California
Phone: [REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov



From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 11:36 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon!

My name is Sky Allen, I work for a local nonprofit in the region and I am a resident of the county. I just learned that the first Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting was on the 11th, do you all have a recording of the meeting for the public to watch? Also, there are not currently future meetings scheduled; is there an understanding yet of how frequently this body will meet?

Thank you so much for your time,

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United

[REDACTED]

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United

[REDACTED]

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Program Director

IE United

[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, June 28, 2021 12:03 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Happy Monday!

I am following up on an email I sent a two weeks ago. Has there been any progress on scheduling a summer redistricting meeting and/or public input sessions for the San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission? The website still doesn't have anything but I was hoping you all could be more informative.

All the best,

On Mon, Jun 14, 2021 at 8:00 AM Sky Allen <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Good morning David,

I hope you had a restful and joyous weekend. I am reaching out to you today to follow up on our conversation a few weeks ago. Thank you again for raising the idea of summer meetings with the Commission; do you have any updates on when future meetings will be hosted? COI testimony is so important in redistricting and it is really important to community groups that there be opportunities to share public input, ideally before the release of Census data.

All the best,

On Fri, May 21, 2021 at 4:44 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Hi Sky,

We are currently going over dates with our Commissioners for a meeting in July and we are also considering another meeting before the census data is released.

Take Care,

David

From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 3:03 PM

To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>

Subject: Re: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you so much for sharing David!

If I may, the statewide commission is hosting public input meetings all throughout the summer to gather community of interest testimony before they receive the Census data. Communities of interest are one of this commission's criteria to consider in order to draft maps, but they are only reliant on social or economic considerations, not the Census data. It would be awesome to have maybe 2 public input meetings, potentially one in July and another in August, prior to receiving data, so that people can start engaging with the commission and to give the commission a head start on information gathering.

I would be happy to connect with you and any other relevant officials to discuss this idea if you would like. Thanks again for your prompt response.

All the best,

On Tue, May 18, 2021 at 2:53 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Here is a link to the recording: http://sanbernardino.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=1cf616e3-b29a-11eb-8549-0050569183fa. This recording and recordings of future meetings will be posted on the redistricting website, <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/>.

The body will meet very frequently once the U.S. Census data becomes available in the fall. There isn't much the commission can do before then. They met last week because the County Charter requires them to conduct their first meeting in May of post-Census years because ordinarily that's when the data becomes available.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

David

David Wert

Public Information Officer

San Bernardino County, California

Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov



From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 11:36 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon!

My name is Sky Allen, I work for a local nonprofit in the region and I am a resident of the county. I just learned that the first Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting was on the 11th, do you all have a recording of the meeting for the public to watch? Also, there are not currently future meetings scheduled; is there an understanding yet of how frequently this body will meet?

Thank you so much for your time,

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United

[REDACTED]

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United

[REDACTED]

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Program Director

IE United

[REDACTED]

--

Sky Allen
she/her/hers
Program Director
IE United

[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: DAAS Senior Affairs Commission
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2021 11:17 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Presentation Request - Senior Affairs Commission - County Redistricting Efforts

Good afternoon,

The San Bernardino County Senior Affairs Commission respectfully requests a brief presentation/overview of the current County redistricting efforts. Our Board appointed Commission meets on the third Wednesday of every month. We would like a 20 -30 minute virtual presentation on Wednesday, August 18th. Meetings begin at 1:00 pm; however, the presentation would begin at approximately 1:15 pm.

At this time, there are no specific questions regarding redistricting; the Commission has expressed interest in learning about the efforts and where the County is in terms of planning.

I am happy to provide additional information, or answer any questions you may have.

We appreciate your consideration of this request. Please let me know if someone is available to present.

Thank you,

Senior Affairs Commission (SAC)

Department of Aging & Adult Services

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Website: [REDACTED]

Interoffice Mail Code: DAAS #0640

[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov

County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 4:12 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you so much for responding!

All the best,

On Fri, Jul 16, 2021 at 4:01 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Hello Sky,

We apologize for the delay on a response to you. We have our next meeting scheduled for July 26th at 1pm in the Covington Chambers at the County Government Center: [385 N. Arrowhead](#) Ave., San Bernardino, CA 92415.

Thank you!

From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, June 28, 2021 12:03 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Happy Monday!

I am following up on an email I sent a two weeks ago. Has there been any progress on scheduling a summer redistricting meeting and/or public input sessions for the San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission? The website still doesn't have anything but I was hoping you all could be more informative.

All the best,

On Mon, Jun 14, 2021 at 8:00 AM Sky Allen <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Good morning David,

I hope you had a restful and joyous weekend. I am reaching out to you today to follow up on our conversation a few weeks ago. Thank you again for raising the idea of summer meetings with the Commission; do you have any updates on when future meetings will be hosted? COI testimony is so important in redistricting and it is really important to community groups that there be opportunities to share public input, ideally before the release of Census data.

All the best,

On Fri, May 21, 2021 at 4:44 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcountry.gov> wrote:

Hi Sky,

We are currently going over dates with our Commissioners for a meeting in July and we are also considering another meeting before the census data is released.

Take Care,

David

From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 3:03 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcountry.gov>
Subject: Re: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you so much for sharing David!

If I may, the statewide commission is hosting public input meetings all throughout the summer to gather community of interest testimony before they receive the Census data. Communities of interest are one of this commission's criteria to consider in order to draft maps, but they are only reliant on social or economic considerations, not the Census data. It would be awesome to have maybe 2 public input meetings, potentially one in July and another in August, prior to receiving data, so that people can start engaging with the commission and to give the commission a head start on information gathering.

I would be happy to connect with you and any other relevant officials to discuss this idea if you would like. Thanks again for your prompt response.

All the best,

On Tue, May 18, 2021 at 2:53 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Here is a link to the recording: http://sanbernardino.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=1cf616e3-b29a-11eb-8549-0050569183fa. This recording and recordings of future meetings will be posted on the redistricting website, <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/>.

The body will meet very frequently once the U.S. Census data becomes available in the fall. There isn't much the commission can do before then. They met last week because the County Charter requires them to conduct their first meeting in May of post-Census years because ordinarily that's when the data becomes available.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

David

David Wert

Public Information Officer

San Bernardino County, California
Phone [REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov



From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 11:36 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: First Redistricting Commission Meeting Recording

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon!

My name is Sky Allen, I work for a local nonprofit in the region and I am a resident of the county. I just learned that the first Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting was on the 11th, do you all have a recording of the meeting for the public to watch? Also, there are not currently future meetings scheduled; is there an understanding yet of how frequently this body will meet?

Thank you so much for your time,

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Project Manager

IE United

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Program Director

IE United

[REDACTED]

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Program Director

IE United

[REDACTED]

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Program Director

IE United

[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: James Albert <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, July 25, 2021 4:51 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: 7/26 Redistricting Public Comments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

My name is James Albert and I have been a resident of the city and county of San Bernardino for 21 years. I appreciate this commission's willingness to hold public hearings throughout our county and inviting the League of Women Voters to present on Communities of Interest (COI) which is essential in the mapmaking process.

In adopting the meeting calendar, I would encourage the commission to hold these commission meetings on weekday evenings and/or weekends to maximize public engagement during this process which will impact us for the next decade.

Sincerely,

James Albert
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Jody I <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 2:08 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: meeting dates and times

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners --

Unless you hold the community input meetings in the evenings and on weekends, when people who work can attend, the information you get will be skewed. While I appreciate the difficulty of finding locations that will accomodate evenings and weekends, I encourage you to make the effort. Otherwise, your final recommendations will be viewed with suspicion by the community.

Sincerely,
Jody Isenberg
1278 Andreas Ave
San Bernardino, CA 92404
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 1:46 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: July 26 - Advisory Redistricting Meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon Redistricting Team!

I hope you all are doing well. My name is Sky Allen, I work with a local organization called IE United. We are facilitating a regional coalition called the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub of roughly 2 dozen organizations.

We noticed that one of the Commissioners asked staff, Ms Williams I believe, how the Commission can collaborate with nonprofit organizations throughout this redistricting process. If I may, I would love to be listed as a contact to keep in communication with. I can either share the emails of our partners with you to add or you can pass information along through me, but we all are very committed to redistricting and would love to work alongside our Commissioners.

Also, I noticed that the Commission is referencing a calendar for future meetings. Is this document public yet, and if so, where may I find that information? I don't see it within the agenda, so I would assume it needed approval before being released, but it would be greatly appreciated to be able to mark those times and dates down.

Thank you so much for your help and support.

All the best,

--

Sky Allen
she/her/hers
Program Director
IE United
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Julie Wilson [REDACTED] >
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 1:45 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: QUESTION NOT A COMMENT FOR THE MEETING

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Afternoon,

Is/will the calendar of meetings in communities be posted on the redistricting website? Thank you.

Julie Wilson

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Betsy Starbuck <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 1:19 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Trouble with live stream!

Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I am attending via live stream and cannot hear the presentation by Cynthia Cai.
Please tell the commissioners to speak into their microphones.
Where are the background materials for the agenda items, i.e. the meeting schedule and locales they just approved?

Betsy Starbuck, President
League of Women Voters of
The San Bernardino Area
[REDACTED]

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 9:51 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 7/26/2021

Full Name:: Brian E. Conley

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: Public meetings must be held during times that are accessible for working class community through out the County. The mountain communities should be in ONE district because they have common needs and issues unique to a tourist vacation community

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 9:27 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 7/26/2021

Full Name:: Debra Gail Savitt

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: Dear Advisory Redistricting Commission: I live in the Morongo Basin which is the high desert above the city of Palm Springs in AD42. I am requesting that the lines for redistricting be drawn to include the lower desert cities which are as follows: Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, all of Cathedral City, Indio, Coachella, Palm Desert, Desert Hot Springs, La Quinta, and Thousand Palms. Parts of Cathedral City, Indio, and Desert Hot Springs are presently not included in the AD42 district. Most people in the high desert, which includes Morongo Valley, Yucca Valley, Johnson Valley, Landers, Flamenco Heights, Wonder Valley, and Joshua Tree drive to the lower desert for work, shopping, and doctor's appointments. If our lines are drawn to include cities in the San Bernardino region, it may not represent our local community properly, especially in the high desert. We have greater numbers of poverty in which people are at a lower income status and live distances far away from the town off of dirt roads. Some people cannot afford cell phones and cannot get to their doctor's appointments because they don't have cars. Therefore, it is my opinion connecting with the lower desert AD42, and redrawing the lines to include all of Cathedral City, Desert Hot Springs, Indio, and Thousand Palms is the most logical map. This includes a large diverse population from the poor to the wealthy, people of all skin colors, languages, and cultural and religious diversity. As I mentioned earlier many of us from the high desert drive to the lower desert for work, shopping and doctor's appointments. Thank you for your consideration!
Debra Gail Savitt

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 9:27 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 7/26/2021

Full Name:: Debra Gail Savitt

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: Dear Advisory Redistricting Commission: I live in the Morongo Basin which is the high desert above the city of Palm Springs in AD42. I am requesting that the lines for redistricting be drawn to include the lower desert cities which are as follows: Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, all of Cathedral City, Indio, Coachella, Palm Desert, Desert Hot Springs, La Quinta, and Thousand Palms. Parts of Cathedral City, Indio, and Desert Hot Springs are presently not included in the AD42 district. Most people in the high desert, which includes Morongo Valley, Yucca Valley, Johnson Valley, Landers, Flamenco Heights, Wonder Valley, and Joshua Tree drive to the lower desert for work, shopping, and doctor's appointments. If our lines are drawn to include cities in the San Bernardino region, it may not represent our local community properly, especially in the high desert. We have greater numbers of poverty in which people are at a lower income status and live distances far away from the town off of dirt roads. Some people cannot afford cell phones and cannot get to their doctor's appointments because they don't have cars. Therefore, it is my opinion connecting with the lower desert AD42, and redrawing the lines to include all of Cathedral City, Desert Hot Springs, Indio, and Thousand Palms is the most logical map. This includes a large diverse population from the poor to the wealthy, people of all skin colors, languages, and cultural and religious diversity. As I mentioned earlier many of us from the high desert drive to the lower desert for work, shopping and doctor's appointments. Thank you for your consideration!
Debra Gail Savitt

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 11:12 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 8/26/2021

Full Name:: James W Gallagher

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: My name is Jim Gallagher, residing for 25 years in Chino Hills, CA in the southwest portion of San Bernardino County. I am a Board member in the Chino Valley Chamber of Commerce but am addressing you as a private resident. I live in BOS District 4 which is the smallest land mass of all the districts with a little over 145000 sq ft. It has the least number of cities from Chino Hills and Chino on the southwest border to Montclair and Upland extending to the north border and Ontario extending to the east border. The Ontario airport area to the east has witnessed an economic boon in recent years and promises to become the main center of activity and employment in the years to come. We have witnessed a population shift eastward to communities outside our county like Eastvale and towards Rancho Cucamonga within our County. I notice that District 4 in comparison to the other Districts show a -0.5%. I will be submitting maps to incorporate Rancho Cucamonga into District 4 to adjust for the population shifts outside our county to Eastvale. Rancho Cucamonga has similar demographic ethnic and economic makeup as the existing cities n this district and would be a welcome addition to adjust our deficits. Thank you.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2021 12:46 PM
To: redistricting; Supervisor Baca; Supervisor Cook; Supervisor Rowe; Supervisor Rutherford; Supervisor Hagman
Cc: Monell, Lynna
Subject: Public Comment: Request to Extend Redistricting Deadlines
Attachments: Redistricting Deadlines Letter - County of San Bernardino.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,

Please find the attached letter regarding San Bernardino County Redistricting timelines. Should you have any questions or concerns, feel free to contact me at this email address.

All the best,

--
Sky Allen
she/her/hers
Program Director
IE United
[REDACTED]

August 26, 2021

San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission <redistricting@sbcountry.gov>

Honorable Keith D. Davis

Honorable Pamela Preston King

Commissioner Mark Creffield

Commissioner Robert Little

Commissioner Jessica Naquin

Commissioner Jean-Rene Basle

Commissioner William Jernigan

385 N Arrowhead Ave,

San Bernardino, CA 92415

San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors

Supervisor Curt Hagman <Supervisor.Hagman@bos.sbcountry.gov>

Supervisor Dawn Rowe <Supervisor.Rowe@bos.sbcountry.gov>

Supervisor Paul Cook <Supervisor.Cook@bos.sbcountry.gov>

Supervisor Janice Rutherford <Supervisor.Rutherford@bos.sbcountry.gov>

Supervisor Joe Baca, Jr. <Supervisor.Baca@bos.sbcountry.gov>

385 N Arrowhead Ave,

San Bernardino, CA 92415

Sent via electronic transmission

Dear San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission and County Board of Supervisors:

The redistricting of federal, state, and local legislative boundaries is required every ten years following the decennial census. More than a simple exercise to ensure districts are equal in population, redistricting impacts how responsive elected officials are to communities at all legislative levels. The Inland Empire Redistricting Hub, on behalf of the undersigned community-based organizations, writes this letter to respectfully request that you extend your redistricting deadline to January 14, 2022.

The California Citizens Redistricting Commission is in the process of requesting that the California Supreme Court adopt this date as the Commission's updated deadline and we strongly encourage the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors and Advisory Redistricting Commission to follow their leadership. Redistricting at all levels of government should include opportunities for robust public participation. The delay in receiving the census data needed to draw new maps means that the time for public input will be significantly abbreviated. Key portions of the map-drawing period overlap with the Thanksgiving holiday, further reducing the amount of time for public hearings, for the public to review and respond to map proposals, and for public input.

According to the California Elections Code 21622(b), charter counties are allowed to adopt their own redistricting deadlines "by ordinance or in its charter." We believe that extending city and county redistricting deadlines is essential to provide a fair, transparent, and public redistricting process at the local level, as envisioned when the FAIR MAPS Act reformed local redistricting in California. If the deadline for counties and cities is extended to January 14, local communities will have much-needed additional time to participate in the redistricting process. Although some of this time is lost to the holiday period in late December, even one or two additional weeks for public engagement are very significant.

We request that any extension of the deadline for approval of maps granted to the state redistricting commission be provided to local redistricting authorities in order to ensure that the public have a chance to weigh in on the district lines that will affect political representation for the next ten years.

We hope you find our recommendation both reasonable and practicable and look forward to working with you to make the 2021-22 redistricting process fair, transparent, and accessible. Please reach out to Sky Allen with Inland Empire United at sky@ieunited.org should you need more information. Thank you for your service and consideration.

CC: Lynna Monell, Clerk of the Board <[REDACTED]>

Inland Empire Redistricting Hub:
ACLU Southern California
Alianza Coachella Valley
Art & Science Cultural Center
BLU Educational Foundation
Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights

Community Health Action Network
Communities for a New California Education Fund
Conduit Community Development
Congregations Organized for Prophetic Engagement
Inland Coalition for Immigrant Justice
Inland Congregations United for Change
Inland Empire Community Collaborative
Inland Empire United
Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability
Motivating Action Leadership Opportunity
Nehemiah Charitable Fund
People's Collective for Environmental Justice
Planned Parenthood Action Fund of the Pacific Southwest
Starting Over, Inc
Warehouse Worker Resource Center

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Tiba [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 12:44 PM
To: redistricting; Supervisor Rowe
Subject: Redistricting Commission Meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Rowe and the Honorable Keith Davis,

It has been brought to my attention that the City of Redlands has not been included as a host for an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting. As a resident of Redlands, with a population of over 71,000, I find this troubling. Yucaipa, a city with a population of 53,000, has a meeting scheduled from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. on a Wednesday. Apparently, that meeting is intended to cover Highland (population 56,000), Colton (population 55,000), Loma Linda (population 25,000), and other valley cities.

I am respectfully asking you to hold at least two Advisory Redistricting Commission Meetings in Redlands, with one of those meetings occurring in the evening, so that residents who work daytime hours are able to make it.

Thank you for your prompt action to involve all your constituents in this important process.

Sincerely,
Tiba Edelmann

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Mary Hurley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2021 5:36 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: redistricting concerns

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisor Dawn Rowe and the Honorable Keith Davis:

It has been brought to my attention that the City of Redlands has not been included as a host for an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting. As a resident of Redlands, with a population of over 71,000, I find this troubling. Yucaipa, a city with a population of 53,000, has a meeting scheduled from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. on a Wednesday. Apparently, that meeting is intended to cover Highland (population 56,000), Colton (population 55,000), Loma Linda (population 25,000), and other valley cities.

I am respectfully asking you to hold at least two Advisory Redistricting Commission Meetings in Redlands, with one of those meetings occurring in the evening.

Thank you for your prompt action to involve all your constituents in this important process.

I have been looking at the laws and conventions surrounding the issue of redistricting. It is quite clear that there is a mandate for multiple meetings, in multiple accessible sites, at times that people will be able to attend. I do not see that this is in any proposal at this time. We need everyone affected by this process to have the opportunity and access to these meetings. What is being done to address this?

I have made a recommendation to the state redistricting commission and notified our representatives of the oversight in our district. I am hoping they will weigh in on this issue as soon as possible.

Source material:

<https://lwvc.org/sites/default/files/downloads/Fair%20Maps%20California%20Local%20Redistricting%20Kit%2012-10-20%201.pdf>

Sincerely,

ME Hurley MD
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Sunday, September 12, 2021 10:30 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/12/2021

Full Name:: Steven Bilster

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number::

Comment:: I am writing to directly address the body concerning Agenda Item 3, 4, and 7 and I would like my concerns read at the Yucaipa Redistricting meeting on September 15, 2021. Redlands, a city with a population of over 71,000, has been excluded from these committee meetings. Yucaipa, a city with a population of 53,000, has a meeting scheduled from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. on a Wednesday. Apparently, that meeting is intended to cover Highland (population 56,000), Colton (population 55,000), Loma Linda (population 25,000), and other valley cities. Why weren't meetings scheduled in Redlands? Why are we being told the committee can't schedule additional meetings, yet at the Rialto meeting, you unanimously scheduled an additional meeting for San Bernardino Government Center? There is no such thing as a "neutral" location. Redlands is the headquarters for the top GIS mapping software company. Why have you avoided scheduling meetings in or involving Redlands in this process? I have heard during numerous meetings, the members of this committee talk about how they have no idea how to use mapping software nor draw maps. Why were they chosen to be on a committee whose only purpose is to draw and choose maps?

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:08 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/14/2021

Full Name:: TRACY WISE

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: Supervisor Dawn Kowe and the Honorable Keith Davis: It has been brought to my attention that the City of Redlands has not been included as a host for an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting. As a resident of Redlands, with a population of over 71,000, I find this troubling. Yucaipa, a city with a population of 53,000, has a meeting scheduled from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. on a Wednesday. Apparently, that meeting is intended to cover Highland (population 56,000), Colton (population 55,000), Loma Linda (population 25,000), and other valley cities. I am respectfully asking you to hold at least two Advisory Redistricting Commission Meetings in Redlands, with one of those meetings occurring in the evening. Thank you for your prompt action to involve all your constituents in this important process. Sincerely, Tracy Wise Redlands 92374

Lovell, Alyssa

From: bobbi camacho <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 2:10 PM
To: Skupervisor.Rowe@bos.sbcounty.gov; redistricting
Subject: Redlands redistricting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Rowe,

I am a registered voter in Redlands, CA. I am extremely concerned that the City of Redlands is not currently on the list of cities to host an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting. I am aware there is an upcoming mid morning meeting scheduled in Yuciapa, which is intended to cover several valley cities. With a population of over 71K, Redlands citizens should have the opportunity to be fully informed and involved in such an important process. Therefore, I urge you to schedule an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting in Redlands (preferably in the evening) to allow greater participation of constituents.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.
Bobbi Little
Redlands, CA

Lovell, Alyssa

From: bobbi camacho <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 2:34 PM
To: Supervisor Rowe; redistricting
Subject: Redistricting Commission Meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Rowe,

I am a registered voter in Redlands, CA. I am extremely concerned that the City of Redlands is not currently on the list of cities to host an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting. I am aware there is an upcoming mid morning meeting scheduled in Yuciapa, which is intended to cover several valley cities. With a population of over 71K, Redlands citizens should have the opportunity to be fully informed and involved in such an important process. Therefore, I urge you to schedule an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting in Redlands (preferably in the evening) to allow greater participation of constituents.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.
Bobbi Little
Redlands, CA

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Michael Tamony <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 4:02 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: for public comment during Yucaipa's Redistricting Commission meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi, my name is Michael. I have lived in the city of Redlands for 12 years.

I'd like you to keep my community together in the Third District. My community is located in one of the major population centers of the Third District.

My community is a majority registered Democrat, which is barely represented on this commission and absolutely not represented by the placement of the advisory committee meetings. Additionally, my community is home to ESRI, the top mapping and GIS software company in the world. It seems questionable to me that no advisory meetings were scheduled in Redlands for this reason alone. Are you not using ArcGIS for map drawing? My community also suffers from extreme air pollution. Redlands is routinely experiencing an unhealthy air quality index.

My community is similar to neighborhoods located in the City of Loma Linda, Highland, and Colton. All of the cities that were also excluded from advisory committee meetings. All of them also majority registered Democrat.

My community is different from the following neighborhoods in that the median income and home values are slightly higher. We also have a politically progressive city council.

What makes my community special is shown in downtown Redlands. We have artwalks, market nights, vibrant night life, and a recently approved LGBTQIA community crosswalk. We have rail transportation being developed and cutting edge community gathering spots. The surrounding community comes to Redlands.

In conclusion, Redlands is very important to the Third District and will be heard by this Redistricting Commission. We will advocate and encourage testimony from the entire Third District, including all the cities not represented by these advisory meetings. Redlands is very representative of the Third District and San Bernardino County.

Thank you for allowing this input.

Michael

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Roberto Halili <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 6:27 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Request to Speak Form

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

A delegation of 3 from our organization would like to know where and how we can complete a Request to Speak Form. We would like to attend and present comments this coming Sept. 22 (Wednesday) meeting of the SB County Advisory Redistricting Commission.

Thank you for your consideration.

Prof. Bob Halili (M.A.)
Coordinator - Campaign and Advocacy
National Ecumenical Forum for Filipino Concerns-Inland Empire (NEFFCON-IE)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Roberto Halili <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 7:15 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Speaking as proxy

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

Since the San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission will be open to hearing public comments this Wednesday, Sept. 22 (10am - 12nn at the Rancho Cucamonga Council Chambers), we would like to know if we can be allowed to express a comment on behalf of another individual who is a resident of the county. And if so, do we need to show proof or documentation that the person expressing another individual's comment is his/her official proxy?

Thank you.

Prof. Bob Halili (M.A.)
Coordinator - Campaign & Advocacy
National Ecumenical Forum for Filipino Concerns-Inland Empire (NEFFCON-IE)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 9:13 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/20/2021

Full Name:: TRACY L WISE

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone Number: [REDACTED]

Comment:: Supervisor Dawn Rowe and the Honorable Keith Davis: I understand that a report will be presented and/or a discussion will take place at the September 22nd meeting concerning hosting an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting in the City of Redlands. I greatly appreciate the Commission listening to area residents to consider this request. As a resident of Redlands, with a population of over 71,000, I find it troubling that such a meeting has not already been scheduled. Therefore, I strongly encourage the Commission to schedule at least one if not two such meetings, and that one of those meetings occur in the evening to ensure accessibility to the greatest number of residents. Thank you for your prompt action to involve all your constituents in this important process. Sincerely, Tracy Wise Redlands 92374

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 10:31 AM
To: Roberto Halili
Subject: RE: Request to Speak Form

Hello Professor Halili,

Thank you for your inquiry into the San Bernardino County Redistricting Commission meetings.

Regarding the Request to Speak forms, they are made available at every meeting. They are located near the entrance to the meeting site, along with the day's agenda and any other materials pertinent to the day's meeting.

When you attend the meeting, you can fill out the Public Comment – Request to Speak slip. You can request to make a comment regarding any specific agenda item, or a general comment at the end of the meeting. Your slip will be provided to the meeting secretary, and the Chair Person will call on you once the time is appropriate.

Thank you so much, and we look forward to seeing you at the September 22nd meeting.

Have a great day!

From: Roberto Halili <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 6:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Request to Speak Form

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

A delegation of 3 from our organization would like to know where and how we can complete a Request to Speak Form. We would like to attend and present comments this coming Sept. 22 (Wednesday) meeting of the SB County Advisory Redistricting Commission.

Thank you for your consideration.

Prof. Bob Halili (M.A.)
Coordinator - Campaign and Advocacy
National Ecumenical Forum for Filipino Concerns-Inland Empire (NEFFCON-IE)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 10:32 AM
To: Roberto Halili
Subject: RE: Speaking as proxy

Dear Prof. Halili,

Any person wanting to address the Commission regarding an item on the Agenda or within the jurisdiction of the Commission must submit a Request to Speak form to the Secretary at the meeting. Speakers may address the Commission for up to three minutes per item on the Agenda. If you turn a Request to Speak form to the Secretary to speak on an item, you may address the Commission and tell the Commission the comments are on behalf of another individual. However, your total comment period is limited to three minutes per item for both any comments you provide and any comments on behalf of another individual. No documentation is required to show you are speaking on another individual's behalf.

Thank you, and have a great day.

From: Roberto Halili [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 20, 2021 7:15 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Speaking as proxy

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

Since the San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission will be open to hearing public comments this Wednesday, Sept. 22 (10am - 12nn at the Rancho Cucamonga Council Chambers), we would like to know if we can be allowed to express a comment on behalf of another individual who is a resident of the county. And if so, do we need to show proof or documentation that the person expressing another individual's comment is his/her official proxy?

Thank you.

Prof. Bob Halili (M.A.)
Coordinator - Campaign & Advocacy
National Ecumenical Forum for Filipino Concerns-Inland Empire (NEFFCON-IE)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:02 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/21/2021

Full Name:: James J Harvey

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: I am commenting on behalf of the Homestead Valley Community Council (HVCC). Homestead Valley is a San Bernardino County community designation comprised of the 4 unincorporated communities of Yucca Mesa, Flamingo Heights, Landers, and Johnson Valley in the high desert. HVCC is a 12 member council with delegates from each of the four member communities. We are currently in the 3rd supervisorial district and wish to remain in 3rd district. Additionally, we work closely with Lucerne Valley to the west of us, and we would like to see Lucerne Valley remain in the 3rd district as well. Please keep Homestead Valley and Lucerne Valley as they are, in 3rd supervisorial district. Thank you.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:06 PM
To: [REDACTED] redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon Steven,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments were shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Yucaipa on 9/15. This meeting can be viewed at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Sunday, September 12, 2021 10:30 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/12/2021
Full Name:: Steven Bilster
Email:: [REDACTED]
Phone Number::

Comment:: I am writing to directly address the body concerning Agenda Item 3, 4, and 7 and I would like my concerns read at the Yucaipa Redistricting meeting on September 15, 2021. Redlands, a city with a population of over 71,000, has been excluded from these committee meetings. Yucaipa, a city with a population of 53,000, has a meeting scheduled from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. on a Wednesday. Apparently, that meeting is intended to cover Highland (population 56,000), Colton (population 55,000), Loma Linda (population 25,000), and other valley cities. Why weren't meetings scheduled in Redlands? Why are we being told the committee can't schedule additional meetings, yet at the Rialto meeting, you unanimously scheduled an additional meeting for San Bernardino Government Center? There is no such thing as a "neutral" location. Redlands is the headquarters for the top GIS mapping software company. Why have you avoided scheduling meetings in or involving Redlands in this process? I have heard during numerous meetings, the members of this committee talk about how they have no idea how to use mapping software nor draw maps. Why were they chosen to be on a committee whose only purpose is to draw and choose maps?

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:08 PM
To: [REDACTED] redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon Tracy,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments were shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Yucaipa on 9/15. This meeting can be viewed at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 1:08 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/14/2021

Full Name:: TRACY WISE

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: Supervisor Dawn Rowe and the Honorable Keith Davis: It has been brought to my attention that the City of Redlands has not been included as a host for an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting. As a resident of Redlands, with a population of over 71,000, I find this troubling. Yucaipa, a city with a population of 53,000, has a meeting scheduled from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. on a Wednesday. Apparently, that meeting is intended to cover Highland (population 56,000), Colton (population 55,000), Loma Linda (population 25,000), and other valley cities. I am respectfully asking you to hold at least two Advisory Redistricting Commission Meetings in Redlands, with one of those meetings occurring in the evening. Thank you for your prompt action to involve all your constituents in this important process. Sincerely, Tracy Wise Redlands 92374

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:12 PM
To: bobbi camacho; redistricting
Subject: RE: Redlands redistricting

Good afternoon Bobbi,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments were shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Yucaipa on 9/15. This meeting can be viewed at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: bobbi camacho <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 2:10 PM
To: [REDACTED]; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Redlands redistricting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisor Rowe,

I am a registered voter in Redlands, CA. I am extremely concerned that the City of Redlands is not currently on the list of cities to host an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting. I am aware there is an upcoming mid morning meeting scheduled in Yucaipa, which is intended to cover several valley cities. With a population of over 71K, Redlands citizens should have the opportunity to be fully informed and involved in such an important process. Therefore, I urge you to schedule an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting in Redlands (preferably in the evening) to allow greater participation of constituents.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.
Bobbi Little
Redlands, CA

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:13 PM
To: Michael Tamony; redistricting
Subject: RE: for public comment during Yucaipa's Redistricting Commission meeting

Good afternoon Michael,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments were shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Yucaipa on 9/15. This meeting can be viewed at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Michael Tamony <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 4:02 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: for public comment during Yucaipa's Redistricting Commission meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi, my name is Michael. I have lived in the city of Redlands for 12 years.

I'd like you to keep my community together in the Third District. My community is located in one of the major population centers of the Third District.

My community is a majority registered Democrat, which is barely represented on this commission and absolutely not represented by the placement of the advisory committee meetings. Additionally, my community is home to ESRI, the top mapping and GIS software company in the world. It seems questionable to me that no advisory meetings were scheduled in Redlands for this reason alone. Are you not using ArcGIS for map drawing? My community also suffers from extreme air pollution. Redlands is routinely experiencing an unhealthy air quality index.

My community is similar to neighborhoods located in the City of Loma Linda, Highland, and Colton. All of the cities that were also excluded from advisory committee meetings. All of them also majority registered Democrat.

My community is different from the following neighborhoods in that the median income and home values are slightly higher. We also have a politically progressive city council.

What makes my community special is shown in downtown Redlands. We have artwalks, market nights, vibrant night life, and a recently approved LGBTQIA community crosswalk. We have rail transportation being developed and cutting edge community gathering spots. The surrounding community comes to Redlands.

In conclusion, Redlands is very important to the Third District and will be heard by this Redistricting Commission. We will advocate and encourage testimony from the entire Third District, including all the cities not represented by these advisory meetings. Redlands is very representative of the Third District and San Bernardino County.

Thank you for allowing this input.

Michael

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Jim Harvey [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:27 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal
Attachments: HV Map from CommunityPlanHV.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you for your reply and for accepting our comments. I'm not sure if it matters, but the outline of our community in your interactive map is not correct and excludes much of our community designation. The correct boundaries of Homestead Valley are attached. This map is taken from the SB County General Plan / Community Plan. Please include this in our comments, if possible.

Thank you

Jim Harvey
HVCC

On 9/21/2021 1:13 PM, redistricting wrote:

Good afternoon James,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments will be shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Rancho Cucamonga on 9/22/2021.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or the meeting can be viewed after the meeting is concluded at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.
Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:02 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/21/2021

Full Name:: James J Harvey

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number: [REDACTED]

Comment:: I am commenting on behalf of the Homestead Valley Community Council (HVCC). Homestead Valley is a San Bernardino County community designation comprised of the 4 unincorporated communities of Yucca Mesa, Flamingo Heights, Landers, and Johnson Valley in the high desert. HVCC is a 12 member council with delegates from each of the four member communities. We are currently in the 3rd supervisorial district and wish to remain in 3rd district. Additionally, we work closely with Lucerne Valley to the west of us, and we would like to see Lucerne Valley remain in the 3rd district as well. Please keep Homestead Valley and Lucerne Valley as they are, in 3rd supervisorial district. Thank you.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Mary Hurley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 4:13 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: redistricting concerns

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Share but to my knowledge nothing was done.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 21, 2021, at 2:02 PM, redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Mary,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments were shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Yucaipa on 9/15. This meeting can be viewed at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,

<image001.png>

From: Mary Hurley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 11, 2021 5:36 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: redistricting concerns

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisor Dawn Rowe and the Honorable Keith Davis:

It has been brought to my attention that the City of Redlands has not been included as a host for an Advisory Redistricting Commission Meeting. As a resident of Redlands, with a population of over 71,000, I find this troubling. Yucaipa, a city with a population of 53,000, has a meeting scheduled from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. on a Wednesday. Apparently, that meeting is intended to cover Highland (population 56,000), Colton (population 55,000), Loma Linda (population 25,000), and other valley cities.

I am respectfully asking you to hold at least two Advisory Redistricting Commission Meetings in Redlands, with one of those meetings occurring in the evening.
Thank you for your prompt action to involve all your constituents in this important process.

I have been looking at the laws and conventions surrounding the issue of redistricting. It is quite clear that there is a mandate for multiple meetings, in multiple accessible sites, at times that people will be able to attend. I do not see that this is in any proposal at this time. We need everyone affected by this process to have the opportunity and access to these meetings. What is being done to address this?

I have made a recommendation to the state redistricting commission and notified our representatives of the oversight in our district. I am hoping they will weigh in on this issue as soon as possible.

Source material:

https://lwvc.org/sites/default/files/downloads/Fair%20Maps%20California%20Local%20Redistricting%20Kit%2012-10-20_1.pdf

Sincerely,

ME Hurley MD



Lovell, Alyssa

From: Fabian Valdez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 10:55 AM
To: redistricting
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: FW: Public Comments Submittal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Andrew,

I do indeed see the differences in the two maps, the one provided and the one provided in the online tool. I don't necessarily have an answer for Mr. Harvey, other than to point out a couple of reasons this might be the case. First, the lines provided by the Census are typically what are reported by the county to the Census administration for municipality and designated place boundaries. Those are the lines that we have loaded to the online tool, as they are what the Census has reported on. Second, the map provided is a Community Plan from 2007, so may indeed have been the correct lines at the time but has since changed. I do not know if that is the case, but it is another possible reason for the discrepancy. I'm not sure if any of that info will help Mr. Harvey, but I am happy to chat with him more on the issue if he has further questions or concerns.

On Tue, Sep 21, 2021 at 3:53 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Hello Fabian,

We received the attached map from an interested party. They stated that "the outline of our community in your interactive map is not correct", but I'm not sure if they were referring to Maptitude or something else. But, since it is a map, we felt it should be provided to you.

Please let us know if you need anything else regarding this, or if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Andrew Mills

Librarian I
San Bernardino County Library Administration

[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov



County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

From: Jim Harvey [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you for your reply and for accepting our comments. I'm not sure if it matters, but the outline of our community in your interactive map is not correct and excludes much of our community designation. The correct boundaries of Homestead Valley are attached. This map is taken from the SB County General Plan / Community Plan. Please include this in our comments, if possible.

Thank you

Jim Harvey
HVCC

On 9/21/2021 1:13 PM, redistricting wrote:

Good afternoon James,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments will be shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Rancho Cucamonga on 9/22/2021.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or the meeting can be viewed after the meeting is concluded at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - [sbcounty.gov](mailto:webmaster@sbcounty.gov) <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:02 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/21/2021

Full Name:: James J Harvey

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: I am commenting on behalf of the Homestead Valley Community Council (HVCC). Homestead Valley is a San Bernardino County community designation comprised of the 4 unincorporated communities of Yucca Mesa, Flamingo Heights, Landers, and Johnson Valley in the high desert. HVCC is a 12 member council with delegates from each of the four

member communities. We are currently in the 3rd supervisorial district and wish to remain in 3rd district. Additionally, we work closely with Lucerne Valley to the west of us, and we would like to see Lucerne Valley remain in the 3rd district as well. Please keep Homestead Valley and Lucerne Valley as they are, in 3rd supervisorial district. Thank you.

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 11:39 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/22/2021

Full Name:: Chuck Bell

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: From Lucerne Valley Economic Development Association: All of Lucerne Valley (County Service Area 29) needs to remain in the Third Sup. District - along with Johnson Valley - Homestead Valley - Morongo Valley. We all share the same rural/land-use characteristics and issues - and must remain unified under one District acting together. Other than shopping and medical services - we have little in common with urban Apple Valley, etc. Please inform me if we need to provide a map of Lucerne Valley's boundaries. Thank You, Chuck Bell, Pres.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 1:47 PM
To: Jim Harvey; redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon,

As I communicated yesterday, we did provide your map of the Homestead Valley to our Redistricting Consultants. I wanted to provide you with their response as to some possible reasons why there is a discrepancy between the map in the online tool and your map:

I do indeed see the differences in the two maps, the one provided and the one provided in the online tool. I don't necessarily have an answer for Mr. Harvey, other than to point out a couple of reasons this might be the case. First, the lines provided by the Census are typically what are reported by the county to the Census administration for municipality and designated place boundaries. Those are the lines that we have loaded to the online tool, as they are what the Census has reported on. Second, the map provided is a Community Plan from 2007, so may indeed have been the correct lines at the time but has since changed. I do not know if that is the case, but it is another possible reason for the discrepancy

Additionally, your map of Homestead Valley was provided to the Commissioners at the meeting today, 9/22/2021 in Rancho Cucamonga, so they have been made aware of your comments and concerns.

Thank you so much, and have a wonderful day.



From: Jim Harvey <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you for your reply and for accepting our comments. I'm not sure if it matters, but the outline of our community in your interactive map is not correct and excludes much of our community designation. The correct boundaries of Homestead Valley are attached. This map is taken from the SB County General Plan / Community Plan. Please include this in our comments, if possible.

Thank you

Jim Harvey
HVCC

On 9/21/2021 1:13 PM, redistricting wrote:

Good afternoon James,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments will be shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Rancho Cucamonga on 9/22/2021.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or the meeting can be viewed after the meeting is concluded at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.
Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:02 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/21/2021

Full Name:: James J Harvey

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: (760) 481-1015

Comment:: I am commenting on behalf of the Homestead Valley Community Council (HVCC). Homestead Valley is a San Bernardino County community designation comprised of the 4 unincorporated communities of Yucca Mesa, Flamingo Heights, Landers, and Johnson Valley in the high desert. HVCC is a 12 member council with delegates from each of the four member communities. We are currently in the 3rd supervisorial district and wish to remain in 3rd district. Additionally, we work closely with Lucerne Valley to the west of us, and we would like to see Lucerne Valley remain in the 3rd district as well. Please keep Homestead Valley and Lucerne Valley as they are, in 3rd supervisorial district. Thank you.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 1:51 PM
To: chuckb@sisp.net; redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments were shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting in Rancho Cucamonga on 9/22/2021.

This meeting can be viewed at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 11:39 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/22/2021

Full Name:: Chuck Bell

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: From Lucerne Valley Economic Development Association: All of Lucerne Valley (County Service Area 29) needs to remain in the Third Sup. District - along with Johnson Valley - Homestead Valley - Morongo Valley. We all share the same rural/land-use characteristics and issues - and must remain unified under one District acting together. Other than shopping and medical services - we have little in common with urban Apple Valley, etc. Please inform me if we need to provide a map of Lucerne Valley's boundaries. Thank You, Chuck Bell, Pres.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Jim Harvey <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 4:27 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Homestead Valley Community Boundaries

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello

Thank you for your reply. Yes I watched the meeting and saw the reference to our map revision request by the alternate commissioner. We appreciate your immediate attention to this matter.

While I initially sent you the map of HV from the archived 2007 community plan, the designation has not changed. The most current Homestead Valley Community Boundary map is identical and is in the 2020 action guide which can be found here: <http://countywideplan.com/homesteadvalley/>

Click on the map link under "Communities Planning Boundary", or see it directly here:
http://countywideplan.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Homestead_Valley45-1.jpg

The Homestead Valley Community is comprised of Johnson Valley, Landers, Flamingo Heights, and Yucca Mesa. The designation that the commission sees on the census map being used does not encompass the Homestead Valley community in its entirety. It is very important to us that the commission is aware of this discrepancy in maps and that the redistricting map be edited to reflect the historically correct community boundaries defined in the 2020 SB County Community Plan maps before any decisions are made.

Thank you for your help in this matter.

Jim Harvey
Homestead Valley Community Council
[REDACTED]

On 9/22/2021 1:46 PM, redistricting wrote:

Good afternoon,

As I communicated yesterday, we did provide your map of the Homestead Valley to our Redistricting Consultants. I wanted to provide you with their response as to some possible reasons why there is a discrepancy between the map in the online tool and your map:

I do indeed see the differences in the two maps, the one provided and the one provided in the online tool. I don't necessarily have an answer for Mr. Harvey, other than to point out a couple of reasons this might be the case. First, the lines provided by the Census are typically what are

reported by the county to the Census administration for municipality and designated place boundaries. Those are the lines that we have loaded to the online tool, as they are what the Census has reported on. Second, the map provided is a Community Plan from 2007, so may indeed have been the correct lines at the time but has since changed. I do not know if that is the case, but it is another possible reason for the discrepancy

Additionally, your map of Homestead Valley was provided to the Commissioners at the meeting today, 9/22/2021 in Rancho Cucamonga, so they have been made aware of your comments and concerns.

Thank you so much, and have a wonderful day.



From: Jim Harvey <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you for your reply and for accepting our comments. I'm not sure if it matters, but the outline of our community in your interactive map is not correct and excludes much of our community designation. The correct boundaries of Homestead Valley are attached. This map is taken from the SB County General Plan / Community Plan. Please include this in our comments, if possible.

Thank you

Jim Harvey
HVCC

On 9/21/2021 1:13 PM, redistricting wrote:

Good afternoon James,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments will be shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Rancho Cucamonga on 9/22/2021.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or the meeting can be viewed after the meeting is concluded at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:02 PM

To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>

Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/21/2021

Full Name:: James J Harvey

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: ([REDACTED])

Comment:: I am commenting on behalf of the Homestead Valley Community Council (HVCC). Homestead Valley is a San Bernardino County community designation comprised of the 4 unincorporated communities of Yucca Mesa, Flamingo Heights, Landers, and Johnson Valley in the high desert. HVCC is a 12 member council with delegates from each of the four member communities. We are currently in the 3rd supervisorial district and wish to remain in 3rd district. Additionally, we work closely with Lucerne Valley to the west of us, and we would like to see Lucerne Valley remain in the 3rd district as well. Please keep Homestead Valley and Lucerne Valley as they are, in 3rd supervisorial district. Thank you.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2021 5:27 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/24/2021

Full Name:: Bill Lembright

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: Please keep the rural desert communities of Lucerne Valley, Johnson Valley, Homestead Valley, and Morongo Valley together in the Third Supervisorial District as we work together as one community since we have so much in common.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Dennis Morrison <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 25, 2021 1:25 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Keep Lucerne Valley in the 3rd District.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Lucerne Valley needs to remain in the Third Sup. District - along with Johnson Valley - Homestead Valley - Morongo Valley. We all share the same rural/land-use characteristics and issues - and must remain unified under one District acting together. Other than shopping and medical services - we have little in common with urban Apple Valley.

Dennis Morrison.
Lucerne Valley Resident.

Sent from my iPad

Mills, Andrew

From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 11:05 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Community COI Submission
Attachments: San Bernardino County COIs.zip

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning Redistricting team!


I hope you all had a wonderful weekend. Please allow me to submit the attached zip folder of COIs from community partners across the county. A number of organizations held community meetings with residents and documented COIs that were identified in those meetings. They are here for your reference and consideration.

All the best,

--

Sky Allen
she/her/hers
Program Director
IE United
[REDACTED]

COI Submission: Adelanto




About the Community:

Resilient immigrant community that values diversity, civic engagement, and most importantly the health of our families.

Nearby Areas:

Our current district is too large to adequately represent the values of our community. It should be divided up for added representation.

COI Submission: Bloomington




About the Community:

Diverse immigrant community and rural lifestyle. Self Autonomy is important.

Nearby Areas:

Bloomington should continue to be in San Bernardino County.

COI Submission: Tongan Community 2



About the Community:

Most identify with the same nationality of Tongan. Culture, Church and it important that many have lived in the area for most of their years. This area especially the rochester arear many generations of Tongans live there.


Nearby Areas:

Rialto, Fontana, Etiwanda

Other Comments:

The importance to have a district supervisor advocate for the Pacific Islander community will lead to empowered community to continue to work and contribute to their community and be thriving and valued community members.

COI Submission: Warehousing (Fontana)




About the Community:

50% of people drive out of the area, hot in the summer, dusty in the winter, migrant/transient population, moving up the hill for affordable housing. There is a need for engagement with political officials, congressional district is 4 hours long, traffic, job creation, improve local transportation. Needs of improving local transportation, job creation/economic development, improving local health care, access to higher education (4 year university). There is also growth of warehouses in the region that are a great concern for the community.

Nearby Areas:

Colton, San Bernardino

COI Submission: West Valley Environmental Community




About the Community:

Most of the population are renters. Communities surrounding the airports. These communities are impacted by the airport in the area and communities having some say in how their environment is affected.

Nearby Areas:

While this region has distinct socioeconomic conditions, it would be good to be grouped with cities to the east from Fontana to Redland as the continued expansion of warehousing is a community health factor.

COI Submission: Westside of San Bernardino



About the Community:

This community is united by a large minority population (65% Hispanic and 45% Black residents). Shared interests include health, employment, education, equity and religion. The area is home to a large number of Black churches. Important issues are high crime and lack of public and mental health services in the area.

Nearby Areas:

We want to be in the same district with the major hospital in the area which is Community Hospital of San Bernardino, including the Stater Bros. and El Super grocery store chains.

Other Comments:

The area is economically impoverished and has a high crime rate.

[illegible]

This is a region with high incomes and distinct socioeconomic status.

Chino and Chino Hills should not be grouped with the inland valley region cities of from Ontario and Rancho Cucamonga to Loma Linda.

This is a detailed street map of the Perris, California area. The map shows a grid of streets with labels for various roads. Key features include:


- Streets:** Labeled streets include W 23rd St, E 23rd St, W 18th St, E 18th St, W 17th St, E 17th St, W 16th St, E 16th St, W 15th St, E 15th St, W 14th St, E 14th St, W 13th St, E 13th St, W 12th St, E 12th St, W 11th St, E 11th St, W 10th St, E 10th St, W 9th St, E 9th St, W 8th St, E 8th St, W 7th St, E 7th St, W 6th St, E 6th St, W 5th St, E 5th St, W 4th St, E 4th St, W 3rd St, E 3rd St, W 2nd St, E 2nd St, W 1st St, E 1st St, W 1/2 St, E 1/2 St, W 1/4 St, E 1/4 St, W 1/8 St, E 1/8 St, W 1/16 St, E 1/16 St, W 1/32 St, E 1/32 St, W 1/64 St, E 1/64 St, W 1/128 St, E 1/128 St, W 1/256 St, E 1/256 St, W 1/512 St, E 1/512 St, W 1/1024 St, E 1/1024 St, W 1/2048 St, E 1/2048 St, W 1/4096 St, E 1/4096 St, W 1/8192 St, E 1/8192 St, W 1/16384 St, E 1/16384 St, W 1/32768 St, E 1/32768 St, W 1/65536 St, E 1/65536 St, W 1/131072 St, E 1/131072 St, W 1/262144 St, E 1/262144 St, W 1/524288 St, E 1/524288 St, W 1/1048576 St, E 1/1048576 St, W 1/2097152 St, E 1/2097152 St, W 1/4194304 St, E 1/4194304 St, W 1/8388608 St, E 1/8388608 St, W 1/16777216 St, E 1/16777216 St, W 1/33554432 St, E 1/33554432 St, W 1/67108864 St, E 1/67108864 St, W 1/134217728 St, E 1/134217728 St, W 1/268435456 St, E 1/268435456 St, W 1/536870912 St, E 1/536870912 St, W 1/1073741824 St, E 1/1073741824 St, W 1/2147483648 St, E 1/2147483648 St, W 1/4294967296 St, E 1/4294967296 St, W 1/8589934592 St, E 1/8589934592 St, W 1/17179869184 St, E 1/17179869184 St, W 1/34359738368 St, E 1/34359738368 St, W 1/68719476736 St, E 1/68719476736 St, W 1/137438953472 St, E 1/137438953472 St, W 1/274877906944 St, E 1/274877906944 St, W 1/549755813888 St, E 1/549755813888 St, W 1/1099511627776 St, E 1/1099511627776 St, W 1/2199023255552 St, E 1/2199023255552 St, W 1/4398046511104 St, E 1/4398046511104 St, W 1/8796093022208 St, E 1/8796093022208 St, W 1/17592186044416 St, E 1/17592186044416 St, W 1/35184372088832 St, E 1/35184372088832 St, W 1/70368744177664 St, E 1/70368744177664 St, W 1/140737488355328 St, E 1/140737488355328 St, W 1/281474976710656 St, E 1/281474976710656 St, W 1/562949953421312 St, E 1/562949953421312 St, W 1/1125899906842624 St, E 1/1125899906842624 St, W 1/2251799813685248 St, E 1/2251799813685248 St, W 1/4503599627370496 St, E 1/4503599627370496 St, W 1/9007199254740992 St, E 1/9007199254740992 St, W 1/18014398509481984 St, E 1/18014398509481984 St, W 1/36028797018963968 St, E 1/36028797018963968 St, W 1/72057594037927936 St, E 1/72057594037927936 St, W 1/144115188075855872 St, E 1/144115188075855872 St, W 1/288230376151711744 St, E 1/288230376151711744 St, W 1/576460752303423488 St, E 1/576460752303423488 St, W 1/1152921504606846976 St, E 1/1152921504606846976 St, W 1/2305843009213693952 St, E 1/2305843009213693952 St, W 1/4611686018427387904 St, E 1/4611686018427387904 St, W 1/9223372036854775808 St, E 1/9223372036854775808 St, W 1/18446744073709551616 St, E 1/18446744073709551616 St, W 1/36893488147419103232 St, E 1/36893488147419103232 St, W 1/73786976294838206464 St, E 1/73786976294838206464 St, W 1/147573952589676412928 St, E 1/147573952589676412928 St, W 1/295147905179352825856 St, E 1/295147905179352825856 St, W 1/590295810358705651712 St, E 1/590295810358705651712 St, W 1/1180591620717411303424 St, E 1/1180591620717411303424 St, W 1/2361183241434822606848 St, E 1/2361183241434822606848 St, W 1/4722366482869645213696 St, E 1/4722366482869645213696 St, W 1/9444732965739290427392 St, E 1/9444732965739290427392 St, W 1/18889465931478580854784 St, E 1/18889465931478580854784 St, W 1/37778931862957161709568 St, E 1/37778931862957161709568 St, W 1/75557863725914323419136 St, E 1/75557863725914323419136 St, W 1/151115727451828646838272 St, E 1/151115727451828646838272 St, W 1/302231454903657293676544 St, E 1/302231454903657293676544 St, W 1/604462909807314587353088 St, E 1/604462909807314587353088 St, W 1/1208925819614629174706176 St, E 1/1208925819614629174706176 St, W 1/2417851639229258349412352 St, E 1/2417851639229258349412352 St, W 1/4835703278458516698824704 St, E 1/4835703278458516698824704 St, W 1/9671406556917033397649408 St, E 1/9671406556917033397649408 St, W 1/19342813113834066795298816 St, E 1/19342813113834066795298816 St, W 1/38685626227668133590597632 St, E 1/38685626227668133590597632 St, W 1/77371252455336267181195264 St, E 1/77371252455336267181195264 St, W 1/154742504910672534362390528 St, E 1/154742504910672534362390528 St, W 1/309485009821345068724781056 St, E 1/309485009821345068724781056 St, W 1/618970019642690137449562112 St, E 1/618970019642690137449562112 St, W 1/1237940039285380274899124224 St, E 1/1237940039285380274899124224 St, W 1/2475880078570760549798248448 St, E 1/2475880078570760549

The primary and shared interest within this COI are the lack of city resources to address the over-abundance of residential and commercial properties being used as dumping grounds for garbage, discards, and automotive parts. These images are a constant reminder of how our neighborhoods are underserved and are not essential to the over all well being in this city. City officials often overlook these areas with the least bit of interest until our support is needed for the benefit of self-servitude. There are 6 abandoned properties, some of which have no structures are all less than 1 mile from my residence. Definite lack of small businesses in this area. Resources needed to encourage businesses to establish themselves in these areas. The businesses that are in operation do not bother to clean up their parking lots, which are usually trashed by the homeless population. Shouldn't code enforcement be out enforcing clean up of these establishments?

The cities of Fontana and Rialto should be included (San Bernardino) to be 1 district due the large population of Africa Americans.

Answer: Members of this community would like more attention focused on these areas, they're tired of having to see these properties which have been called, disrespectful, an infringement of their living spaces, lack of acknowledgement from city officials..

COI Submission: Fontana




About the Community:

Recreation, sports activities, shopping districts, local schools and housing.

Nearby Areas:

grocery stores, Jessie Turner Community Center, shopping district and hospital.

COI Submission: Greater High Desert Region




About the Community:

There is a large Black population in the region and a detention facility.

Nearby Areas:

The Inland Valley and this region should be separate regions as they have very different socioeconomic conditions.

COI Submission: Low Income Asian Community



About the Community:

There is a large Asian community in this region that also has a high level of poverty even though the region is economically better than most in the Valley.


Nearby Areas:

Should not be included with Chino and Chino Hills even though there are large shared Asian populations. Socioeconomic conditions are distinctly different.

A rural lifestyle and independence. Spending time with our loved ones in open spaces and good paying jobs.

We should stay with our high desert community specially Victorville and Hesperia.

COI Submission: North Adelanto 2



About the Community:

Active Church-going community. Working class community that commutes out of Adelanto/High Desert for work. Family oriented community.

Nearby Areas:

We should stay with the High Desert community.

COI Submission: North Adelanto 3




About the Community:

Independent, working class community that is active in the church (Catholic and Christian). Taking care of family is the most important aspect of this community.

Nearby Areas:

A district that is capable of bringing better trade jobs and recreational spaces for our children.

COI Submission: North Adelanto 4




About the Community:

Independent, family first community. Working class community that mostly commute out of Adelanto for work. Our children go to school together and we shop in the same stores.

Nearby Areas:

We want to stay united with the High Desert community because they share our values.

COI Submission: North Adelanto 5




About the Community:

Family oriented community. Hard working, Church going, outdoorsy community. Prefer a rural, independent lifestyle.

Nearby Areas:

We should stay with Adelanto and the rest of the High Desert because we have similar values.

COI Submission: SB Air Community




About the Community:

low income community, a lot of mobile home parks, apartments, air port and downtown affect this COI, air quality concerns with airport

Nearby Areas:

we do not want to be w senate district 23, it does not represent our community

COI Submission: Southridge




About the Community:

Diverse ethnic population, green spaces, education

Nearby Areas:

Should be riverside county

COI Submission: The Name




About the Community:

None

Nearby Areas:

None

COI Submission: Tongan Community 1



About the Community:

We are united because we share the same language and culture. Shared interest in this area is the Belleview cemetery is a area that many of our loved ones are laid to rest and is heavily congregated by the Pacific Islander community of Tongans through out the San Bernardino County Pacific Islander (PI)community. Many of our community meet to have church meeting and choir practice in this area. It is important to have our people know their are safe spaces and locations they can meet and share continue to preserve culture. It is also important to be able to have a board of supervisor to advocate for the Pacific Islander community.

Nearby Areas:

Rancho cucamonga we have alot of Pacific Islander community members that are there.

Other Comments:

To be able to have a district that pacific islanders are able to voice concerns and have advocating take place for our community would be new and important to help our communities come out of the shadows and begin find how we can gain more access to resources and have a supervisors work with us to find solutions to get our communities out or poverty, and help us to thrive in our communities. We are hard working, intelligent human beings and deserve the opportunities that others COI communities receive.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 6:23 PM
To: Jim Harvey; redistricting
Subject: RE: Homestead Valley Community Boundaries

Good evening,

We will be providing your comments to the Commission at our upcoming meeting in Hesperia on 9/29 at 1pm. I wanted to provide you with the response from our redistricting consultants regarding your comments.

Unfortunately, there is no way to change what the Census regards as a city or designated place. Essentially, the lines that they see on the map are what we have to use with regard to Census data. That is not to say we cannot strive to keep the area you have identified whole in the maps as they are drawn, only that the Census tells us what the area boundaries are, not the other way around.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Jim Harvey <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 4:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Homestead Valley Community Boundaries

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello

Thank you for your reply. Yes I watched the meeting and saw the reference to our map revision request by the alternate commissioner. We appreciate your immediate attention to this matter.

While I initially sent you the map of HV from the archived 2007 community plan, the designation has not changed. The most current Homestead Valley Community Boundary map is identical and is in the 2020 action guide which can be found here: <http://countywideplan.com/homesteadvalley/>

Click on the map link under "Communities Planning Boundary", or see it directly here:
http://countywideplan.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/Homestead_Valley45-1.jpg

The Homestead Valley Community is comprised of Johnson Valley, Landers, Flamingo Heights, and Yucca Mesa. The designation that the commission sees on the census map being used does not encompass the Homestead Valley community in its entirety. It is very important to us that the commission is aware of this discrepancy in maps and that the redistricting map be edited to reflect the historically correct community boundaries defined in the 2020 SB County Community Plan maps before any decisions are made.

Thank you for your help in this matter.

Jim Harvey
Homestead Valley Community Council
[REDACTED]

On 9/22/2021 1:46 PM, redistricting wrote:

Good afternoon,

As I communicated yesterday, we did provide your map of the Homestead Valley to our Redistricting Consultants. I wanted to provide you with their response as to some possible reasons why there is a discrepancy between the map in the online tool and your map:

I do indeed see the differences in the two maps, the one provided and the one provided in the online tool. I don't necessarily have an answer for Mr. Harvey, other than to point out a couple of reasons this might be the case. First, the lines provided by the Census are typically what are reported by the county to the Census administration for municipality and designated place boundaries. Those are the lines that we have loaded to the online tool, as they are what the Census has reported on. Second, the map provided is a Community Plan from 2007, so may indeed have been the correct lines at the time but has since changed. I do not know if that is the case, but it is another possible reason for the discrepancy

Additionally, your map of Homestead Valley was provided to the Commissioners at the meeting today, 9/22/2021 in Rancho Cucamonga, so they have been made aware of your comments and concerns.

Thank you so much, and have a wonderful day.



From: Jim Harvey [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you for your reply and for accepting our comments. I'm not sure if it matters, but the outline of our community in your interactive map is not correct and excludes much of our community designation. The correct boundaries of Homestead Valley are attached. This map is taken from the SB County General Plan / Community Plan. Please include this in our comments, if possible.

Thank you

Jim Harvey
HVCC

On 9/21/2021 1:13 PM, redistricting wrote:

Good afternoon James,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments will be shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Rancho Cucamonga on 9/22/2021.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or the meeting can be viewed after the meeting is concluded at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.
Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 21, 2021 1:02 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/21/2021

Full Name:: James J Harvey

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: (760) 491-1015

Comment:: I am commenting on behalf of the Homestead Valley Community Council (HVCC). Homestead Valley is a San Bernardino County community designation comprised of the 4 unincorporated communities of Yucca Mesa, Flamingo Heights, Landers, and Johnson Valley in the high desert. HVCC is a 12 member council with delegates from each of the four member communities. We are currently in the 3rd supervisorial district and wish to remain in 3rd district. Additionally, we work closely with Lucerne Valley to the west of us, and we would like to see Lucerne Valley remain in the 3rd district as well. Please keep

Homestead Valley and Lucerne Valley as they are, in 3rd supervisorial district.
Thank you.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 2:34 PM
To: [REDACTED] redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon Bill,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments were shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Hesperia on 9/29. This meeting can be viewed at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2021 5:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 9/24/2021

Full Name:: Bill Lembright

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: Please keep the rural desert communities of Lucerne Valley, Johnson Valley, Homestead Valley, and Morongo Valley together in the Third Supervisorial District as we work together as one community since we have so much in common.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 2:34 PM
To: Dennis Morrison; redistricting
Subject: RE: Keep Lucerne Valley in the 3rd District.

Good afternoon Dennis,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments were shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting at Hesperia on 9/29. This meeting can be viewed at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Dennis Morrison <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 25, 2021 1:25 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Keep Lucerne Valley in the 3rd District.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Lucerne Valley needs to remain in the Third Sup. District - along with Johnson Valley - Homestead Valley - Morongo Valley. We all share the same rural/land-use characteristics and issues - and must remain unified under one District acting together. Other than shopping and medical services - we have little in common with urban Apple Valley.

Dennis Morrison.
Lucerne Valley Resident.

Sent from my iPad

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2021 3:51 PM
To: Sky Allen; redistricting
Subject: RE: Community COI Submission

Sky,
Thank you for sharing. We will be sure these groups are added to our list if not already. Thanks again.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: 9 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 11:05 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Community COI Submission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning Redistricting team!

I hope you all had a wonderful weekend. Please allow me to submit the attached zip folder of COIs from community partners across the county. A number of organizations held community meetings with residents and documented COIs that were identified in those meetings. They are here for your reference and consideration.

All the best,

--
Sky Allen
she/her/hers
Program Director
IE United
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: D2 Intern <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2021 12:19 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com
Subject: MORE Tech Support

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I am having some trouble selecting districts for both the Target and Source using the "Pick Target District" tool. I am using the tool to click on areas within the red lines drawn for the districts and there is no selection being made. How can I select the districts so that I can add them to my Target and Source drop down list? Thank you.

From: D2 Intern <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2021 12:19 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com
Subject: MORE Tech Support

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I am having some trouble selecting districts for both the Target and Source using the "Pick Target District" tool. I am using the tool to click on areas within the red lines drawn for the districts and there is no selection being made. How can I select the districts so that I can add them to my Target and Source drop down list?
Thank you.

--
Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I am having some trouble selecting districts for both the Target and Source using the "Pick Target District" tool. I am using the tool to click on areas within the red lines drawn for the districts and there is no selection being made. How can I select the districts so that I can add them to my Target and Source drop down list?
Thank you.

--

Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I am having some trouble selecting districts for both the Target and Source using the "Pick Target District" tool. I am using the tool to click on areas within the red lines drawn for the districts and there is no selection being made. How can I select the districts so that I can add them to my Target and Source drop down list?
Thank you.

--

Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

--

Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Friday, October 1, 2021 10:56 AM
To: D2 Intern; mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com
Subject: RE: MORE Tech Support

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

Thank you for your question. Please see the response from the Mapitude below:

I think the issue here is that the user is misunderstanding the function of the Pick Target District tool. The Target is the district the person is drawing and the Source is the area that the person is assigning from. The Selection is the geography level the user is selecting with. That geography is limited to Census Block, Block Group, and Tract. Users cannot select by the current district boundary lines since those lines do not follow current census block boundaries.

Please let us know if you have further questions, and we appreciate your engagement in this process.

Thank you,



From: D2 Intern <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2021 12:19 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com
Subject: MORE Tech Support

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I am having some trouble selecting districts for both the Target and Source using the "Pick Target District" tool. I am using the tool to click on areas within the red lines drawn for the districts and there is no selection being made. How can I select the districts so that I can add them to my Target and Source drop down list? Thank you.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 10:36 AM
To: Sky Allen; redistricting
Subject: RE: Community COI Submission

Good morning,

I wanted to follow up on this communication from last week. If you watched the meeting last week, you saw that the Commissioner did not get the actual COI maps from your zip file, just the email.

We are correcting that issue this week, and the Commissioners will have all 20 COI maps from your zip file in their public comment materials this week.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or it can be viewed after the meeting is concluded
at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

Thank you so much for your participation in this process.

Have a wonderful day,



From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 11:05 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Community COI Submission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning Redistricting team!


I hope you all had a wonderful weekend. Please allow me to submit the attached zip folder of COIs from community partners across the county. A number of organizations held community meetings with residents and documented COIs that were identified in those meetings. They are here for your reference and consideration.

All the best,

--

Sky Allen
she/her/hers

Program Director
IE United



Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 11:00 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: Community COI Submission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

Thank you so much for clarifying and ensuring they received them!

All the best,

On Tue, Oct 5, 2021 at 10:35 AM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good morning,

I wanted to follow up on this communication from last week. If you watched the meeting last week, you saw that the Commissioner did not get the actual COI maps from your zip file, just the email.

We are correcting that issue this week, and the Commissioners will have all 20 COI maps from your zip file in their public comment materials this week.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or it can be viewed after the meeting is concluded
at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

Thank you so much for your participation in this process.

Have a wonderful day,



From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 27, 2021 11:05 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Community COI Submission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning Redistricting team!

I hope you all had a wonderful weekend. Please allow me to submit the attached zip folder of COIs from community partners across the county. A number of organizations held community meetings with residents and documented COIs that were identified in those meetings. They are here for your reference and consideration.

All the best,

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Program Director

IE United

[REDACTED]

--

Sky Allen
she/her/hers
Program Director
IE United



Lovell, Alyssa

From: Betsy Starbuck <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 9, 2021 11:46 AM
To: redistricting
Cc: Supervisor Baca; Supervisor Rowe; Supervisor Rutherford; Supervisor Hagman; Supervisor Cook
Subject: Website and Maptitude improvements

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Redistricting Commissioners and staff: The League of Women Voters of the San Bernardino Area has been observing and participating in your process, as well as the State Redistricting Commission, and local cities. We appreciate your attempts to hold evening and/or weekend meetings to accommodate the working population of San Bernardino County.

I played a bit with your Maptitude software. One thing I couldn't find was a set of the current supervisorial district boundaries with the 2020 Census data, showing the deviation from ideal population. Could you please make that available?

Also, we are recommending that you copy the COI input process used by the State Redistricting Commission. It seemed to be well utilized by the various populations. They held several by regions. You might want to refer to their process. Imitation is the sincerest form of flattery!

Thank you for your commitment to fair and transparent redistricting,

Betsy Starbuck, President
LWV of the San Bernardino Area
[REDACTED]



Virus-free. www.avg.com

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Giovanni Ruiz [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 10, 2021 9:28 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com; redistricting
Subject: Shape files for the Supervisor Draft Maps
Attachments: Yucca-Valley-Advisory-Redistricting-Commission-Agenda_10-13-2021-Updated-100821-v1-combined-1.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

My name is Oscar G Ruiz, I am with IE United, a nonprofit from the area doing analysis for redistricting. I was wondering if it would be possible to have the shape files for the new supervisorial maps proposed in the PDF attached.

Thank you for your time, I look forward to hearing from you.

- Oscar G Ruiz



SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY ADVISORY REDISTRICTING COMMISSION MEETING

**Yucca Valley Council Chambers
57090 Twentynine Palms Highway
Yucca Valley, CA 92284**

Agenda for Wednesday, October 13, 2021

The Advisory Redistricting Commission will facilitate numerous public hearings throughout San Bernardino County to engage the public in the redistricting process of the Supervisorial Districts.

The following applies to all meetings or public hearings:

- (1) The public may view the live stream of the Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>.
- (2) If you wish to make a comment, prior to the Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting, please submit comments via U.S. Mail*, email to the Advisory Redistricting Commission Secretary at redistricting@sbcounty.gov or online at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/public-comments/>. Comments received before 5 PM the day before the meeting will be submitted to the Commission prior to the meeting. Comments received after 5 PM the day before the meeting will be submitted to the Commission after the meeting. All comments will be placed into the record of the meeting.
- (3) If you are watching the live stream of the meeting and wish to make a general public comment, please submit your comment, to the Advisory Redistricting Commission Secretary at redistricting@sbcounty.gov. Public Comments received five days prior to and through the end of the scheduled meeting will be made part of the record.
- (4) If you wish to draw a map and submit it to the Commission for consideration, please do so at: <https://drawsbcounty.redistrictinginsights.com/>
- (5) If attending the meeting in person, the meeting will be held in the Yucca Valley Council Chambers located in the City of Yucca Valley. Please be advised, by entering the facility without a mask you are attesting that you have been fully vaccinated against COVID-19. Facial coverings and social distancing are required for those who have not been vaccinated.

*Public comments may be submitted via U.S. Mail to:
San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission Secretary
385 N. Arrowhead Ave, 5th Fl.,
San Bernardino, CA 92415

Comments submitted are maintained with the record.

The Chair will limit time allowed for such comments to three (3) minutes per speaker. No action will be taken at this meeting on comments. Any person wanting to address the Commission must submit a Request to Speak form to the Secretary. Requests must be submitted to the Secretary before the item is called for consideration.

The Chair will call speakers forward to present their comments at the appropriate time. When called, approach the podium and be prepared to speak. Speakers may address the Commission for up to three (3) minutes per item, unless it is determined that a different limit is appropriate. Speakers are to address the Commission as a whole through the Chair. Comments to individual Commission Members and/or staff are not permitted. Due to time constraints and the number of persons wishing to give testimony, time restrictions may be placed on oral testimony regarding the items on the agenda. You may wish to make your comments electronically or in writing to assure that you are able to express yourself adequately.

ADVISORY REDISTRICTING COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA

October 13, 2021

Page 2 of 3

ADA Accessibility: If you require a reasonable modification or accommodation for a disability, please call the Clerk of the Board at 909-387-3841 to request an accommodation. Three days' notice prior to the Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting is required.

Live translation services are available, if requested 72 hours in advance. Contact the Clerk of the Board at 909-387-3841 for more information.

The agenda, its supporting documents and all writings and maps received by the Advisory Redistricting Commission are public records and available for review during regular business hours at the Clerk of the Board's office on the 2nd floor of the County Government Center. The agenda and its supporting documents, along with live and archived video of the meeting, will be maintained on the redistricting website for ten years and can be viewed online at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/>.

In accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act and Elections Code section 21508, this meeting agenda is posted at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.

1:00 P.M. – MEETING OF THE ADVISORY REDISTRICTING COMMISSION – Yucca Valley City Council Chambers

INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

1. APPROVE OCTOBER 06, 2021 COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES

2. RECEIVE REPORT FROM COMMISSIONERS WHO ATTENDED COMMUNITY EVENTS

(Presenter: Commissioners)

3. REVIEW DRAFT MAP AND POSSIBLE MODIFICATION: KING_V1_COMBINED

(Presenter: Matt Rexroad and Fabian Valdez, Jr. Redistricting Insights)

4. REVIEW DRAFT MAP AND POSSIBLE MODIFICATION:

SB_RI_PROPOSAL_V1_COMBINED

(Presenter: Matt Rexroad and Fabian Valdez, Jr., Redistricting Insights)

5. REVIEW DRAFT MAP AND POSSIBLE MODIFICATION:

SB_RI_PROPOSAL_V2_COMBINED

(Presenter: Matt Rexroad and Fabian Valdez, Jr., Redistricting Insights)

6. REVIEW DRAFT MAP AND POSSIBLE MODIFICATION:

SB_RI_PROPOSAL_V3_COMBINED

(Presenter: Matt Rexroad and Fabian Valdez, Jr., Redistricting Insights)

7. REVIEW DRAFT MAP AND POSSIBLE MODIFICATION:

PUBLIC_SUBMISSION_1_COMBINED

(Presenter: Matt Rexroad and Fabian Valdez, Jr., Redistricting Insights)

8. PROVIDE DIRECTION TO REDISTRICTING INSIGHTS ABOUT POSSIBLE DRAFT MAPS TO BE PRESENTED TO THE COMMISSION AT FUTURE MEETING

(Presenter: Judge Davis)

ADVISORY REDISTRICTING COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA

October 13, 2021

Page 3 of 3

9. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENTS: This is an opportunity for the public to speak on any matter that is within the Commission's jurisdiction.

COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

STAFF COMMENTS


ADJOURNMENT

San Bernardino County
Advisory Redistricting Commission
October 13, 2021
Meeting Materials

Item 3


- **Map: King_v1_Combined**

DRAFT



DRAFT


District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
Population	416808
Deviation	-19,523
% Deviation	-4.47%
White	169965
% White	40.78%
Black	45878
% Black	11.01%
AmIndian	7979
% AmIndian	1.91%
Asian	14408
% Asian	3.46%
Hawaiian	1713
% Hawaiian	0.41%
Other	113002
% Other	27.11%
Hispanic Origin	211201
% Hispanic Origin	50.67%
CVAP_19	247919
% CVAP_19	59.48%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	108534
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	43.78%
NH_Blkl_CVAP_19	26110
% NH_Blkl_CVAP_19	10.53%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1042
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.42%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	6397
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2.58%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	587
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
Hsp_CVAP_19	100093
% Hsp_CVAP_19	40.37%

DRAFT

District: 2




Field	Value
District	2
Population	452314
Deviation	15,983
% Deviation	3.66%
White	158072
% White	34.95%
Black	37437
% Black	8.28%
AmIndian	7416
% AmIndian	1.64%
Asian	49604
% Asian	10.97%
Hawaiian	1185
% Hawaiian	0.26%
Other	119820
% Other	26.49%
Hispanic Origin	236452
% Hispanic Origin	52.28%
CVAP_19	290988
% CVAP_19	64.33%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	97466
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	33.49%
NH_Blkc_CVAP_19	27260
% NH_Blkc_CVAP_19	9.37%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	835
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.29%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	22936
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	7.88%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	661
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
Hsp_CVAP_19	135420
% Hsp_CVAP_19	46.54%

District: 3

Field	Value
District	3
Population	427168
Deviation	-9,163
% Deviation	-2.1%
White	234057
% White	54.79%
Black	26954
% Black	6.31%
AmIndian	7403
% AmIndian	1.73%
Asian	27938
% Asian	6.54%
Hawaiian	1831
% Hawaiian	0.43%
Other	66572
% Other	15.58%
Hispanic Origin	149608
% Hispanic Origin	35.02%
CVAP_19	285719
% CVAP_19	66.89%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	163059
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.07%
NH_Blkl_CVAP_19	16893
% NH_Blkl_CVAP_19	5.91%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2173
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.76%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14734
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	5.16%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1751
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.61%
Hsp_CVAP_19	80484
% Hsp_CVAP_19	28.17%

DRAFT


District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
Population	431960
Deviation	-4,371
% Deviation	-1%
White	110952
% White	25.69%
Black	24875
% Black	5.76%
AmIndian	8150
% AmIndian	1.89%
Asian	73838
% Asian	17.09%
Hawaiian	1032
% Hawaiian	0.24%
Other	135209
% Other	31.3%
Hispanic Origin	252062
% Hispanic Origin	58.35%
CVAP_19	275765
% CVAP_19	63.84%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	71305
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	25.86%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	17403
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.31%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1031
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.37%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35530
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.88%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	666
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
Hsp_CVAP_19	143473
% Hsp_CVAP_19	52.03%

DRAFT

District: 5




Field	Value
District	5
Population	453404
Deviation	17,073
% Deviation	3.91%
White	109645
% White	24.18%
Black	49414
% Black	10.9%
AmIndian	10715
% AmIndian	2.36%
Asian	16499
% Asian	3.64%
Hawaiian	1700
% Hawaiian	0.37%
Other	186537
% Other	41.14%
Hispanic Origin	321590
% Hispanic Origin	70.93%
CVAP_19	263802
% CVAP_19	58.18%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55019
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	20.86%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	37820
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	14.34%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	814
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.31%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9363
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3.55%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	683
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.26%
Hsp_CVAP_19	155143
% Hsp_CVAP_19	58.81%

San Bernardino County
Advisory Redistricting Commission
October 13, 2021
Meeting Materials

Item 4


- **Map: SB_RI_Proposal_v1_Combined**

DRAFT



District: 1

DRAFT




Field	Value
District	1
Population	452366
Deviation	16,035
% Deviation	3.67%
White	184832
% White	40.86%
Black	51496
% Black	11.38%
AmIndian	8901
% AmIndian	1.97%
Asian	15357
% Asian	3.39%
Hawaiian	2133
% Hawaiian	0.47%
Other	119855
% Other	26.5%
CVAP_19	270600
% CVAP_19	59.82%
NH_CVAP_19	161496
% NH_CVAP_19	59.68%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1408
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.52%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	6768
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2.5%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	28846
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	10.66%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	742
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.27%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	117806
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	43.54%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1048
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.39%
Hsp_CVAP_19	109115
% Hsp_CVAP_19	40.32%



DRAFT


District: 2



Field	Value
District	2
Population	427238
Deviation	-9,093
% Deviation	-2.08%
White	129934
% White	30.41%
Black	41835
% Black	9.79%
AmIndian	8945
% AmIndian	2.09%
Asian	23055
% Asian	5.4%
Hawaiian	1473
% Hawaiian	0.34%
Other	151105
% Other	35.37%
CVAP_19	253824
% CVAP_19	59.41%
NH_CVAP_19	126963
% NH_CVAP_19	50.02%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	878
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.35%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11649
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4.59%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	31402
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	12.37%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	901
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.35%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	75905
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	29.9%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1246
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.49%
Hsp_CVAP_19	126956
% Hsp_CVAP_19	50.02%

DRAFT

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
Population	440035
Deviation	3,704
% Deviation	0.85%
White	247036
% White	56.14%
Black	24436
% Black	5.55%
AmIndian	6608
% AmIndian	1.5%
Asian	36969
% Asian	8.4%
Hawaiian	1489
% Hawaiian	0.34%
Other	56460
% Other	12.83%
CVAP_19	307992
% CVAP_19	69.99%
NH_CVAP_19	222257
% NH_CVAP_19	72.16%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1857
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.6%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18727
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	6.08%
NH_Blk_CVAP_19	18132
% NH_Blk_CVAP_19	5.89%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1293
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.42%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	175297
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.92%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1799
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.58%
Hsp_CVAP_19	85670
% Hsp_CVAP_19	27.82%

DRAFT

District: 4

Field	Value
District	4
Population	432186
Deviation	-4.145
% Deviation	-0.95%
White	115144
% White	26.64%
Black	26721
% Black	6.18%
AmIndian	7904
% AmIndian	1.83%
Asian	75115
% Asian	17.38%
Hawaiian	1049
% Hawaiian	0.24%
Other	129720
% Other	30.01%
CVAP_19	278306
% CVAP_19	64.39%
NH_CVAP_19	138780
% NH_CVAP_19	49.87%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1171
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.42%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	36057
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.96%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	18464
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.63%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	750
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.27%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	75837
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	27.25%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1264
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.45%
Hsp_CVAP_19	139496
% Hsp_CVAP_19	50.12%



Map layers


- Indian Reservation
- City/Town
- Districts

0 1.5 3 Miles

©2021 CALIPER

DRAFT

District: 5




Field	Value
District	5
Population	429829
Deviation	-6,502
% Deviation	-1.49%
White	105745
% White	24.6%
Black	40070
% Black	9.32%
AmIndian	9305
% AmIndian	2.16%
Asian	31791
% Asian	7.4%
Hawaiian	1317
% Hawaiian	0.31%
Other	164000
% Other	38.15%
CVAP_19	253471
% CVAP_19	58.97%
NH_CVAP_19	100040
% NH_CVAP_19	39.47%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	581
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.23%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15759
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	6.22%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	28642
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	11.3%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	662
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.26%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50538
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	19.94%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1005
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.4%
Hsp_CVAP_19	153376
% Hsp_CVAP_19	60.51%

San Bernardino County
Advisory Redistricting Commission
October 13, 2021
Meeting Materials

Item 5


- **Map: SB_RI_Proposal_v2_Combined**

DRAFT



DRAFT


District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
Population	455049
Deviation	18,718
% Deviation	4.29%
White	200245
% White	44.01%
Black	45735
% Black	10.05%
AmIndian	8330
% AmIndian	1.83%
Asian	14913
% Asian	3.28%
Hawaiian	1772
% Hawaiian	0.39%
Other	115459
% Other	25.37%
CVAP_19	270936
% CVAP_19	59.54%
NH_CVAP_19	167153
% NH_CVAP_19	61.69%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1337
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.49%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	6762
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2.5%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	25940
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	9.57%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	663
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	126846
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	46.82%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1055
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.39%
Hsp_CVAP_19	103802
% Hsp_CVAP_19	38.31%

DRAFT


District: 2



Field	Value
District	2
Population	451620
Deviation	15,289
% Deviation	3.5%
White	158073
% White	35%
Black	39165
% Black	8.67%
AmIndian	7232
% AmIndian	1.6%
Asian	50960
% Asian	11.28%
Hawaiian	1199
% Hawaiian	0.27%
Other	115849
% Other	25.65%
CVAP_19	293598
% CVAP_19	65.01%
NH_CVAP_19	158294
% NH_CVAP_19	53.92%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	833
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.28%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	23409
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	7.97%
NH_Blk_CVAP_19	29031
% NH_Blk_CVAP_19	9.89%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	571
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.19%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	97731
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	33.29%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1726
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.59%
Hsp_CVAP_19	135253
% Hsp_CVAP_19	46.07%

DRAFT

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
Population	423732
Deviation	-12,599
% Deviation	-2.89%
White	215092
% White	50.76%
Black	33240
% Black	7.84%
AmIndian	7943
% AmIndian	1.87%
Asian	28134
% Asian	6.64%
Hawaiian	2051
% Hawaiian	0.48%
Other	74764
% Other	17.64%
CVAP_19	290719
% CVAP_19	68.61%
NH_CVAP_19	202070
% NH_CVAP_19	69.51%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2138
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.74%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14829
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	5.1%
NH_Blk_CVAP_19	22958
% NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.9%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1867
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.64%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	153596
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	52.83%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1303
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.45%
Hsp_CVAP_19	88560
% Hsp_CVAP_19	30.46%

District: 4




Field	Value
District	4
Population	418744
Deviation	-17,587
% Deviation	-4.03%
White	107662
% White	25.71%
Black	22294
% Black	5.32%
AmIndian	7945
% AmIndian	1.9%
Asian	71553
% Asian	17.09%
Hawaiian	992
% Hawaiian	0.24%
Other	133218
% Other	31.81%
CVAP_19	265200
% CVAP_19	63.33%
NH_CVAP_19	126346
% NH_CVAP_19	47.64%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1013
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.38%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34450
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.99%
NH_Blck_CVAP_19	14904
% NH_Blck_CVAP_19	5.62%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	711
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.27%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	69425
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	26.18%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1183
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.45%
Hsp_CVAP_19	138819
% Hsp_CVAP_19	52.35%

District: 5

DRAFT

Field	Value
District	5
Population	432509
Deviation	-3,822
% Deviation	-0.88%
White	101619
% White	23.5%
Black	44124
% Black	10.2%
AmIndian	10213
% AmIndian	2.36%
Asian	16727
% Asian	3.87%
Hawaiian	1447
% Hawaiian	0.33%
Other	181850
% Other	42.05%
CVAP_19	243740
% CVAP_19	56.35%
NH_CVAP_19	95673
% NH_CVAP_19	39.25%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	574
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.24%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9510
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3.9%
NH_Bik_CVAP_19	32653
% NH_Bik_CVAP_19	13.4%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	536
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47785
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	19.6%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1095
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.45%
Hsp_CVAP_19	148179
% Hsp_CVAP_19	60.79%



©2021 CALIPER

Map layers

- Indian Reservation
- City/Town
- Districts


0 1.5 3 Miles

San Bernardino County
Advisory Redistricting Commission
October 13, 2021
Meeting Materials

Item 6


- **Map: SB_RI_Proposal_v3_Combined**

DRAFT



DRAFT


District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
Population	451522
Deviation	15,191
% Deviation	3.48%
White	220971
% White	48.94%
Black	49863
% Black	11.04%
AmIndian	9144
% AmIndian	2.03%
Asian	15593
% Asian	3.45%
Hawaiian	2544
% Hawaiian	0.56%
Other	87844
% Other	19.46%
CVAP_19	281718
% CVAP_19	62.39%
NH_CVAP_19	194163
% NH_CVAP_19	68.92%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2286
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.81%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	7295
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2.59%
NH_Blk_CVAP_19	28942
% NH_Blk_CVAP_19	10.27%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1239
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.44%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	147611
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	52.4%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1346
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.48%
Hsp_CVAP_19	87492
% Hsp_CVAP_19	31.06%

District: 2

DRAFT




Field	Value
District	2
Population	419315
Deviation	-17,016
% Deviation	-3.9%
White	183477
% White	43.76%
Black	31454
% Black	7.5%
AmIndian	5885
% AmIndian	1.4%
Asian	41342
% Asian	9.86%
Hawaiian	1043
% Hawaiian	0.25%
Other	86494
% Other	20.63%
CVAP_19	281362
% CVAP_19	67.1%
NH_CVAP_19	174703
% NH_CVAP_19	62.09%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1004
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.36%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19811
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	7.04%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	22818
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	8.11%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	792
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.28%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	123954
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	44.05%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1624
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.58%
Hsp_CVAP_19	106663
% Hsp_CVAP_19	37.91%

©2021 CALIPER




DRAFT

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
Population	461215
Deviation	24,884
% Deviation	5.7%
White	169650
% White	36.78%
Black	42180
% Black	9.15%
AmIndian	8503
% AmIndian	1.84%
Asian	30425
% Asian	6.6%
Hawaiian	1513
% Hawaiian	0.33%
Other	135157
% Other	29.3%
CVAP_19	284914
% CVAP_19	61.77%
NH_CVAP_19	163148
% NH_CVAP_19	57.26%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	938
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.33%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16191
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	5.68%
NH_Blk_CVAP_19	30910
% NH_Blk_CVAP_19	10.85%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1257
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.44%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	106886
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	37.52%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1369
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.48%
Hsp_CVAP_19	121749
% Hsp_CVAP_19	42.73%

Field	Value
District	4
Population	421321
Deviation	-15,010
% Deviation	-3.44%
White	111111
% White	26.37%
Black	25083
% Black	5.95%
AmIndian	7777
% AmIndian	1.85%
Asian	73953
% Asian	17.55%
Hawaiian	1023
% Hawaiian	0.24%
Other	127814
% Other	30.34%
CVAP_19	269989
% CVAP_19	64.08%
NH_CVAP_19	133806
% NH_CVAP_19	49.56%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1145
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.42%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35646
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.2%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	17117
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.34%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	679
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.25%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	73121
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	27.08%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1204
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.45%
Hsp_CVAP_19	136191
% Hsp_CVAP_19	50.44%



District: 5

DRAFT



Field	Value
District	5
Population	428281
Deviation	-8,050
% Deviation	-1.84%
White	97482
% White	22.76%
Black	35978
% Black	8.4%
AmIndian	10354
% AmIndian	2.42%
Asian	20974
% Asian	4.9%
Hawaiian	1338
% Hawaiian	0.31%
Other	183831
% Other	42.92%
CVAP_19	246210
% CVAP_19	57.49%
NH_CVAP_19	83716
% NH_CVAP_19	34%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	522
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.21%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10017
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4.07%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	25699
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	10.44%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	381
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.15%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	43811
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	17.79%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	819
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.33%
Hsp_CVAP_19	162518
% Hsp_CVAP_19	66.01%

©2021 CALIPER




San Bernardino County
Advisory Redistricting Commission
October 13, 2021
Meeting Materials

Item 7


- **Map: Public_Submission_1_Combined**

DRAFT



DRAFT


District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
Population	432816
Deviation	-3,515
% Deviation	-0.81%
White	179733
% White	41.53%
Black	47251
% Black	10.92%
AmIndian	8399
% AmIndian	1.94%
Asian	15092
% Asian	3.49%
Hawaiian	1884
% Hawaiian	0.44%
Other	114514
% Other	26.46%
CVAP_19	258951
% CVAP_19	59.83%
NH_CVAP_19	156413
% NH_CVAP_19	60.4%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	114884
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	44.37%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	27204
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	10.51%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1140
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.44%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	6925
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2.67%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	591
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1203
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.46%
Hsp_CVAP_19	102578
% Hsp_CVAP_19	39.61%

DRAFT

District: 2



Field	Value
District	2
Population	450015
Deviation	13,684
% Deviation	3.14%
White	152377
% White	33.86%
Black	37273
% Black	8.28%
AmIndian	7454
% AmIndian	1.66%
Asian	49123
% Asian	10.92%
Hawaiian	1167
% Hawaiian	0.26%
Other	123599
% Other	27.47%
CVAP_19	290003
% CVAP_19	64.44%
NH_CVAP_19	150645
% NH_CVAP_19	51.95%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	92203
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	31.79%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	27815
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	9.59%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	832
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.29%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	22813
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	7.87%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	667
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1726
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.6%
Hsp_CVAP_19	139318
% Hsp_CVAP_19	48.04%

DRAFT


District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
Population	432154
Deviation	-4,177
% Deviation	-0.96%
White	233035
% White	53.92%
Black	28233
% Black	6.53%
AmIndian	7280
% AmIndian	1.68%
Asian	30313
% Asian	7.01%
Hawaiian	1782
% Hawaiian	0.41%
Other	68260
% Other	15.8%
CVAP_19	291740
% CVAP_19	67.51%
NH_CVAP_19	207297
% NH_CVAP_19	71.06%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	163717
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.12%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	17349
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	5.95%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2095
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.72%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15927
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	5.46%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1861
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.64%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1118
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.38%
Hsp_CVAP_19	84407
% Hsp_CVAP_19	28.93%

District: 4

DRAFT




Field	Value
District	4
Population	434318
Deviation	-2,013
% Deviation	-0.46%
White	116757
% White	26.88%
Black	25035
% Black	5.76%
AmIndian	8114
% AmIndian	1.87%
Asian	74277
% Asian	17.1%
Hawaiian	1050
% Hawaiian	0.24%
Other	131435
% Other	30.26%
CVAP_19	276789
% CVAP_19	63.73%
NH_CVAP_19	137200
% NH_CVAP_19	49.57%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	76650
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	27.69%
NH_Blck_CVAP_19	16846
% NH_Blck_CVAP_19	6.09%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	1035
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.37%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35629
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.87%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	660
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1304
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.47%
Hsp_CVAP_19	139563
% Hsp_CVAP_19	50.42%

©2021 CALIPER



DRAFT

District: 5



Field	Value
District	5
Population	432351
Deviation	-3,980
% Deviation	-0.91%
White	100789
% White	23.31%
Black	46766
% Black	10.82%
AmIndian	10416
% AmIndian	2.41%
Asian	13482
% Asian	3.12%
Hawaiian	1578
% Hawaiian	0.36%
Other	183332
% Other	42.4%
CVAP_19	246710
% CVAP_19	57.06%
NH_CVAP_19	97981
% NH_CVAP_19	39.72%
NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47929
% NH_Wht_CVAP_19	19.43%
NH_BlK_CVAP_19	36272
% NH_BlK_CVAP_19	14.7%
NH_Ind_CVAP_19	793
% NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.32%
NH_Asn_CVAP_19	7666
% NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3.11%
NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	569
% NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	1011
% NH_OthMR_CVAP_19	0.41%
Hsp_CVAP_19	148747
% Hsp_CVAP_19	60.29%

To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>

Cc: Supervisor Baca <[REDACTED]>; Supervisor Rowe

<[REDACTED]>; Supervisor Rutherford <[REDACTED]>;

Supervisor Hagman <[REDACTED]>; Supervisor Cook

<[REDACTED]>

Subject: Website and Maptitude improvements

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Redistricting Commissioners and staff: The League of Women Voters of the San Bernardino Area has been observing and participating in your process, as well as the State Redistricting Commission, and local cities. We appreciate your attempts to hold evening and/or weekend meetings to accommodate the working population of San Bernardino County.

I played a bit with your Maptitude software. One thing I couldn't find was a set of the current supervisorial district boundaries with the 2020 Census data, showing the deviation from ideal population. Could you please make that available?

Also, we are recommending that you copy the COI input process used by the State Redistricting Commission. It seemed to be well utilized by the various populations. They held several by regions. You might want to refer to their process. Imitation is the sincerest form of flattery!

Thank you for your commitment to fair and transparent redistricting,

Betsy Starbuck, President

LWV of the San Bernardino Area

[REDACTED]



Virus-free. www.avg.com

--

Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Fabian Valdez [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 3:16 PM
To: redistricting
Cc: Matt Rexroad
Subject: Re: FW: Shape files for the Supervisor Draft Maps

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Yes, is shape file preferred or is there another file type they would like?

On Tue, Oct 12, 2021 at 3:13 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Are you able to provide the shape files for these maps?

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams

Chief of Administration

County Administrative Office

Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov

From: Giovanni Ruiz [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 10, 2021 9:28 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Shape files for the Supervisor Draft Maps

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

My name is Oscar G Ruiz, I am with IE United, a nonprofit from the area doing analysis for redistricting. I was wondering if it would be possible to have the shape files for the new supervisorial maps proposed in the PDF attached.

Thank you for your time, I look forward to hearing from you.

- Oscar G Ruiz

--

Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

Pamela S. Williams

Chief of Administration

County Administrative Office

Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov

From: Betsy Starbuck <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 9, 2021 11:46 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Cc: Supervisor Baca <[REDACTED]>; Supervisor Rowe <[REDACTED]>;
Supervisor Rutherford <[REDACTED]>; Supervisor Hagman
<[REDACTED]>; Supervisor Cook <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Website and Maptitude improvements

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Redistricting Commissioners and staff: The League of Women Voters of the San Bernardino Area has been observing and participating in your process, as well as the State Redistricting Commission, and local cities. We appreciate your attempts to hold evening and/or weekend meetings to accommodate the working population of San Bernardino County.

I played a bit with your Maptitude software. One thing I couldn't find was a set of the current supervisorial district boundaries with the 2020 Census data, showing the deviation from ideal population. Could you please make that available?

Also, we are recommending that you copy the COI input process used by the State Redistricting Commission. It seemed to be well utilized by the various populations. They held several by regions. You might want to refer to their process. Imitation is the sincerest form of flattery!

Thank you for your commitment to fair and transparent redistricting,

Betsy Starbuck, President

LWV of the San Bernardino Area



Virus-free. www.avg.com

--
Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 4:23 PM
To: Betsy Starbuck; redistricting
Cc: Supervisor Baca; Supervisor Rowe; Supervisor Rutherford; Supervisor Hagman; Supervisor Cook; Williams, Pamela
Subject: RE: Website and Maptitude improvements

Good afternoon,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. We greatly appreciate your input.

Unfortunately, due to changes in the census block shapes between 2010 and 2020, an exact recreation of the current Supervisorial District lines is not possible. Maptitude recently created a visual layer for new maps that show the current Supervisorial District lines for reference only. The user can then use that visual layer for reference to go through the process of creating a new map along those lines, as close as possible. Once the user created map is completed, you'll be able to see the deviation from ideal populations. But the end result will not match 100% the current district lines due to the changes in the census block shapes between 2010 and 2020.

Thank you again for participating in this process, and have a wonderful day.

Andrew Mills

Librarian I

San Bernardino County Library Administration



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov



County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

From: Betsy Starbuck <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 9, 2021 11:46 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Cc: Supervisor Baca <[REDACTED]>; Supervisor Rowe <[REDACTED]>; Supervisor Rutherford <[REDACTED]>; Supervisor Hagman <[REDACTED]>; Supervisor Cook <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Website and Maptitude improvements

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Redistricting Commissioners and staff: The League of Women Voters of the San Bernardino Area has been observing and participating in your process, as well as the State Redistricting Commission, and local cities. We appreciate your attempts to hold evening and/or weekend meetings to accommodate the working population of San Bernardino County.

I played a bit with your Maptitude software. One thing I couldn't find was a set of the current supervisorial district boundaries with the 2020 Census data, showing the deviation from ideal population. Could you please make that available?

Also, we are recommending that you copy the COI input process used by the State Redistricting Commission. It seemed to be well utilized by the various populations. They held several by regions. You might want to refer to their process. Imitation is the sincerest form of flattery!

Thank you for your commitment to fair and transparent redistricting,

Betsy Starbuck, President
LWV of the San Bernardino Area



Virus-free. www.avg.com

From: Giovanni Ruiz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 10, 2021 9:28 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com; redistricting <redistricting@sbccounty.gov>
Subject: Shape files for the Supervisor Draft Maps

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

My name is Oscar G Ruiz, I am with IE United, a nonprofit from the area doing analysis for redistricting. I was wondering if it would be possible to have the shape files for the new supervisorial maps proposed in the PDF attached.

Thank you for your time, I look forward to hearing from you.

- Oscar G Ruiz

--

Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

--

Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 4:54 PM
To: Giovanni Ruiz; mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com; redistricting
Subject: RE: Shape files for the Supervisor Draft Maps
Attachments: San Bernardino Plan Shapefiles.zip

Thank you for your email Giovanni,

Attached are the shape file for the requested maps.

Thank you for your interest in this process and have a great day!

Alyssa Lovell
Librarian I
Hesperia Branch Library



From: Giovanni Ruiz <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 10, 2021 9:28 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Shape files for the Supervisor Draft Maps

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

My name is Oscar G Ruiz, I am with IE United, a nonprofit from the area doing analysis for redistricting. I was wondering if it would be possible to have the shape files for the new supervisorial maps proposed in the PDF attached.

Thank you for your time, I look forward to hearing from you.

- Oscar G Ruiz

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Jo Ann Bollen [REDACTED] >
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2021 8:53 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Census Data

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I have been following along with redistricting and am wondering when we citizens can view the census data that is available when we use your map drawing software online. Many local people I know who are following this would like to see the SB County 2020 Census Data on its own.

Thank you,
Jo Ann Bollen
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 8:25 AM
To: Jo Ann Bollen; redistricting
Subject: RE: Census Data

Hello Jo Ann,

There are a few different places you can find the 2020 Census data:

- 1) In the Maptitude software
- 2) Redistricting Insights provided a report on the 2020 Census data at the August 19, 2021 meeting in Barstow. That report is included with the Meeting Minutes. You can find those Meeting Minutes on our Redistricting website under either:
 - a. Calendar – Past Meetings: https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past
 - b. Agendas/Minutes: <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/agendas-minutes/>
 - c. Please note:
 - i. One part of the original report had some errors in it, which were corrected during the presentation. So, when you are looking at the minutes, you'll see both versions of the report. The corrected info is under "Item 3: Presentation Regarding the 2020 Census Data (With data corrected during presentation)"
- 3) All 2020 Census data is housed in a central location that is available to the public. This is where Redistricting Insights gets their data, and you are welcome to look at the data here, too.
 - a. <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/2020-census-main.html>

Your question was also made part of the 10/14/21 Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting's Public Communication and made available to the Commissioners.

Thank you for your participation in this process, and have a wonderful day!



From: Jo Ann Bollen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2021 8:53 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Census Data

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I have been following along with redistricting and am wondering when we citizens can view the census data that is available when we use your map drawing software online. Many local people I know who are following this would like to see the SB County 2020 Census Data on its own.

Thank you,
Jo Ann Bollen



[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2021 8:00 AM
To: redistricting
Cc: Williams, Pamela; Michael Gomez Daly
Subject: Supervisorial Map Submission
Attachments: SB Final BoS.pdf; San Bernardino County Narrative.pdf; SB BoS Final.zip

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

I hope this email finds you well. Here I have included the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub's Supervisorial Map Proposal. You can find a PDF of the maps, our narrative supporting them, and corresponding shapefiles and block equivalency files.

Please let me know if there is anything else we can provide you with.


All the best,

--

*Sky Allen
she/her/hers
Program Director
IE United*


[REDACTED]

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
Pop20	440128
Deviation	4,098
% Deviation	0.94%
PopCvap19	260582
HspCvap19	105502
% HspCvap19	40.49%
IndCvap19	1583
% IndCvap19	0.61%
APICvap19	7357
% APICvap19	2.82%
BlkCvap19	29043
% BlkCvap19	11.15%
WhtCvap19	110740
% WhtCvap19	42.5%
OtherCvap1	5895
% OtherCvap1	2.26%
Hsp20	220703
% Hsp20	50.15%
Ind20	2817
% Ind20	0.64%
API20	15836
% API20	3.6%
Blk20	49264
% Blk20	11.19%
Wht20	131591
% Wht20	29.9%
Oth20	2793
% Oth20	0.63%



District: 2



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
Pop20	432420
Deviation	-3,610
% Deviation	-0.83%
PopCvap19	272952
HspCvap19	144901
% HspCvap19	53.09%
IndCvap19	746
% IndCvap19	0.27%
APICvap19	19451
% APICvap19	7.13%
BlkCvap19	27170
% BlkCvap19	9.95%
WhtCvap19	74820
% WhtCvap19	27.41%
OtherCvap1	5305
% OtherCvap1	1.94%
Hsp20	255206
% Hsp20	59.02%
Ind20	994
% Ind20	0.23%
API20	40771
% API20	9.43%
Blk20	34294
% Blk20	7.93%
Wht20	87117
% Wht20	20.15%
Oth20	2373
% Oth20	0.55%


District: 3



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	3
Pop20	435216
Deviation	-814
% Deviation	-0.19%
PopCvap19	305313
HspCvap19	78455
% HspCvap19	25.7%
IndCvap19	1256
% IndCvap19	0.41%
APICvap19	20415
% APICvap19	6.69%
BlkCvap19	14133
% BlkCvap19	4.63%
WhtCvap19	184273
% WhtCvap19	60.36%
OtherCvap1	5938
% OtherCvap1	1.94%
Hsp20	134399
% Hsp20	30.88%
Ind20	2067
% Ind20	0.47%
API20	36360
% API20	8.35%
Blk20	19940
% Blk20	4.58%
Wht20	219613
% Wht20	50.46%
Oth20	2711
% Oth20	0.62%

District: 4




Field	Value
District	4
Pop20	430529
Deviation	-5,501
% Deviation	-1.26%
PopCvap19	271173
HspCvap19	137598
% HspCvap19	50.74%
IndCvap19	637
% IndCvap19	0.23%
APICvap19	35875
% APICvap19	13.23%
BlkCvap19	15250
% BlkCvap19	5.62%
WhtCvap19	73642
% WhtCvap19	27.16%
OtherCvap1	5842
% OtherCvap1	2.15%
Hsp20	245985
% Hsp20	57.14%
Ind20	925
% Ind20	0.21%
API20	73399
% API20	17.05%
Blk20	22593
% Blk20	5.25%
Wht20	74832
% Wht20	17.38%
Oth20	2237
% Oth20	0.52%

LEGEND

- Census Place
- Districts
- sb_block_adj_2020
- Districts:1


US City Population

- 500,000+
- 100,000 to 499,999
- 50,000 to 99,999
- 10,000 to 49,999
- 1 to 10,000



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 5



Field	Value
District	5
Pop20	441855
Deviation	5,825
% Deviation	1.34%
PopCvap19	250992
HspCvap19	147583
% HspCvap19	58.8%
IndCvap19	650
% IndCvap19	0.26%
APICvap19	9122
% APICvap19	3.63%
BlkCvap19	38837
% BlkCvap19	15.47%
WhtCvap19	49384
% WhtCvap19	19.68%
OtherCvap1	4683
% OtherCvap1	1.87%
Hsp20	314089
% Hsp20	71.08%
Ind20	1382
% Ind20	0.31%
API20	15882
% API20	3.59%
Blk20	47487
% Blk20	10.75%
Wht20	52031
% Wht20	11.78%
Oth20	2151
% Oth20	0.49%



Inland Empire Redistricting Hub

San Bernardino County Community Supervisorial Map Report

Presented to:

San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission

San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors

October 19, 2021

Dear Commissioners and Board:

The Inland Empire Redistricting Hub (the Hub) writes to formally submit our Regional Community Supervisorial Map for the 2021 redistricting cycle. The Hub reflects the combined recommendations of nearly two dozen local community based organizations and their constituents, particularly historically disenfranchised and underrepresented communities.

IE Redistricting Hub

The Hub is a coalition of base building organizations across San Bernardino and Riverside Counties. This coalition was created in order to ensure the lived experiences and needs of low income communities of color and working families in our region are uplifted and considered throughout the 2021 redistricting process. Some of our partners include: Community Health Action Network; Communities for a New California; Congregations Organized for Prophetic Engagement, Inland Coalition for Immigrant Justice; Inland Congregations United for Change; Inland Empire Community Collaborative; Motivating Action Leadership Opportunity; Nehemiah Charitable Fund; Peoples Collective for Environmental Justice; Starting Over, Inc; TODEC Legal Center and the Warehouse Worker Resource Center (for a full list, please visit our website <https://fairdistrictsie.org/>). Our partners organize low income communities of color, residents of faith, warehouse workers, residents impacted by environmental injustice, residents impacted by the criminal justice system, LGBTQ folks, and more. Through our coordinated education and advocacy efforts, we intend to provide a thoughtful, multi-racial, intersectional, nuanced take on redistricting within the Inland Empire.

This coalition is facilitated by the staff of Inland Empire United (IE United), a collective impact table of community leaders and agents in Riverside and San Bernardino counties. IE United brings movements together around a progressive vision for the region and advocates for transformational change within the counties.

Community Engagement and Advocacy:

Since December of 2021, the Hub has met no less than once a month to advance our collaboration. Partnering organizations have hosted a number of educational workshops explaining what redistricting is, how it relates to the 2020 Census, and what its impact on local funding and political representation will mean for community members in their everyday lives. From these workshops, organizations also helped participants identify and document their own communities of interest (COI) so that the county redistricting bodies can process and incorporate their concerns into draft districts. Partners submitted dozens of COIs through IE United.

The intel gathered in these COI workshops and through community testimony was used to develop supervisorial maps for San Bernardino County that reasonably balance population, comply with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, honor communities of interest, respect city boundaries and/or natural dividing lines, and are reasonably compact and geographically contiguous. The maps included in this report were negotiated and agreed upon between each of our local Hub partners and as such, reflect all of our combined interest and commitment to the residents of the county.

Communities of Interest:

The Hub gathered dozens of community of interest maps in our local contact management tool Amplify. Though we honor them all to the best of our ability in the maps in this report, the following communities are our top priorities in the 2021 redistricting process.

San Bernardino City:

Keeping the City of San Bernardino whole is one of our top priorities as a Redistricting Hub. The residents of San Bernardino are largely low-income and multicultural, particularly Latinx and Black, and disproportionately face adverse health conditions as a result of excessive warehouse development enveloping the surrounding area and altering the air quality of the region. Many of the most impactful community based organizations in the region are based in the city and the residents here are active; regularly mobilizing and advocating for resources and investment in the community. From combating environmental racism, to reimagining public safety, to diversifying and improving the job market, to improving k-12 education and everything in between, so much of the county's activity happens in San Bernardino.

High Desert:

Our other priority community of interest in San Bernardino County is the High Desert community, north of the greater San Bernardino area. The cities of Hesperia, Victorville, Adelanto, and Apple Valley make up what is commonly referred to as the High Desert and is collectively a vibrant community in a more rural pocket of the county. Similar to San Bernardino City, the sense of community is deeply felt through the community organizing of residents in the area. With a number of faith based organizations and direct service providers organizing and providing services to the community, these four cities are deeply connected and have a shared desire for greater resources and investment in the High Desert.

Conclusion:

Thank you for accepting this submission. Please feel free to contact Sky Allen [REDACTED] and Michael Gomez Daly [REDACTED] from IE United with any and all questions you may have.

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT #1



Total Population: 440,128

Latinx Pop	Latinx CVAP	Black Pop	Black CVAP	Asian Pop	Asian CVAP
50.15%	40.49%	11.19%	11.15%	3.6%	2.82%

VRA Compliant: N/A

Description: This district keeps all of the High Desert communities in San Bernardino County whole. Not only are Hesperia, Apple Valley, Victorville, and Adelanto kept whole in this district as they are in the existing District 1, Barstow is also included to ensure the full High Desert community can be represented by the same Supervisor. As detailed earlier in this report, these cities are deeply connected and have a shared desire for greater resources and investment in the High Desert, and with this district there is a real opportunity for the residents to advocate for their needs.

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT #2




Total Population: 432,420

Latinx Pop	Latinx CVAP	Black Pop	Black CVAP	Asian Pop	Asian CVAP
59.02%	53.09%	7.93%	9.95%	9.43%	7.13%

VRA Compliant: Yes

Description: This district is a Section 2 Voting Rights Act required district for Latinx communities in Fontana, Bloomington, Rancho Cucamonga, and Upland. Beyond housing a disproportionately large and densely populated Latinx community, District 2 naturally honors communities of interest in this area. One of the county's premiere malls is at the heart of this district, bringing together residents from the surrounding cities to eat, shop, watch movies, and be in community with each other. Environmental justice factors due to the logistics industry are a top priority for the eastern side of this district and increasingly posing a threat for the western cities like Upland and Rancho.

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT #3




Total Population: 435,216

Latinx Pop	Latinx CVAP	Black Pop	Black CVAP	Asian Pop	Asian CVAP
30.88%	25.7%	4.58%	4.63%	8.35%	6.69%

VRA Compliant: N/A

Description: This district keeps all of the mountain communities in the county in a single district and connects them to the Joshua Tree/Morongo Basin in the east. The configuration of this district honors those COIs while also being necessary to maintain the VRA districts to the south.

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT #4




Total Population: 430,529

Latinx Pop	Latinx CVAP	Black Pop	Black CVAP	Asian Pop	Asian CVAP
57.14%	50.74%	5.25%	5.62%	17.05%	13.23%

VRA Compliant: Yes

Description: This district is a Section 2 Voting Rights Act required district for Latinx communities in Chino Hills, Chino, Ontario, Montclair, and south Upland. Beyond housing a disproportionately large and densely populated Latinx community, District 4 as labeled here naturally honors communities of interest in this area. These cities seamlessly blend into each other culturally and organizationally and this district unites the largest pocket of Asian Americans and Asian immigrants, allowing for this community to have a real shot at advocating and voting as a growing bloc in our county. It satisfies redistricting criteria and is extremely similar to the existing District 4.

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT #5



Total Population: 441,855

Latinx Pop	Latinx CVAP	Black Pop	Black CVAP	Asian Pop	Asian CVAP
71.08%	58.8%	10.75%	15.47%	3.59%	3.63%

VRA Compliant: Yes

Description: This district is a Section 2 Voting Rights Act required district for Latinx communities in the cities of San Bernardino, Colton, Rialto, Highland, and Muscoy. In addition to being a Latinx VRA district, this district is naturally bound by freeways, highways, mountains, and city borders and keeps the City of San Bernardino whole. These cities and communities collectively are the heart and soul of the County of San Bernardino; the residents are largely low-income and multicultural, facing adverse health conditions as a result of the environmental impacts of warehouses surrounding and enveloping the region. Many of the most impactful community

based organizations in the region are based in this district and many of the residents here regularly mobilize and advocate for resources and investment in their home. Keeping San Bernardino whole and connected to its neighbors is a top priority for the Hub and this district honors this community and the Voting Rights Act.



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov



County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

From: Sky Allen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2021 8:00 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Cc: Williams, Pamela <[REDACTED]>; Michael Gomez Daly <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Supervisorial Map Submission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

I hope this email finds you well. Here I have included the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub's Supervisorial Map Proposal. You can find a PDF of the maps, our narrative supporting them, and corresponding shapefiles and block equivalency files.

Please let me know if there is anything else we can provide you with.

All the best,

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Program Director

IE United



Cc: Williams, Pamela <[REDACTED]>; Michael Gomez Daly <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Supervisorial Map Submission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

I hope this email finds you well. Here I have included the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub's Supervisorial Map Proposal. You can find a PDF of the maps, our narrative supporting them, and corresponding shapefiles and block equivalency files.

Please let me know if there is anything else we can provide you with.

All the best,

--

Sky Allen
she/her/hers
Program Director
IE United
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Michael, Dennis <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2021 12:23 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: County Redistricting Maps - Rancho Cucamonga
Attachments: County Redistricting Maps - Rancho Cucamonga.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sir or Madam,

Attached please find a letter from Mayor L. Dennis Michael, Mayor of the City of Rancho Cucamonga.

Thank you,

Melinda Garcia
Senior Executive Assistant
City Manager's Office

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]





CITY OF RANCHO CUCAMONGA

10500 Civic Center Drive | P.O. Box 807 | Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91729-0807 |

www.CityofRC.us

TRANSMITTED VIA EMAIL: redistricting@sbcounty.gov

October 18, 2021

Honorable Keith D. Davis, Chair
San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission
County Government Center, Covington Chambers
385 N. Arrowhead Avenue, 1st Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0835

Dear Chair Davis:

On behalf of the City of Rancho Cucamonga ("City"), please consider our feedback on the proposed redistricting maps. We would ask you to reconsider any map that would split our City between Supervisorial Districts 4 and 2.

Our City is an important community of interest, and the FAIR Maps Act establishes communities of interest as the building blocks for determining district boundaries. Our City has not previously been divided among districts, and we have strong identity as historically belonging to the 2nd District.

We understand that the Commission has been advised to seek population deviations of less than 2.5% for any district, in order to make the population size deviations as small as possible. While laudable, that goal should not be pursued if it compromises important public policy objectives, such as maintaining the City of Rancho Cucamonga within a single supervisorial district. Decades of Supreme Court precedent has established that a total deviation of up to 10% is constitutionally permissible under the one-person, one vote rule. The Commission can satisfy this requirement while also keeping the City within a single supervisorial district. Therefore, we request that the Commission reject a map that splits the City into two districts.

Judge King's map, as amended at the October 14, 2021 hearing, will keep all of Rancho Cucamonga in District 2 and still meet the other important goals of this effort. That is Item #3 on your October 21, 2021 agenda, and we encourage your support of this map for District 2 (attached).

We would encourage the Commission to reject any map that would split the City of Rancho Cucamonga between Supervisorial Districts.

Sincerely,




L. Dennis Michael
Mayor

cc City Council

District: 2

DRAFT



Field	Value
District	2
Population	442,721
Citizenship	6,801
% Citizenship	1.53%
White	114,332
% White	25.82%
Black	28,113
% Black	7.98%
Asian	1,000
% Asian	0.23%
Hispanic	47,828
% Hispanic	10.80%
Other	931
% Other	0.21%
Hispanic Origin	2,566
% Hispanic Origin	0.58%
CHSP_19	228,000
% CHSP_19	51.25%
NP_CHSP_19	288,286
% NP_CHSP_19	64.97%
NP_CHSP_19	158,915
% NP_CHSP_19	54.48%
NP_Ind_CHSP_19	653
% NP_Ind_CHSP_19	0.30%
NP_Non_CHSP_19	12,870
% NP_Non_CHSP_19	7.57%
NP_Non_CHSP_19	27,374
% NP_Non_CHSP_19	9.58%
NP_Non_CHSP_19	512
% NP_Non_CHSP_19	0.18%
NP_Non_CHSP_19	67,000
% NP_Non_CHSP_19	34.19%
NP_Non_CHSP_19	180,288
% NP_Non_CHSP_19	45.51%

©2021 CALIPER

Map layers
Indian Reservation
City/Town
County
Districts
0 2 4
Miles

From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2021 8:00 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcountry.gov>
Cc: Williams, Pamela <[REDACTED]>; Michael Gomez Daly <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Supervisorial Map Submission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

I hope this email finds you well. Here I have included the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub's Supervisorial Map Proposal. You can find a PDF of the maps, our narrative supporting them, and corresponding shapefiles and block equivalency files.

Please let me know if there is anything else we can provide you with.

All the best,

--

Sky Allen

she/her/hers

Program Director

IE United

[REDACTED]

--

Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2021 3:33 PM
To: Michael, Dennis; redistricting
Subject: RE: County Redistricting Maps - Rancho Cucamonga

Good afternoon,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments will be shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting in Chino Hills on 10/21/2021.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or it can be viewed after the meeting is concluded
at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.
Thank you,



From: Michael, Dennis [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2021 12:23 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: County Redistricting Maps - Rancho Cucamonga

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sir or Madam,

Attached please find a letter from Mayor L. Dennis Michael, Mayor of the City of Rancho Cucamonga.

Thank you,

Melinda Garcia
Senior Executive Assistant
City Manager's Office
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 6:35 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/21/2021

Full Name:: Arturo Garcia, Jr

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: I recommend keeping my City of Rancho Cucamonga within one district (District 2). I am a resident of the City of Rancho Cucamonga. I've reviewed the proposed maps within the Chino Hills Advisory Redistricting Commission Agenda. The proposed maps split the City of Rancho Cucamonga between Districts 2 and 4. I recommend keeping my City of Rancho Cucamonga within one district (District 2). It is beyond my neighbors' and my understanding to be placed in two separate districts. It makes me question this redistricting process by adding confusion, doubt that government is acting in my best interests and feeling excluded from my neighbors. Thank you for your time.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sue Quigley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 9:58 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Redistricting question - variance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello San Bernardino County

I found your website and applaud your efforts to let the public draw and submit a map!

[Contact Us – Redistricting \(sbcountyredistricting.com\)](http://sbcountyredistricting.com)

I'm from DuPage County Illinois and our County Board is attempting to create 6 county board districts.

One of the requirements is that all district populations have to be with 1% variance.

My question to you is, if you are trying to make districts with San Bernardino County, what is the acceptable variance between the districts?

Thank you for considering my question,
Susan Quigley

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:14 AM
To: [REDACTED] redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments will be shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting in Chino Hills on 10/21/2021.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or it can be viewed after the meeting is concluded
at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.
Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2021 5:49 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/20/2021

Full Name:: Nanette K. Hart

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: Dear Advisory Redistricting Commission, My community's interest should be approached holistically, therefore Rancho Cucamonga should not be divided between two districts. Please keep my city within District 2. Thank you, Nanette Hart

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:15 AM
To: [REDACTED]; redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments will be shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting in Chino Hills on 10/21/2021.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or it can be viewed after the meeting is concluded at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.
Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2021 7:22 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/20/2021

Full Name:: Carolyn Victoria Jones

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: ([REDACTED])

Comment:: To whom it may concern, I have been a long time resident of Rancho and we have always identified with our treasured place in the Inland Empire by being represented and belonging to the 2nd District. Dividing our city isn't in the best interest of unity. so respectfully, please keep District 2 as is, please don't divide it. Thank you, C V Jones Community Partner

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:15 AM
To: [REDACTED] redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon,

We've received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting. These comments will be shared with the commission at our redistricting meeting in Chino Hills on 10/21/2021.

This meeting can be viewed live at <https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

Or it can be viewed after the meeting is concluded
at https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/list/?tribe_event_display=past.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.
Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 6:35 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/21/2021

Full Name:: Arturo Garcia, Jr

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: I recommend keeping my City of Rancho Cucamonga within one district (District 2). I am a resident of the City of Rancho Cucamonga. I've reviewed the proposed maps within the Chino Hills Advisory Redistricting Commission Agenda. The proposed maps split the City of Rancho Cucamonga between Districts 2 and 4. I recommend keeping my City of Rancho Cucamonga within one district (District 2). It is beyond my neighbors' and my understanding to be placed in two separate districts. It makes me question this redistricting process by adding confusion, doubt that government is acting in my best interests and feeling excluded from my neighbors. Thank you for your time.



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov



County of San Bernardino Confidentiality Notice: This communication contains confidential information sent solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this communication, you are not authorized to use it in any manner, except to immediately destroy it and notify the sender.

From: Sue Quigley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 9:58 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Redistricting question - variance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello San Bernardino County

I found your website and applaud your efforts to let the public draw and submit a map!

[Contact Us – Redistricting \(sbcountyredistricting.com\)](http://sbcountyredistricting.com)

I'm from DuPage County Illinois and our County Board is attempting to create 6 county board districts.

One of the requirements is that all district populations have to be with 1% variance.

My question to you is, if you are trying to make districts with San Bernardino County, what is the acceptable variance between the districts?

Thank you for considering my question,
Susan Quigley

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Michael Tamony <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:49 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com; redistricting
Subject: Judge King's map

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I have been watching the videos of the commission working diligently on district boundaries with the consultant. Can someone please point me to where the boundaries of District 3 were discussed? I can't seem to find that in the videos. If Judge King's map is going to be recommended, I would like to be able to see the discussion that went into District 3.

I would also like to confirm that District 3 in Judge King's map has the greatest deviation at -3.19% and the lowest Hsp CVAP at 29.44% of any other District.

Thank you,

Michael Tamony

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 11:02 AM
To: Sue Quigley; redistricting
Subject: RE: Redistricting question - variance

Good afternoon,

Thank you for your question.

In the supervisorial redistricting process, San Bernardino County is complying with the criteria identified in Federal and State law including population equality, Federal Voting Rights Act, and FAIR MAPS Act (California Elections Code 21500 et seq.). The variance will be based upon these criteria.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Sue Quigley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 9:58 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Redistricting question - variance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello San Bernardino County

I found your website and applaud your efforts to let the public draw and submit a map!

[Contact Us – Redistricting \(sbcountyredistricting.com\)](https://sbcountyredistricting.com)

I'm from DuPage County Illinois and our County Board is attempting to create 6 county board districts.

One of the requirements is that all district populations have to be with 1% variance.

My question to you is, if you are trying to make districts with San Bernardino County, what is the acceptable variance between the districts?

Thank you for considering my question,

Susan Quigley

2242 Christian Lane
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Michael Tamony <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:49 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com; redistricting
Subject: Judge King's map

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I have been watching the videos of the commission working diligently on district boundaries with the consultant. Can someone please point me to where the boundaries of District 3 were discussed? I can't seem to find that in the videos. If Judge King's map is going to be recommended, I would like to be able to see the discussion that went into District 3.

I would also like to confirm that District 3 in Judge King's map has the greatest deviation at -3.19% and the lowest Hsp CVAP at 29.44% of any other District.

Thank you,

Michael Tamony

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 11:50 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/22/2021

Full Name:: Craig Carpenter

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: The Mappitude portal won't let me setup an account. It says there are too many already registered.
Thanks, Craig

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Kaelen Perrochet <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 24, 2021 4:21 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Recording for 10/21 Meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi there—

I was wondering if it would be possible for y'all to send me the recording for the 10/21 redistricting meeting, or if that's not possible, would y'all mind letting me know when the recording will be available on the redistricting website?

Thank you very much,

~Kaelen

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 4:38 PM
To: Kaelen Perrochet; redistricting
Subject: RE: Recording for 10/21 Meeting

Good afternoon Kaelen,

The video is available on https://sanbernardino.granicus.com/player/clip/5569?view_id=33&redirect=true. The recording is available to download below the video. I've attached the link below.

http://archive-media.granicus.com:443/OnDemand/sanbernardino/sanbernardino_c144a6c4-9e40-4bff-bd27-cb34ef717cc3.mp3

Please let us know if you have any further questions.

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Kaelen Perrochet <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 24, 2021 4:21 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Recording for 10/21 Meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi there—

I was wondering if it would be possible for y'all to send me the recording for the 10/21 redistricting meeting, or if that's not possible, would y'all mind letting me know when the recording will be available on the redistricting website?

Thank you very much,

~Kaelen

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2021 6:19 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/25/2021

Full Name:: Mary Tate

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: I live in Trona which is in San Bernadino county and the entire town is a total destruction houses are burned out and the entire town is in a very terrible way people who live here are collecting money from the town people to try and get stuff done here but nothing is getting done and before long this place is going to be a ghost town some one needs to come into the town and take a good look at it

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 11:44 AM
To: [REDACTED] redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon Craig,

We are sorry for any inconvenience. We have reached out to seek further information about why you would have received this error message. We were able to test it out and did not receive any errors. Please try again and let us know if you have any further questions. I have attached the link below.

<https://drawsbcounty.redistrictinginsights.com/SanBernardinoApp/Default.aspx>

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 11:50 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/22/2021

Full Name:: Craig Carpenter

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: The Mappitude portal won't let me setup an account. It says there are too many already registered.
Thanks, Craig

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 4:04 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/26/2021

Full Name:: Betsy Starbuck, Pres. League of Women Voters, SB Area

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: October 26, 2021 San Bernardino County Redistricting Commission Via email: redistricting@sbcounty.gov Dear Hon. Keith Davis and Members of the Redistricting Commission: The League of Women Voters of the San Bernardino Area is submitting this comment as you deliberate on several map options, including those submitted by at least three community groups. After reviewing the maps, we believe the Board of Supervisors should easily be able to adopt three districts that are comprised of a majority of minority ethnicity. Further, we urge you and the Board to accomplish this as a primary goal. Of course, any map adopted by the Commission, or the Board of Supervisors should comply with the Voting Rights Act (VRA) and the Fair Maps Act (FMA). While we are generally in favor of "keeping cities whole," we believe it is more important to keep communities of interest whole. Some of the maps you are considering seem to be tortuous in design to follow a city boundary. Please recognize that most citizens identify with their zip code rather than their city boundary. Examples of this include northeast San Bernardino with a zip code of 92346 – which identify as Highland or Rialto citizens in the area code 92410, who identify as San Bernardino residents. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed redistricting maps. We will continue to monitor this process through adoption of maps. Yours for Democracy and Transparency, Betsy Starbuck, President LWV of the San Bernardino Area P.O. Box 3394, San Bernardino, CA 92413 · [REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 4:42 PM
To: Michael Tamony; redistricting
Subject: RE: Judge King's map

Good afternoon Michael,

The King map was discussed at the Yucca Valley meeting on October 13th and the meeting in San Bernardino on October 14th. There was input throughout the meetings regarding all the districts.

Kings most up to date map can be viewed on the agenda at the link below. This will have the most up to date deviation percentage as well as Hsp CVAP percentage.

https://sbcountyredistricting.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/56/2021/08/Crestline-Advisory-Redistricting-Commission-Agenda_10-27-2021-v5.pdf

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Michael Tamony <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:49 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Judge King's map

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I have been watching the videos of the commission working diligently on district boundaries with the consultant. Can someone please point me to where the boundaries of District 3 were discussed? I can't seem to find that in the videos. If Judge King's map is going to be recommended, I would like to be able to see the discussion that went into District 3.

I would also like to confirm that District 3 in Judge King's map has the greatest deviation at -3.19% and the lowest Hsp CVAP at 29.44% of any other District.

Thank you,

Michael Tamony

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Michael Tamony [REDACTED] >
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 5:58 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: Judge King's map

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you so much for sending this. Can you please refer me to the time in either the 10/13 or 10/14 meeting where District 3 was reviewed for Judge King's proposed map? I am not finding that review of District 3.

It still seems to appear that in Judge King's map, District 3 has the most divergent deviation total and the lowest Hsp CVAP.

Thank you.

On Tue, Oct 26, 2021 at 4:42 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcountry.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Michael,

The King map was discussed at the Yucca Valley meeting on October 13th and the meeting in San Bernardino on October 14th. There was input throughout the meetings regarding all the districts.

Kings most up to date map can be viewed on the agenda at the link below. This will have the most up to date deviation percentage as well as Hsp CVAP percentage.

https://sbcountryredistricting.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/56/2021/08/Crestline-Advisory-Redistricting-Commission-Agenda_10-27-2021-v5.pdf

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Michael Tamony <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:49 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Judge King's map

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I have been watching the videos of the commission working diligently on district boundaries with the consultant. Can someone please point me to where the boundaries of District 3 were discussed? I can't seem to find that in the videos. If Judge King's map is going to be recommended, I would like to be able to see the discussion that went into District 3.

I would also like to confirm that District 3 in Judge King's map has the greatest deviation at -3.19% and the lowest Hsp CVAP at 29.44% of any other District.

Thank you,

Michael Tamony

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Bruce Daniels <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 6:47 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: FW: COUNTY REDISTRICTING
Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

From: Bruce Daniels [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 10:09 AM
Subject: COUNTY REDISTRICTING
Importance: High

VOTER SUPPRESSION

Your turn at the plate!

This is brazen politics at its ugliest, depriving an independent analysis like the State Commission for Congressional and State elective offices. Is San Bernardino County following suit like it did ten years ago?

And ZERO transparency!

HIGH TIME FOR THE HIGH COUNTRY

To Lewis Murray (Supervisor Janice Rutherford AND FORMER Lake Arrowhead Chamber Manager)

Hi Lewis!

I just received notice from a local source – *not the County* – that the its re-districting meeting is being held at the County Administrative Center in San Bernardino today. I will not be traveling that distance inconvenienced due to lack of transportation, little time to interact with political appointees judging past performance and knowing that the County has the capacity to reach out to the public via zoom as you have

done previously. I emailed the remarks below to the two identified commissioners ***and have received neither a response nor even acknowledgement of receipt***. Consequently, I am imposing on your valuable time to please make sure my comments are received by the Commission.

The San Bernardino Mountains deserve wiser re-districting having been arbitrarily and artificially disconnected from its natural access south to Redlands, San Bernardino, San Manuel, the East Valley and beyond where an estimated 6 million tourists visit annually. This is evidenced by State highway traffic counts (SR 18 and 330). Regarding federal congressional re-districting, suspiciously separated from County supervisorial re-districting, the late Congressman Jerry Lewis of Redlands once represented us. He was replaced by Congressman Pete Aguilar, a key leader who does not serve our congressional district. That situation is comparable to County supervisorial districts.

The San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors opted for an advisory commission rather than an independent commission, which based on history causes me to sense a strong self-serving political motivation and a noticeable lack of transparency at our expense. As you and Supervisor Rutherford are aware, I strongly opposed the last re-districting convinced that it was politically motivated, contrary to the general welfare of our Mountain community. That has largely been substantiated over the past four years as evidenced by the following:

1. San Bernardino County has already been exposed for malfeasance and incompetence, resulting in voter approval to reduce salaries and term of office. Given the negative reputation of the County BOS, greater transparency and deliberation for this most important decision is urgently needed. The Mountains deserve greater attention
2. The last re-districting was highly controversial as it affected the San Bernardino Mountains, a region largely ignored because of fewer residents although serving more than 6 million visitors annually.
3. On a razor slim 2-3 vote over the objections of Mountain residents and their duly elected supervisor, Supervisor Rutherford and the Board of Supervisors disregarded a voter-approved public spirited Prop 20 citizen's committee recommendation to re-district state and federal representatives. Mountain residents convinced that committee to recommend one district for our unique community.
4. An independent commission is much preferred as is the case for congressional districts, a more democratic representation than the autocracy currently imposed on substance and process.
5. Politics negatively affected the San Bernardino Mountains separating Big Bear from Running Springs and Lake Arrowhead in favor of the distant West Valley, which has much less relevance to Mountain communities.
6. Supervisor Janice Rutherford is a politically active Republican who served former State Senator and Assemblyman Bill Leonard as Chief of Staff, she resides in Rancho Cucamonga and served as a City of Fontana councilmember bordering Ontario. She carries a strong Western Valley bias away from San Bernardino, Highland, Redlands and San Manuel more closely aligned with the San Bernardino Mountains. Her political interest and experience in the West County distances her away from our San Bernardino Mountain community and its closer relationship with the City of San Bernardino, Highland and Redlands, even Riverside.

7. We're currently served by a supervisor with political interest and experience in the West County, away from our San Bernardino Mountain community and its closer relationship with the City of San Bernardino, Highland and Redlands, even Riverside.
8. Lake Arrowhead, an internationally renowned tourism destination, Running Springs, a key pivot point to that community **and Big Bear Lake**, the major tourism destination, were previously part of the Third District once represented by former **San Manuel tribal chairman and businessman, Assemblyman James Ramos**. Because of politics, we missed an historic opportunity to be served by Supervisor and now Assemblyman James Ramos, a former San Manuel tribal chairman, businessman, pastor and resident of our Mountain community where our Mountain was once the tribe's ancestral land. Situated on the slopes of the San Bernardino Mountains, San Manuel is notable for its ancestral Mountain homeland, economic success, contribution to our entire Mountain community and environmental sensitivity now missing in County government. That including a proposal for the development of a Swiss-style suspended light rail, which has received no further input in this time of major State and federal infrastructure commitment. That project and others are designed to serve more than 6 million visitors based **south** of our Mountain in another supervisorial district.
9. Big Bear was once part of our supervisorial district, providing a more relevant example with its incorporated city. Supervisor Janice Rutherford represents the Second District covering Upland, Fontana, Lake Arrowhead and Running Springs areas separated from Big Bear.
10. The San Bernardino National Forest, the key tourism and recreation destination, provides the setting for attractions like Lake Gregory, Lake Arrowhead, Children's Forest, Snow Valley, Rim Nordic, Heaps Peak Arboretum, Big Bear Lake and Big Bear Village. Big Bear is the major economic driver as evidenced by visitor traffic counts. SBNF boundaries encompass three supervisorial districts, which further divides and weakens our community.
11. Grassroots self-determination has been disregarded in conducting the agenda and selection of MAC board members. Running Springs with a closer attachment to Big Bear is not served at the same level of importance.
12. County-funded economic studies of our market underscored the importance of tourism and recreation as a key job and business generator. Now, after having suffered from closures of SR 330, our exposure to a more congested SR 18 fire evacuation route, the proposed re-districting replaces a natural disaster with a manufactured one.

Supervisor Rutherford can earn our respect by restoring the supervisorial district boundaries to include Big Bear. Give mountain voters a choice by placing options for re-districting on the ballot and please keep partisan politics on the shelf restoring a more democratic and natural arrangement.

Thank you for your attention

Sincerely,



Bruce Cort Daniels

[REDACTED]

Telephone: [REDACTED]

* * *

[REDACTED]

Dear Commissioner:

The San Bernardino Mountains deserve wiser re-districting having been disconnected from its natural access south to Redlands, San Bernardino, San Manuel, the East Valley and beyond where an estimated 6 million tourists visit annually. This is evidenced by State highway traffic counts (SR 18 and 330). We have much less connection with the High Desert, its culture and economy.

The late Congressman Jerry Lewis of Redlands once represented us until re-districting, replaced by Congressman Pete Aguilar. Please keep politics on the shelf and restore this more viable and natural arrangement.

Sincerely,

Bruce Cort Daniels

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Telephone: [REDACTED]

* * *

**Why you might be getting a new San Bernardino
County supervisor**

By the end of the year, some San Bernardino County residents could get a new county supervisor.

California counties, as well as cities and other local elected bodies, are redrawing elected leaders' districts to reflect changes revealed by [the 2020 census](#). The process, known as redistricting, happens every 10 years.

[An independent statewide panel](#) is drawing new state legislative and congressional districts. But in most counties, including San Bernardino and Riverside, the Board of Supervisors is responsible for redrawing its own maps to follow state and federal laws intended to ensure equal representation. Each district must have roughly the same number of people — and protect minority voters' rights.

In San Bernardino County, [an advisory commission](#) appointed by the five supervisors is overseeing the redistricting process and is tasked with recommending at least two maps for the board's approval. The deadline for the new maps to be in place is Dec. 15.

Current districts, their supervisor, and what areas they cover, are:

- **District 1**, represented by Paul Cook, includes most of the desert and communities like Victorville, Apple Valley and Adelanto
- **District 2**, represented by Janice Rutherford, includes Upland, Rancho Cucamonga and Fontana
- **District 3**, represented by Dawn Rowe, includes Redlands, Highland and Yucaipa
- **District 4**, represented by Curt Hagman, includes Ontario, Chino, Chino Hills and Montclair
- **District 5**, represented by Supervisor Joe Baca Jr., includes San Bernardino, Colton, Fontana and Rialto

Residents in the San Bernardino Mountains have sought to reunify Crestline/Lake Arrowhead, currently in the Second District, with the Big Bear side in the Third District, after those communities were split up during the 2011 round of redistricting, county spokesperson David Wert said via email.

* * *

Latino lawmakers object to new Riverside County supervisor maps

Boundaries in four draft maps favor incumbents, they say

By [JEFF HORSEMAN](#) | [REDACTED] The Press-Enterprise

PUBLISHED: October 4, 2021 at 5:28 p.m. | UPDATED: October 5, 2021 at 3:32 p.m.

Hot off the press, four ideas for redrawing Riverside County Board of Supervisors' districts already have three Inland Latino lawmakers reaching for red pens.


State Assembly members Sabrina Cervantes and Jose Medina, both D-Riverside, and **Eduardo Garcia, D-Coachella**, are upset with draft maps created by county officials, saying they violate state and federal laws.



"These proposed maps were drawn with a clear intent to protect certain incumbent supervisors and dilute the influence of Latino voters," read a joint statement issued Monday, Oct. 4, by Cervantes, Medina and Garcia.

"The Latino community makes up a majority of Riverside County's population, but has been historically and systematically disenfranchised," the statement added. "Riverside County's redistricting process must follow the law, and that means the county cannot hamper the ability of Latino voters to elect representatives of their choice."



Eduardo Garcia – Jerry Brown





These are the current district boundaries for the Riverside County Board of Supervisors. They were developed in 2011 after the 2010 census. (Courtesy of Riverside County)

A committee of senior county officials, including all five supervisors' chiefs of staff, drew the maps, which will be reviewed by the Riverside County Planning Commission on Wednesday, Oct. 6. The maps "were created with

careful consideration and respect to communities of interest that share common social and economic factors,” county spokesperson Brooke Federico, who sits on the map-drawing panel, said via email.

“Achieving equal population within each supervisorial district while preserving communities of interest is not an easy task, and yet these maps have paid close attention to ensuring entire cities and census designated populations are not split among districts.”

The county “continues to follow” the law and anyone — including state legislators — can propose maps, Federico added.

The lawmakers’ objections come as the county starts redrawing supervisor district maps to account for population changes revealed by the 2020 census.

The “redistricting” process, which occurs every 10 years, is meant to ensure the best county government representation for the county’s 2.4 million residents. State legislative and congressional districts [are being redrawn by a statewide commission](#), while Riverside County supervisors have the final say in how their districts are reshaped.

Redistricting got off to a late start [because census results were delayed](#) amid [the coronavirus pandemic](#) and a dispute about the census questionnaire. The county has until Dec. 15 to redraw the districts.

The planning commission, acting in an advisory role, will submit at least one redistricting plan to supervisors. The public can weigh in through public hearings and a website on which they can suggest new district boundaries. The four draft maps — A, B, C, and D — try to hit a target of 483,637 people in each district. Legally, the new districts must be roughly equal in population and give minority voters a fair chance of electing one of their own to public office.

The current map splits the city of Riverside, the county’s most-populated, into districts represented by supervisors Kevin Jeffries and Karen Spiegel. This frustrates Riverside residents who want one supervisor.

While Riverside shares common interests with the rest of the county, “because of our size, we need one representative to specifically champion our bigger city issues in relation to county policy,” Joan Donahue, president of the League of Women Voters Riverside, wrote in a letter to the county.

Three of the four maps would put all of Riverside into the First District now represented by Jeffries. Map B would put most of the city in the First District with the northmost part, along with Highgrove, going into Supervisor Jeff Hewitt’s Fifth District with Jurupa Valley, cities in the San Geronimo Pass, Hemet and San Jacinto.

The Third District, represented by Supervisor Chuck Washington, encompasses Hemet, San Jacinto, Anza, Aguanga, Idyllwild-Pine Cove, Murrieta and Temecula.

With the exception of Map C, Hemet and San Jacinto would be in the same district with Calimesa, Banning and Beaumont. In all four maps, Anza, Aguanga, and Idyllwild-Pine Cove would move into the Fourth District, which stretches from the Coachella Valley to Blythe and is represented by Supervisor V. Manuel Perez.

The city with the widest range of outcomes is Jurupa Valley. Maps A and D would place it in the Second District, Map B would move it to the Fifth District and Map C would place it in the First District.

Here’s a breakdown of the four maps.

Map A

District 1 — Canyon Lake, El Sobrante, Good Hope, Highgrove, Home Gardens, Lake Mathews, most of March Air Reserve Base, Mead Valley, Meadowbrook, Perris, Riverside, Woodcrest.

District 2 — Corona, Eastvale, El Cerrito, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, Lakeland Village, Norco, Temescal Valley.

District 3 — De Luz, East Hemet, French Valley, Green Acres, La Cresta, Menifee, Murrieta, Sage, Temecula, Valle Vista, Wildomar, Winchester.

District 4 — Aguanga, Anza, Blythe, Coachella Valley cities, Idyllwild-Pine Cove.

District 5 — Banning, Beaumont, Cabazon, Calimesa, Cherry Valley, Hemet, Homeland, some of March Air Reserve Base, Moreno Valley, Nuevo, Romoland, San Jacinto.

Map B

District 1 — Home Gardens, Moreno Valley, March Air Reserve Base, most of Riverside

District 2 — Canyon Lake, Corona, Eastvale, El Cerrito, El Sobrante, Good Hope, Lake Elsinore, Lake Mathews, Lakeland Village, Mead Valley, Norco, Temescal Valley, Wildomar, Woodcrest.

District 3 — De Luz, French Valley, La Cresta, Murrieta, Perris, Sage, Temecula.

District 4 — Aguanga, Anza, Blythe, Coachella Valley cities, Idyllwild-Pine Cove.

District 5 — Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Cherry Valley, East Hemet, Green Acres, Hemet, Highgrove, Homeland, Jurupa Valley, Nuevo, some of Riverside, Romoland, San Jacinto, Valle Vista.

Map C

District 1 — El Sobrante, Highgrove, Jurupa Valley, some of Moreno Valley, Riverside, Woodcrest.

District 2 — Canyon Lake, Corona, Eastvale, El Cerrito, Good Hope, Lake Elsinore, Lake Mathews, Lakeland Village, Mead Valley, Meadowbrook, Norco, Temescal Valley, Wildomar.

District 3 — De Luz, French Valley, Green Acres, East Hemet, Hemet, La Cresta, Murrieta, Sage, San Jacinto, Temecula, Valle Vista, Winchester.

District 4 — Aguanga, Anza, Blythe, Coachella Valley cities, Idyllwild-Pine Cove.

District 5 — Banning, Beaumont, Cabazon, Calimesa, Cherry Valley, Homeland, Menifee, most of Moreno Valley, Nuevo, Perris, Romoland.

Map D

District 1 — Canyon Lake, El Sobrante, Good Hope, Lake Mathews, most of March Air Reserve Base, Mead Valley, Meadowbrook, Perris, Riverside, Woodcrest.

District 2 — Corona, Eastvale, El Cerrito; Home Gardens, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, Lakeland Village, Norco, Temescal Valley.

District 3 — Aguanga, De Luz, East Hemet, French Valley, Green Acres, La Cresta, Menifee, Murrieta, Sage, Temecula, Wildomar, Winchester, Valle Vista.

District 4 — Anza; Blythe; Cabazon; Coachella Valley cities; Idyllwild-Pine Cove.

District 5 — Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Cherry Valley, Hemet, Homeland, Moreno Valley, Nuevo, Romoland, San Jacinto.

For information and to offer input on the maps, visit www.rivco.org/about-county/county-boards-committees-and-commissions/county-redistricting-efforts.

IF YOU GO

The Riverside County Planning Commission will review four draft maps of new Board of Supervisors districts.

When: 9 a.m. Wednesday, Oct. 6

Where: First-floor board chambers, County Administrative Center, 4080 Lemon St. Riverside. The meeting will be livestreamed at www.rivcotv.org.

What's next: Supervisors are set to host a redistricting public hearing at 6 p.m. Oct. 19 in the board chambers.

- [Newsroom Guidelines](#)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Scott L. Rindenow <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 6:59 PM
To: redistricting
Cc: Murray, Lewis
Subject: REDISTRICTING

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission,

As the Chair of the Lake Arrowhead Municipal Advisory Council (MAC), I am writing to urge you to consider the consequences of combining the San Bernardino mountains into one Supervisor District.

As of now, Crestline, Lake Arrowhead and Running Springs all fall into the Second Supervisor District, while Big Bear falls into District 3. Currently, two Supervisors represent the mountain's three large communities. That gives residents of the mountains two voices of support on the Board of County Supervisors. Lumping all the mountain communities into one Supervisor District will not only dilute our representation, but it will dilute our ability to handle issues that are unique to our landscape.

Our two current Supervisors, Supervisor Janice Rutherford (District 2) and Supervisor Dawn Rowe (District 3) have done a stellar job in representing and supporting the best interests of the mountain communities. We cannot afford to lose that support. Our residents, our schools, our first responders and our local businesses all need fair representation on the Board of Supervisors.

The mountain communities represent a very large percentage of licensed vacation rentals, resort living residents and full time home based workers in San Bernardino County. Please help us grow our communities with a new redistricting map that maintains more dedicated Supervisor representatives than less.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,

Scott L. Rindenow
Chair
Lake Arrowhead Municipal Advisory Council

[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 7:54 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/26/2021

Full Name:: Javier Navarro

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number::

Comment:: I was looking at the October 27, 2021 meeting materials. While I was looking at the district 1 draft, I noticed how District 1 is the most retarded, gerrymandered map. Trona/searles valley and Needles have almost nothing in common with Hesperia. I would recommend that district 1 include barstow. People in needles, trona, kingston ranch/Mesquite Valley already complain about being ignored by the county. Gerrymandering the map in such way only makes it worse for our outlying communities in the county. I doubt any supervisor, and county leadership have been out to these areas.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Bruce Daniels <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 10:16 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Redistricting


Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

REDISTRICTING

1. **The San Bernardino Mountains** are identified by its community, including residents, businesses, tourists and an eco-economy focused on LA Basin visitors, **not the High Desert.**
2. **SR 330 and 18** from Running Springs and Crestline connecting with Lake Arrowhead and Big Bear are major arteries heading **south** to the Riverside County line, **not north.**
3. The San Bernardino Mountains are the ancestral lands of **San Manuel** located there and who own property in Big Bear and Arrowhead Springs hotel and must be included.
4. **Redlands, Highland and the City of San Bernardino**, including the San Bernardino International Airport, are important. A proposed non-road access to the San Bernardino Mountains has been approved by the County, SBCTA and San Manuel.
5. Supervisorial district boundaries eastward justifiably include **Sand to Snow National Monument, San Bernardino National Forest and Joshua Tree National Park.**
6. **Wrightwood and Silverwood Lake State Recreation Area** are important adjuncts to Crestline and the San Bernardino National Forest.

San



Bruce Cort Daniels

Bernardino Mountain resident for 38 years

CONSULTANT

29 Palms Band, Torres Martinez Band: Hotel/Casino feasibility Study; Salton Sea/Casino Federal funding negotiations

Mariposa County: State Mining Museum Study, Bower Cave Study, Yosemite Area regional economic development, transportation & housing marketing studies

San Bernardino National Forest Association

Cities of Coachella, Indio, El Centro: Brandenburg Industries, The Dimare Company, entertainment district plan and development; inter-modal transportation, planning, funding, implementation and management

City of San Bernardino/Coast Soccer League - Soccer Complex General Manager

Cochrane Associates: Feasibility study to establish a Miami soccer academy

County of San Bernardino: CDBG and redevelopment eligibility study, Crestline and Twin Peaks

Dong Koo Kim Industries, Inc.: Foreign Trade (Korea, U.S. & Mexico)

Sam Digati For Supervisor: Riverside County political campaign

Professional Soccer Referee: N.C.A.A., C.I.F., C.Y.S.A.

GOVERNMENT

City of Coachella, CA – City Manager

County of Riverside – Director of Housing & Community Development

City of Lancaster – General Manager, Lancaster National Soccer Center

City of Big Bear Lake –Economic Development Specialist

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD): Program Manager Indian housing; Deputy Director: Disaster housing; Community Planning & Development Representative: San Bernardino, Riverside, Inyo and Mono Counties; **Urban Renewal Representative:** Baltimore & Annapolis, MD; **International Training Officer (Office of the Secretary):** Asia, Latin America

PRIVATE NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

General Manager: Shasta Economic Development Corp., Superior California Economic Development Council; Manufacturers Association, Counties & cities of Shasta, Trinity, Siskiyou, Modoc and Lassen

AWARDS: Greater Riverside Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; United Nations Soccer League; U. S. Housing and Urban Development. California Association of Recreation and Park Districts; County of Los Angeles; Inland Empire Soccer Referees Association

EDUCATION: Syracuse University, AB; Tulane University, M.A. Latin American Studies (economics, political science, history, Spanish)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Tricia DuFour [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 10:23 PM
To: Robin Bull - Executive Director; redistricting
Subject: Redistricting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

My initial reaction to redistricting the mountain communities to one Supervisor District seemed like a good idea.

But after researching the issue, I realized not only is this a bad idea, it could be detrimental to the needs of the mountain communities.

Currently when it comes to allocating resources for services that are very specific to the mountains, such as fighting wildfires, keeping roads clear of rocks and snow in the winter time, and staffing an adequate number of first responders, we have three supervisors advocating for our needs.

Reducing the number of Supervisors from 3 to 1 for the mountain communities puts us at a disadvantage.

It is my hope that we can keep the districts close to the existing boundaries and continue to have 3 Supervisors representing the San Bernardino County Mountain Communities.

--

Have a Blessed Day

Tricia Dufour

President, Lake Arrowhead Communities Chamber of Commerce

--

Have a Blessed Day

Tricia

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Murray, Lewis
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 8:39 AM
To: Scott L. Rindenow; redistricting
Subject: RE: REDISTRICTING

Great letter Scott!

Lewis Murray
District Representative to
JANICE RUTHERFORD
Second District Supervisor
County of San Bernardino

Phone: [REDACTED]
<http://sbcounty.gov/rutherford/>



From: Scott L. Rindenow [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 6:59 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Cc: Murray, Lewis <[REDACTED]>
Subject: REDISTRICTING

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission,

As the Chair of the Lake Arrowhead Municipal Advisory Council (MAC), I am writing to urge you to consider the consequences of combining the San Bernardino mountains into one Supervisor District.

As of now, Crestline, Lake Arrowhead and Running Springs all fall into the Second Supervisor District, while Big Bear falls into District 3. Currently, two Supervisors represent the

mountain's three large communities. That gives residents of the mountains two voices of support on the Board of County Supervisors. Lumping all the mountain communities into one Supervisor District will not only dilute our representation, but it will dilute our ability to handle issues that are unique to our landscape.

Our two current Supervisors, Supervisor Janice Rutherford (District 2) and Supervisor Dawn Rowe (District 3) have done a stellar job in representing and supporting the best interests of the mountain communities. We cannot afford to lose that support. Our residents, our schools, our first responders and our local businesses all need fair representation on the Board of Supervisors.

The mountain communities represent a very large percentage of licensed vacation rentals, resort living residents and full time home based workers in San Bernardino County. Please help us grow our communities with a new redistricting map that maintains more dedicated Supervisor representatives than less.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,

Scott L. Rindenow

Chair

Lake Arrowhead Municipal Advisory Council



Lovell, Alyssa

From: Craig Carpenter [REDACTED] >
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 1:36 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal
Attachments: Error_02.png; Error_01.png

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,
I tried again on a different computer and am still getting the same message.
Chrome browser, Version 95.0.4638.54. Cleared the cache, still nothing.
Images attached.

Thanks,
Craig

On Tue, Oct 26, 2021 at 11:44 AM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Craig,

We are sorry for any inconvenience. We have reached out to seek further information about why you would have received this error message. We were able to test it out and did not receive any errors. Please try again and let us know if you have any further questions. I have attached the link below.

<https://drawsbcounty.redistrictinginsights.com/SanBernardinoApp/Default.aspx>

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - [sbcounty.gov](mailto:webmaster@sbcounty.gov) <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>

Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 11:50 AM

To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>

Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/22/2021

Full Name:: Craig Carpenter

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: The Mappitude portal won't let me setup an account. It says there are too many already registered.
Thanks, Craig

Access Your Online Redistricting Plans

Username

Mapping10101

Password

●●●●●●●●

Log In

Cannot log in. Cannot find user name

[New User](#) | [Forgot Password](#) | [Contact Us](#)

drawsbcounty.redistrictinginsights.com says

Too many registered users (100). Contact your web site administrator
mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com to create new users.

OK

Lovell, Alyssa

From: NWPC Pomona-InlandEmpire <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 7:00 PM
To: redistricting
Cc: Christina Jimenez ♥
Subject: Request for a Speaker

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

My name is Nora Garcia and I am proud to serve as the Caucus President for the Pomona/Inland Empire (PIE) chapter of the National Women's Political Caucus. My colleague Christina Jimenez serves as our Program Chair and is also on this email.

We are reaching out to you today to see if someone from your office would be interested in speaking to our women's group regarding redistricting in San Bernardino County, its impact on the community and elected representation.

We are looking to discuss this topic on November 16th via Zoom at 6pm. Can we count on your office?

Please let us know at your earliest convenience. Thank you so much for your time and consideration.

Best,
Nora Garcia



Engaging, Empowering, Electing Women of Color

NWPC - Pomona / Inland Empire

[Join NWPC-PIE](#)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Maribel Nunez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 9:01 PM
To: redistricting
Cc: Ana Gonzalez; James Albert
Subject: Propose MAP: San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Maps
Attachments: map narrative SB county BOS district map_Brown_Black Redistricting Alliance_10-26-21.pdf; maps_SB county BOS district map_Brown_Black Redistricting Alliance_10-26-21.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Advisory Redistricting Commission:

This is Maribel Nunez, Ana Gonzalez, and James Albert from the Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance.

Here are our proposed San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors District maps. We collected over 30 communities of interests, and also looked at Equal Population and Citizen Voting Population, we know we can get at least 3 Latino Majority (Voting Rights) San Bernardino Board of Supervisors Districts.

Attach is our 5 district San Bernardino county board of supervisors district map with Equal Population, listed cities and CVAP per distinct. Feel free to contact us if you have any questions at [REDACTED]

Best,

Maribel Nunez,, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED] (562) 596-1051

Ana Gonzalez, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]
James Albert, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]

To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>

Cc: Ana Gonzalez [REDACTED]; James Albert <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Propose MAP: San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Maps

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Advisory Redistricting Commission:

This is Maribel Nunez, Ana Gonzalez, and James Albert from the Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance.

Here are our proposed San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors District maps. We collected over 30 communities of interests, and also looked at Equal Population and Citizen Voting Population, we know we can get at least 3 Latino Majority (Voting Rights) San Bernardino Board of Supervisors Districts.

Attach is our 5 district San Bernardino county board of supervisors district map with Equal Population, listed cities and CVAP per distinct. Feel free to contact us if you have any questions at [REDACTED]

Best,

Maribel Nunez,, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]

Ana Gonzalez, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]

James Albert, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]

--
Fabian Valdez Jr
Chief Demographer
Redistricting Insights

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 10:35 AM
To: Maribel Nunez
Cc: Ana Gonzalez; James Albert; redistricting
Subject: RE: Propose MAP: San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Maps

Thank you for your submission. Can you also provide the shape files or block files?

Thank you!

From: Maribel Nunez [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 9:01 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Cc: Ana Gonzalez <[REDACTED]>; James Albert <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Propose MAP: San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Maps

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Advisory Redistricting Commission:

This is Maribel Nunez, Ana Gonzalez, and James Albert from the Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance.

Here are our proposed San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors District maps. We collected over 30 communities of interests, and also looked at Equal Population and Citizen Voting Population, we know we can get at least 3 Latino Majority (Voting Rights) San Bernardino Board of Supervisors Districts.

Attach is our 5 district San Bernardino county board of supervisors district map with Equal Population, listed cities and CVAP per distinct. Feel free to contact us if you have any questions at [REDACTED]

Best,

Maribel Nunez,, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]

Ana Gonzalez, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]
James Albert, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Maribel Nunez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 10:40 AM
To: redistricting
Cc: Ana Gonzalez; James Albert
Subject: Re: Propose MAP: San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Maps

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,
Yes, will send the shape files to you by today.

Maribel Nunez
Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance
[REDACTED]

On Thu, Oct 28, 2021 at 10:35 AM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Thank you for your submission. Can you also provide the shape files or block files?

Thank you!

From: Maribel Nunez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 9:01 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Cc: Ana Gonzalez <[REDACTED]>; James Albert <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Propose MAP: San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Maps

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Advisory Redistricting Commission:

This is Maribel Nunez, Ana Gonzalez, and James Albert from the Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance.

Here are our proposed San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors District maps. We collected over 30 communities of interests, and also looked at Equal Population and Citizen Voting Population, we know we can get at least 3 Latino Majority (Voting Rights) San Bernardino Board of Supervisors Districts.

Attach is our 5 district San Bernardino county board of supervisors district map with Equal Population, listed cities and CVAP per distinct. Feel free to contact us if you have any questions at [REDACTED]

Best,

Maribel Nunez,, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]

Ana Gonzalez, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance [REDACTED]

James Albert, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 10:41 AM
To: Craig Carpenter; redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good morning Craig,

We apologize for the inconvenience. We have made some additional updates. Can you please try this again and let us know if you receive the same error?

<https://drawsbcounty.redistrictinginsights.com/SanBernardinoApp/Default.aspx>

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Craig Carpenter <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 1:36 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,
I tried again on a different computer and am still getting the same message.
Chrome browser, Version 95.0.4638.54. Cleared the cache, still nothing.
Images attached.

Thanks,
Craig

On Tue, Oct 26, 2021 at 11:44 AM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Craig,

We are sorry for any inconvenience. We have reached out to seek further information about why you would have received this error message. We were able to test it out and did not receive any errors. Please try again and let us know if you have any further questions. I have attached the link below.

<https://drawsbcounty.redistrictinginsights.com/SanBernardinoApp/Default.aspx>

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 11:50 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/22/2021

Full Name:: Craig Carpenter

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: The Mappitude portal won't let me setup an account. It says there are too many already registered.
Thanks, Craig

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Michael Tamony; redistricting
Subject: RE: Judge King's map

Good morning Michael,

Unfortunately, we cannot provide you with exact times. District 3 was discussed at length on various occasions. Please see the links below for agendas and videos of the meeting where Judge King's map was discussed.

https://sbcountyredistricting.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/56/2021/08/Yucca-Valley-Advisory-Redistricting-Commission-Agenda_10-13-2021-Updated-100821-v1-combined-1.pdf

https://sbcountyredistricting.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/56/2021/09/BOS-Advisory-Redistricting-Commission-Agenda_10-14-21-FINAL-Combined-1.pdf

https://sbcountyredistricting.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/56/2021/08/Chino-Hills-Advisory-Redistricting-Commission-Agenda_10-21-2021-FINAL-Combined.pdf

https://sbcountyredistricting.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/56/2021/08/Crestline-Advisory-Redistricting-Commission-Agenda_10-27-2021-v5.pdf

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Michael Tamony [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 5:58 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Judge King's map

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you so much for sending this. Can you please refer me to the time in either the 10/13 or 10/14 meeting where District 3 was reviewed for Judge King's proposed map? I am not finding that review of District 3.

It still seems to appear that in Judge King's map, District 3 has the most divergent deviation total and the lowest Hsp CVAP.

Thank you.

On Tue, Oct 26, 2021 at 4:42 PM redistricting <redistricting@sbcountry.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Michael,

The King map was discussed at the Yucca Valley meeting on October 13th and the meeting in San Bernardino on October 14th. There was input throughout the meetings regarding all the districts.

Kings most up to date map can be viewed on the agenda at the link below. This will have the most up to date deviation percentage as well as Hsp CVAP percentage.

https://sbcountryredistricting.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/56/2021/08/Crestline-Advisory-Redistricting-Commission-Agenda_10-27-2021-v5.pdf

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Michael Tamony [REDACTED] >
Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2021 10:49 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com; redistricting <redistricting@sbcountry.gov>
Subject: Judge King's map

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I have been watching the videos of the commission working diligently on district boundaries with the consultant. Can someone please point me to where the boundaries of District 3 were discussed? I can't seem to find that in the videos. If Judge King's map is going to be recommended, I would like to be able to see the discussion that went into District 3.

I would also like to confirm that District 3 in Judge King's map has the greatest deviation at -3.19% and the lowest Hsp CVAP at 29.44% of any other District.

Thank you,

Michael Tamony

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Bruce Daniels; redistricting
Subject: RE: COUNTY REDISTRICTING

Good morning Bruce,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. We have received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting.

Thank you again for participating in this process, and have a wonderful day.



From: Bruce Daniels <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 6:47 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: FW: COUNTY REDISTRICTING
Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

From: Bruce Daniels [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 10:13 AM
Subject: COUNTY REDISTRICTING
Importance: High

VOTER SUPPRESSION

Your turn at the plate!

This is brazen politics at its ugliest, depriving an independent analysis like the State Commission for Congressional and State elective offices. Is San Bernardino County following suit like it did ten years ago?

And ZERO transparency!

HIGH TIME FOR THE HIGH COUNTRY

To Lewis Murray (Supervisor Janice Rutherford AND FORMER Lake Arrowhead Chamber Manager)

Hi Lewis!

I just received notice from a local source – *not the County* – that the its re-districting meeting is being held at the County Administrative Center in San Bernardino today. I will not be traveling that distance inconvenienced due to lack of transportation, little time to interact with political appointees judging past performance and knowing that the County has the capacity to reach out to the public via zoom as you have done previously. I emailed the remarks below to the two identified commissioners ***and have received neither a response nor even acknowledgement of receipt***. Consequently, I am imposing on your valuable time to please make sure my comments are received by the Commission.

The San Bernardino Mountains deserve wiser re-districting having been arbitrarily and artificially disconnected from its natural access south to Redlands, San Bernardino, San Manuel, the East Valley and beyond where an estimated 6 million tourists visit annually. This is evidenced by State highway traffic counts (SR 18 and 330). Regarding federal congressional re-districting, suspiciously separated from County supervisorial re-districting, the late Congressman Jerry Lewis of Redlands once represented us. He was replaced by Congressman Pete Aguilar, a key leader who does not serve our congressional district. That situation is comparable to County supervisorial districts.

The San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors opted for an advisory commission rather than an independent commission, which based on history causes me to sense a strong self-serving political motivation and a noticeable lack of transparency at our expense. As you and Supervisor Rutherford are aware, I strongly opposed the last re-districting convinced that it was politically motivated, contrary to the general welfare of our Mountain community. That has largely been substantiated over the past four years as evidenced by the following:

1. San Bernardino County has already been exposed for malfeasance and incompetence, resulting in voter approval to reduce salaries and term of office. Given the negative reputation of the County BOS, greater transparency and deliberation for this most important decision is urgently needed. The Mountains deserve greater attention
2. The last re-districting was highly controversial as it affected the San Bernardino Mountains, a region largely ignored because of fewer residents although serving more than 6 million visitors annually.
3. On a razor slim 2-3 vote over the objections of Mountain residents and their duly elected supervisor, Supervisor Rutherford and the Board of Supervisors disregarded a voter-approved public spirited Prop 20 citizen's committee recommendation to re-district state and

federal representatives. Mountain residents convinced that committee to recommend one district for our unique community.

4. An independent commission is much preferred as is the case for congressional districts, a more democratic representation than the autocracy currently imposed on substance and process.
5. Politics negatively affected the San Bernardino Mountains separating Big Bear from Running Springs and Lake Arrowhead in favor of the distant West Valley, which has much less relevance to Mountain communities.
6. Supervisor Janice Rutherford is a politically active Republican who served former State Senator and Assemblyman Bill Leonard as Chief of Staff, she resides in Rancho Cucamonga and served as a City of Fontana councilmember bordering Ontario. She carries a strong Western Valley bias away from San Bernardino, Highland, Redlands and San Manuel more closely aligned with the San Bernardino Mountains. Her political interest and experience in the West County distances her away from our San Bernardino Mountain community and its closer relationship with the City of San Bernardino, Highland and Redlands, even Riverside.
7. We're currently served by a supervisor with political interest and experience in the West County, away from our San Bernardino Mountain community and its closer relationship with the City of San Bernardino, Highland and Redlands, even Riverside.
8. Lake Arrowhead, an internationally renowned tourism destination, Running Springs, a key pivot point to that community and Big Bear Lake, the major tourism destination, were previously part of the Third District once represented by former **San Manuel tribal chairman and businessman, Assemblyman James Ramos**. Because of politics, we missed an historic opportunity to be served by Supervisor and now Assemblyman James Ramos, a former San Manuel tribal chairman, businessman, pastor and resident of our Mountain community where our Mountain was once the tribe's ancestral land. Situated on the slopes of the San Bernardino Mountains, San Manuel is notable for its ancestral Mountain homeland, economic success, contribution to our entire Mountain community and environmental sensitivity now missing in County government. That including a proposal for the development of a Swiss-style suspended light rail, which has received no further input in this time of major State and federal infrastructure commitment. That project and others are designed to serve more than 6 million visitors based south of our Mountain in another supervisorial district.
9. Big Bear was once part of our supervisorial district, providing a more relevant example with its incorporated city. Supervisor Janice Rutherford represents the Second District covering Upland, Fontana, Lake Arrowhead and Running Springs areas separated from Big Bear.
10. The San Bernardino National Forest, the key tourism and recreation destination, provides the setting for attractions like Lake Gregory, Lake Arrowhead, Children's Forest, Snow Valley, Rim Nordic, Heaps Peak Arboretum, Big Bear Lake and Big Bear Village. Big Bear is the major economic driver as evidenced by visitor traffic counts. SBNF boundaries encompass three supervisorial districts, which further divides and weakens our community.
11. Grassroots self-determination has been disregarded in conducting the agenda and selection of MAC board members. Running Springs with a closer attachment to Big Bear is not served at the same level of importance.
12. County-funded economic studies of our market underscored the importance of tourism and recreation as a key job and business generator. Now, after having suffered from closures of SR

330, our exposure to a more congested SR 18 fire evacuation route, the proposed re-districting replaces a natural disaster with a manufactured one.

Supervisor Rutherford can earn our respect by restoring the supervisorial district boundaries to include Big Bear. Give mountain voters a choice by placing options for re-districting on the ballot and please keep partisan politics on the shelf restoring a more democratic and natural arrangement.

Thank you for your attention

Sincerely,



Bruce Cort Daniels



Telephone: 

* * *



Dear Commissioner:

The San Bernardino Mountains deserve wiser re-districting having been disconnected from its natural access south to Redlands, San Bernardino, San Manuel, the East Valley and beyond where an estimated 6 million tourists visit annually. This is evidenced by State highway traffic counts (SR 18 and 330). We have much less connection with the High Desert, its culture and economy.

The late Congressman Jerry Lewis of Redlands once represented us until re-districting, replaced by Congressman Pete Aguilar. Please keep politics on the shelf and restore this more viable and natural arrangement.

Sincerely,

Bruce Cort Daniels

Telephone: ([REDACTED])

* * *

Why you might be getting a new San Bernardino County supervisor

By [JEFF HORSEMAN](#) | The Press-Enterprise

PUBLISHED: October 25, 2021 at 5:19 p.m. | UPDATED: October 25, 2021 at 5:24 p.m.

By the end of the year, some San Bernardino County residents could get a new county supervisor.

California counties, as well as cities and other local elected bodies, are redrawing elected leaders' districts to reflect changes revealed by [the 2020 census](#). The process, known as redistricting, happens every 10 years.

[An independent statewide panel](#) is drawing new state legislative and congressional districts. But in most counties, including San Bernardino and Riverside, the Board of Supervisors is responsible for redrawing its own maps to follow state and federal laws intended to ensure equal representation. Each district must have roughly the same number of people — and protect minority voters' rights.

In San Bernardino County, [an advisory commission](#) appointed by the five supervisors is overseeing the redistricting process and is tasked with recommending at least two maps for the board's approval. The deadline for the new maps to be in place is Dec. 15.

Current districts, their supervisor, and what areas they cover, are:

- **District 1**, represented by Paul Cook, includes most of the desert and communities like Victorville, Apple Valley and Adelanto
- **District 2**, represented by Janice Rutherford, includes Upland, Rancho Cucamonga and Fontana
- **District 3**, represented by Dawn Rowe, includes Redlands, Highland and Yucaipa
- **District 4**, represented by Curt Hagman, includes Ontario, Chino, Chino Hills and Montclair
- **District 5**, represented by Supervisor Joe Baca Jr., includes San Bernardino, Colton, Fontana and Rialto

Residents in the San Bernardino Mountains have sought to reunify Crestline/Lake Arrowhead, currently in the Second District, with the Big Bear side in the Third District, after those communities were split up during the 2011 round of redistricting, county spokesperson David Wert said via email.

* * *

Latino lawmakers object to new Riverside County supervisor maps

Boundaries in four draft maps favor incumbents, they say

By [JEFF HORSEMAN](#) | [REDACTED] | The Press-Enterprise

PUBLISHED: October 4, 2021 at 5:28 p.m. | UPDATED: October 5, 2021 at 3:32 p.m.

Hot off the press, four ideas for redrawing Riverside County Board of Supervisors' districts already have three Inland Latino lawmakers reaching for red pens.

State Assembly members Sabrina Cervantes and Jose Medina, both D-Riverside, and **Eduardo Garcia, D-Coachella**, are upset with draft maps created by county officials, saying they violate state and federal laws.


"These proposed maps were drawn with a clear intent to protect certain incumbent supervisors and dilute the influence of Latino voters," read a joint statement issued Monday, Oct. 4, by Cervantes, Medina and Garcia.


"The Latino community makes up a majority of Riverside County's population, but has been historically and systematically disenfranchised," the statement added. "Riverside County's redistricting process must follow the law, and that means the county cannot hamper the ability of Latino voters to elect representatives of their choice."

[Previous](#)



Eduardo Garcia – Jerry Brown





These are the current district boundaries for the Riverside County Board of Supervisors. They were developed in 2011 after the 2010 census. (Courtesy of Riverside County)

A committee of senior county officials, including all five supervisors' chiefs of staff, drew the maps, which will be reviewed by the Riverside County Planning Commission on Wednesday, Oct. 6. The maps "were created with careful consideration and respect to communities of interest that share common social and economic factors," county spokesperson Brooke Federico, who sits on the map-drawing panel, said via email.

"Achieving equal population within each supervisorial district while preserving communities of interest is not an easy task, and yet these maps have paid close attention to ensuring entire cities and census designated populations are not split among districts."

The county "continues to follow" the law and anyone — including state legislators — can propose maps, Federico added.

The lawmakers' objections come as the county starts redrawing supervisor district maps to account for population changes revealed by the 2020 census.

The "redistricting" process, which occurs every 10 years, is meant to ensure the best county government representation for the county's 2.4 million residents. State legislative and congressional districts [are being redrawn by a statewide commission](#), while Riverside County supervisors have the final say in how their districts are reshaped.

Redistricting got off to a late start [because census results were delayed](#) amid [the coronavirus pandemic](#) and a dispute about the census questionnaire. The county has until Dec. 15 to redraw the districts. The planning commission, acting in an advisory role, will submit at least one redistricting plan to supervisors. The public can weigh in through public hearings and a website on which they can suggest new district boundaries. The four draft maps — A, B, C, and D — try to hit a target of 483,637 people in each district. Legally, the new districts must be roughly equal in population and give minority voters a fair chance of electing one of their own to public office.

The current map splits the city of Riverside, the county's most-populated, into districts represented by supervisors Kevin Jeffries and Karen Spiegel. This frustrates Riverside residents who want one supervisor.

While Riverside shares common interests with the rest of the county, "because of our size, we need one representative to specifically champion our bigger city issues in relation to county policy," Joan Donahue, president of the League of Women Voters Riverside, wrote in a letter to the county.

Three of the four maps would put all of Riverside into the First District now represented by Jeffries. Map B would put most of the city in the First District with the northmost part, along with Highgrove, going into Supervisor Jeff Hewitt's Fifth District with Jurupa Valley, cities in the San Geronimo Pass, Hemet and San Jacinto.

The Third District, represented by Supervisor Chuck Washington, encompasses Hemet, San Jacinto, Anza, Aguanga, Idyllwild-Pine Cove, Murrieta and Temecula.

With the exception of Map C, Hemet and San Jacinto would be in the same district with Calimesa, Banning and Beaumont. In all four maps, Anza, Aguanga, and Idyllwild-Pine Cove would move into the Fourth District, which stretches from the Coachella Valley to Blythe and is represented by Supervisor V. Manuel Perez.

The city with the widest range of outcomes is Jurupa Valley. Maps A and D would place it in the Second District, Map B would move it to the Fifth District and Map C would place it in the First District.

Here's a breakdown of the four maps.

Map A

District 1 — Canyon Lake, El Sobrante, Good Hope, Highgrove, Home Gardens, Lake Mathews, most of March Air Reserve Base, Mead Valley, Meadowbrook, Perris, Riverside, Woodcrest.

District 2 — Corona, Eastvale, El Cerrito, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, Lakeland Village, Norco, Temescal Valley.

District 3 — De Luz, East Hemet, French Valley, Green Acres, La Cresta, Menifee, Murrieta, Sage, Temecula, Valle Vista, Wildomar, Winchester.

District 4 — Aguanga, Anza, Blythe, Coachella Valley cities, Idyllwild-Pine Cove.

District 5 — Banning, Beaumont, Cabazon, Calimesa, Cherry Valley, Hemet, Homeland, some of March Air Reserve Base, Moreno Valley, Nuevo, Romoland, San Jacinto.

Map B

District 1 — Home Gardens, Moreno Valley, March Air Reserve Base, most of Riverside

District 2 — Canyon Lake, Corona, Eastvale, El Cerrito, El Sobrante, Good Hope, Lake Elsinore, Lake Mathews, Lakeland Village, Mead Valley, Norco, Temescal Valley, Wildomar, Woodcrest.

District 3 — De Luz, French Valley, La Cresta, Murrieta, Perris, Sage, Temecula.

District 4 — Aguanga, Anza, Blythe, Coachella Valley cities, Idyllwild-Pine Cove.

District 5 — Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Cherry Valley, East Hemet, Green Acres, Hemet, Highgrove, Homeland, Jurupa Valley, Nuevo, some of Riverside, Romoland, San Jacinto, Valle Vista.

Map C

District 1 — El Sobrante, Highgrove, Jurupa Valley, some of Moreno Valley, Riverside, Woodcrest.

District 2 — Canyon Lake, Corona, Eastvale, El Cerrito, Good Hope, Lake Elsinore, Lake Mathews, Lakeland Village, Mead Valley, Meadowbrook, Norco, Temescal Valley, Wildomar.

District 3 — De Luz, French Valley, Green Acres, East Hemet, Hemet, La Cresta, Murrieta, Sage, San Jacinto, Temecula, Valle Vista, Winchester.

District 4 — Aguanga, Anza, Blythe, Coachella Valley cities, Idyllwild-Pine Cove.

District 5 — Banning, Beaumont, Cabazon, Calimesa, Cherry Valley, Homeland, Menifee, most of Moreno Valley, Nuevo, Perris, Romoland.

Map D

District 1 — Canyon Lake, El Sobrante, Good Hope, Lake Mathews, most of March Air Reserve Base, Mead Valley, Meadowbrook, Perris, Riverside, Woodcrest.

District 2 — Corona, Eastvale, El Cerrito; Home Gardens, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, Lakeland Village, Norco, Temescal Valley.

District 3 — Aguanga, De Luz, East Hemet, French Valley, Green Acres, La Cresta, Menifee, Murrieta, Sage, Temecula, Wildomar, Winchester, Valle Vista.

District 4 — Anza; Blythe; Cabazon; Coachella Valley cities; Idyllwild-Pine Cove.

District 5 — Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Cherry Valley, Hemet, Homeland, Moreno Valley, Nuevo, Romoland, San Jacinto.

For information and to offer input on the maps, visit www.rivco.org/about-county/county-boards-committees-and-commissions/county-redistricting-efforts.

IF YOU GO

The Riverside County Planning Commission will review four draft maps of new Board of Supervisors districts.

When: 9 a.m. Wednesday, Oct. 6

Where: First-floor board chambers, County Administrative Center, 4080 Lemon St. Riverside. The meeting will be livestreamed at www.rivcotv.org.

What's next: Supervisors are set to host a redistricting public hearing at 6 p.m. Oct. 19 in the board chambers.

-
- [Newsroom Guidelines](#)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:16 AM
To: Scott L. Rindenow; redistricting
Cc: Murray, Lewis
Subject: RE: REDISTRICTING

Good afternoon Scott,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. We have received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting.

Thank you again for participating in this process, and have a wonderful day.



From: Scott L. Rindenow [REDACTED] >
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 6:59 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Cc: Murray, Lewis <[REDACTED]>
Subject: REDISTRICTING

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission,

As the Chair of the Lake Arrowhead Municipal Advisory Council (MAC), I am writing to urge you to consider the consequences of combining the San Bernardino mountains into one Supervisor District.

As of now, Crestline, Lake Arrowhead and Running Springs all fall into the Second Supervisor District, while Big Bear falls into District 3. Currently, two Supervisors represent the mountain's three large communities. That gives residents of the mountains two voices of support on the Board of County Supervisors. Lumping all the mountain communities into one Supervisor District will not only dilute our representation, but it will dilute our ability to handle issues that are unique to our landscape.

Our two current Supervisors, Supervisor Janice Rutherford (District 2) and Supervisor Dawn Rowe (District 3) have done a stellar job in representing and supporting the best interests of the mountain communities. We cannot afford to lose that support. Our residents, our schools, our first responders and our local businesses all need fair representation on the Board of Supervisors.

The mountain communities represent a very large percentage of licensed vacation rentals, resort living residents and full time home based workers in San Bernardino County. Please help us grow our communities with a new redistricting map that maintains more dedicated Supervisor representatives than less.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,

Scott L. Rindenow

Chair

Lake Arrowhead Municipal Advisory Council



Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:17 AM
To: Tricia DuFour; redistricting
Subject: RE: Redistricting

Good afternoon Tricia,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. We have received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting.

Thank you again for participating in this process, and have a wonderful day.



From: Tricia DuFour [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 26, 2021 10:23 PM
To: Robin Bull - Executive Director <[REDACTED]>; redistricting
<redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Redistricting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

My initial reaction to redistricting the mountain communities to one Supervisor District seemed like a good idea.

But after researching the issue, I realized not only is this a bad idea, it could be detrimental to the needs of the mountain communities.

Currently when it comes to allocating resources for services that are very specific to the mountains, such as fighting wildfires, keeping roads clear of rocks and snow in the winter time, and staffing an adequate number of first responders, we have three supervisors advocating for our needs.

Reducing the number of Supervisors from 3 to 1 for the mountain communities puts us at a disadvantage.

It is my hope that we can keep the districts close to the existing boundaries and continue to have 3 Supervisors representing the San Bernardino County Mountain Communities.

--

Have a Blessed Day

Tricia Dufour

President, Lake Arrowhead Communities Chamber of Commerce

--

Have a Blessed Day

Tricia

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Christopher Porter <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:27 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: OCT 27 MEETING

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I just want to know when the video from the meeting yesterday will be up on the website? Thank you.

- CJ

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Sassoon, Lori; redistricting
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The next Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting will be held on November 02, 2021 at 6pm in Ontario. Below is a link of our calendar. If you are unable to attend in person, you have the option of watching live. We've also attached a link below in case you'd like to watch any past meetings.

<https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

Have a wonderful day.



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:33 AM
To: Christopher Porter; redistricting
Subject: RE: OCT 27 MEETING

Good afternoon Christopher,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The video from yesterday is currently up on the website. I've attached the link below.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XNAxEKTIHGg&list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh&index=1>

Have a wonderful day.



From: Christopher Porter [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:27 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: OCT 27 MEETING

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I just want to know when the video from the meeting yesterday will be up on the website? Thank you.

- CJ

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:55 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks so much. I also wanted to see who I can speak to on the staff regarding a mapping question; watching the last meeting, it looks like the mappers may be using an incorrect/outdated boundary for Rancho Cucamonga. Who should I reach out to about that?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Sassoon, Lori <Lori.Sassoon@cityofrc.us>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The next Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting will be held on November 02, 2021 at 6pm in Ontario. Below is a link of our calendar. If you are unable to attend in person, you have the option of watching live. We've also attached a link below in case you'd like to watch any past meetings.

<https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

Have a wonderful day.



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori; redistricting
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Lori,
You can contact me at [REDACTED] Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:55 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks so much. I also wanted to see who I can speak to on the staff regarding a mapping question; watching the last meeting, it looks like the mappers may be using an incorrect/outdated boundary for Rancho Cucamonga. Who should I reach out to about that?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The next Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting will be held on November 02, 2021 at 6pm in Ontario. Below is a link of our calendar. If you are unable to attend in person, you have the option of watching live. We've also attached a link below in case you'd like to watch any past meetings.

<https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

Have a wonderful day.



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:27 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela – thanks! I am tied up until after 2:30 or so, and then will give you a call....
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Lori,
You can contact me at [REDACTED]. Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:55 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks so much. I also wanted to see who I can speak to on the staff regarding a mapping question; watching the last meeting, it looks like the mappers may be using an incorrect/outdated boundary for Rancho Cucamonga. Who should I reach out to about that?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The next Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting will be held on November 02, 2021 at 6pm in Ontario. Below is a link of our calendar. If you are unable to attend in person, you have the option of watching live. We've also attached a link below in case you'd like to watch any past meetings.

<https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

Have a wonderful day.



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:52 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori; redistricting
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

No problem, please also send me your boundaries and I will confirm with our consultant what the census is using and do a comparison. Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela – thanks! I am tied up until after 2:30 or so, and then will give you a call....
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Lori,
You can contact me at [REDACTED] thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration

County Administrative Office

Phone: [REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.

www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:55 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks so much. I also wanted to see who I can speak to on the staff regarding a mapping question; watching the last meeting, it looks like the mappers may be using an incorrect/outdated boundary for Rancho Cucamonga. Who should I reach out to about that?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The next Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting will be held on November 02, 2021 at 6pm in Ontario. Below is a link of our calendar. If you are unable to attend in person, you have the option of watching live. We've also attached a link below in case you'd like to watch any past meetings.

<https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

Have a wonderful day.



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:10 PM
To: redistricting
Cc: Lawdis, Steve
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Will do – Steve can you please assist by providing Pamela with an updated boundary map that includes the recent Etiwanda Heights Annexation? Thanks. Today would be ideal.

As far as the pop counts go, it shouldn't change much as this area is very scarcely populated. But it should really stay with the rest of the City- and in the coming years, as it's build out, that will definitely change.

Thanks again!

- Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:52 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

No problem, please also send me your boundaries and I will confirm with our consultant what the census is using and do a comparison. Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela – thanks! I am tied up until after 2:30 or so, and then will give you a call....
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Lori,
You can contact me at [REDACTED] Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:55 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks so much. I also wanted to see who I can speak to on the staff regarding a mapping question; watching the last meeting, it looks like the mappers may be using an incorrect/outdated boundary for Rancho Cucamonga. Who should I reach out to about that?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The next Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting will be held on November 02, 2021 at 6pm in Ontario. Below is a link of our calendar. If you are unable to attend in person, you have the option of watching live. We've also attached a link below in case you'd like to watch any past meetings.

<https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

Have a wonderful day.



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Lawdis, Steve <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:23 PM
To: redistricting
Cc: Sassoon, Lori
Subject: Re: Next steps for Redistricting Commission
Attachments: City Boundary.zip; RanchoCucamongaBoundaryMap-8.5x11.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela,

Attached is the City of Rancho Cucamonga's boundary file in Shapefile format. I have also included a PDF map as well. In case a different GIS format is needed, here is [a link to our GIS Open Data Portal](#). Select the cloud icon with a down arrow and a menu will appear with other format choices as well.

Let me know if you have any questions or need assistance, thank you.

Steve J. Lawdis

GIS Supervisor | Dept of Innovation & Tech

City of Rancho Cucamonga



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:09 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Cc: Lawdis, Steve [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Will do – Steve can you please assist by providing Pamela with an updated boundary map that includes the recent Etiwanda Heights Annexation? Thanks. Today would be ideal.

As far as the pop counts go, it shouldn't change much as this area is very scarcely populated. But it should really stay with the rest of the City- and in the coming years, as it's build out, that will definitely change.

Thanks again!

- Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:52 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

No problem, please also send me your boundaries and I will confirm with our consultant what the census is using and do a comparison. Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela – thanks! I am tied up until after 2:30 or so, and then will give you a call....
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Lori,
You can contact me at [REDACTED] Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:55 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks so much. I also wanted to see who I can speak to on the staff regarding a mapping question; watching the last meeting, it looks like the mappers may be using an incorrect/outdated boundary for Rancho Cucamonga. Who should I reach out to about that?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The next Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting will be held on November 02, 2021 at 6pm in Ontario. Below is a link of our calendar. If you are unable to attend in person, you have the option of watching live. We've also attached a link below in case you'd like to watch any past meetings.

<https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

Have a wonderful day.





From: Sassoon, Lori [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga



City of Rancho Cucamonga

Legend
City Boundary

Esri, NASA, NGA, USGS, FEMA, City of Rancho Cucamonga, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, METI/NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land Management, EPA, NPS, USDA, San Bernardino Ave

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Pat Fisher [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:18 PM
To: mapsupport@redistrictinginsights.com
Subject: Need Parcel Info

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I'm trying to find a tax id number and a zip code for parcel # [REDACTED] I don't believe it has an address but if so please let me know. I'm trying to determine the value of the parcel for the owner.

Thank you,

Pat Fisher
Realtor
Coldwell Banker Hallmark Realty
[REDACTED]



Lovell, Alyssa

From: Maribel Nunez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:25 PM
To: redistricting
Cc: Ana Gonzalez; James Albert
Subject: Re: Propose MAP: San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Maps
Attachments: SB Supervisor Plan 7 adj.prj; SB Supervisor Plan 7 adj.dbf; SB Supervisor Plan 7 adj.shx; SB Supervisor Plan 7 adj.shp; SB Supervisor Plan 7 adj.xlsx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,
Here are our shape files.

Maribel

On Thu, Oct 28, 2021 at 10:40 AM Maribel Nunez <maribel@inlandequitypartnership.org> wrote:
Hello,
Yes, will send the shape files to you by today.

Maribel Nunez
Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance
[REDACTED]

On Thu, Oct 28, 2021 at 10:35 AM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Thank you for your submission. Can you also provide the shape files or block files?

Thank you!

From: Maribel Nunez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 9:01 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Cc: Ana Gonzalez <[REDACTED]> James Albert <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Propose MAP: San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Redistricting Maps

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors Advisory Redistricting Commission:

This is Maribel Nunez, Ana Gonzalez, and James Albert from the Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance.

Here are our proposed San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors District maps. We collected over 30 communities of interests, and also looked at Equal Population and Citizen Voting Population, we know we can get at least 3 Latino Majority (Voting Rights) San Bernardino Board of Supervisors Districts.

Attach is our 5 district San Bernardino county board of supervisors district map with Equal Population, listed cities and CVAP per district. Feel free to contact us if you have any questions at [REDACTED]

Best,

Maribel Nunez,, Brown and Black Redistricting
Alliance, [REDACTED] (562) 596-4951

Ana Gonzalez, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance [REDACTED]

James Albert, Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance, [REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Craig Carpenter <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 7:09 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,
Yep, that did it. Working fine now, thanks for looking into it.

Craig

On Thu, Oct 28, 2021 at 10:40 AM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good morning Craig,

We apologize for the inconvenience. We have made some additional updates. Can you please try this again and let us know if you receive the same error?

<https://drawsbcounty.redistrictinginsights.com/SanBernardinoApp/Default.aspx>

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Craig Carpenter <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 1:36 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I tried again on a different computer and am still getting the same message.

Chrome browser, Version 95.0.4638.54. Cleared the cache, still nothing.

Images attached.

Thanks,

Craig

On Tue, Oct 26, 2021 at 11:44 AM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Craig,

We are sorry for any inconvenience. We have reached out to seek further information about why you would have received this error message. We were able to test it out and did not receive any errors. Please try again and let us know if you have any further questions. I have attached the link below.

<https://drawsbcounty.redistrictinginsights.com/SanBernardinoApp/Default.aspx>

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>

Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 11:50 AM

To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>

Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/22/2021

Full Name:: Craig Carpenter

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: The Mappitude portal won't let me setup an account. It says there are too many already registered. Thanks, Craig

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 6:27 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/29/2021

Full Name:: Gregory Rice

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: There have been several comments regarding keeping the mountain communities together. As I said in my comments during the Crestline meeting, look for areas where the resource and community goals are similar. Using this standard, the best way to define the mountains is the US Forest Service boundary.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 9:31 AM
To: Craig Carpenter; redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good morning Craig,

I'm so glad to hear it's working again for you.

We appreciate your engagement with this process. Have a great weekend.

Thank you,



From: Craig Carpenter [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 7:09 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,
Yep, that did it. Working fine now, thanks for looking into it.

Craig

On Thu, Oct 28, 2021 at 10:40 AM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good morning Craig,

We apologize for the inconvenience. We have made some additional updates. Can you please try this again and let us know if you receive the same error?

<https://drawsbcounty.redistrictinginsights.com/SanBernardinoApp/Default.aspx>

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Craig Carpenter [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 1:36 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Public Comments Submittal

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I tried again on a different computer and am still getting the same message.

Chrome browser, Version 95.0.4638.54. Cleared the cache, still nothing.

Images attached.

Thanks,

Craig

On Tue, Oct 26, 2021 at 11:44 AM redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon Craig,

We are sorry for any inconvenience. We have reached out to seek further information about why you would have received this error message. We were able to test it out and did not receive any errors. Please try again and let us know if you have any further questions. I have attached the link below.

<https://drawsbcounty.redistrictinginsights.com/SanBernardinoApp/Default.aspx>

We appreciate your engagement with this process.

Thank you,



From: Webmaster - [sbcounty.gov](mailto:webmaster@sbcounty.gov) <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2021 11:50 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/22/2021

Full Name:: Craig Carpenter

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: The Mappitude portal won't let me setup an account. It says there are too many already registered. Thanks, Craig

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sky Allen [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 1, 2021 6:15 PM
To: redistricting; Supervisor Baca; Supervisor Cook; Supervisor Hagman; Supervisor Rowe; Supervisor Rutherford
Cc: Williams, Pamela; Monell, Lynna; Michael Gomez Daly
Subject: Response to Dismissal of Public Submission #3
Attachments: RE_ Dismissal of Public Submission #3.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good evening San Bernardino Redistricting team,

Please find a letter attached regarding Public Submission #3 - the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub's map proposal.

All the best,

--

*Sky Allen
she/her/hers
Program Director
IE United*

[REDACTED]

November 1, 2021

San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>

Honorable Keith D. Davis

Honorable Pamela Preston King

Commissioner Mark Creffield

Commissioner Robert Little

Commissioner Jessica Naquin

Commissioner Jean-Rene Basle

Commissioner William Jernigan

385 N Arrowhead Ave,

San Bernardino, CA 92415

San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors

Supervisor Curt Hagman <[REDACTED]>

Supervisor Dawn Rowe <[REDACTED]>

Supervisor Paul Cook <[REDACTED]>

Supervisor Janice Rutherford <[REDACTED]>

Supervisor Joe Baca, Jr. <[REDACTED]>

385 N Arrowhead Ave,

San Bernardino, CA 92415

Sent via electronic transmission

Dear San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission and County Board of Supervisors:

Thank you for your commitment to leading a thorough and public participatory process that is helping inform the development of draft maps. We appreciate the transparency of the Commission in their regular meeting discussions and the serious consideration made to ensure compliance with the federal Voting Rights Act. That being said, we write to express our concern that mapping decisions are being made based on intuition instead of the actual criteria. We urge you to conduct an analysis for the purpose of determining effective districts that comply with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act and review the order of priority of the redistricting criteria.

To date, the Advisory Redistricting Commission has made it a priority to draw 2 majority-minority districts that are in compliance with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act. However, on October 19th the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub submitted a map proposal where Latinos comprised over 50% of the citizen voting age population in 3 districts, satisfying the first *Gingles* precondition. Instead of considering the submission and evaluating the ways in which the IE Redistricting Hub balanced redistricting criteria, the Commission dismissed the map citing Voting Rights Act concerns, despite the fact that the Commission has not actually conducted an analysis to determine whether districts in any of their proposed maps include effective VRA districts. The California Citizens Redistricting Commission's demographers conducted a RPV

analysis and their team found evidence of racially polarized voting at the state [assembly](#), state [senate](#), and [congressional](#) level in San Bernardino County. They further concluded that areas of San Bernardino County [satisfy all 3 Gingles preconditions](#). **We urge the San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission to conduct and release an analysis of their own to determine VRA effectiveness of districts before submitting any map proposal to the San Bernardino Board of Supervisors.**

We are also concerned that the Advisory Redistricting Commission is misapplying the redistricting criteria as described in the California Elections Code §21500(c). There are 5 distinct criteria listed in order of priority: 1) districts are geographically contiguous; 2) local neighborhoods and communities of interest are respected; 3) the geographic integrity of cities and census designated places are respected; 4) districts are easily identifiable; and 5) geographic compactness is encouraged. The Commission has made it a priority to keep cities whole, but has repeatedly described that approach as keeping communities of interest whole. It should be noted that the California legislature explicitly does not see cities as communities of interest, but rather prioritizes respecting communities of interests before maintaining the full integrity of cities and census designated places. The legislature recognized that many times communities straddle city borders or reside only within certain portions of cities. The IE Redistricting Hub submitted a number of communities of interest that are not bound by city borders. **We urge the San Bernardino County Advisory Redistricting Commission to ensure that they are prioritizing compliance with the Voting Rights Act and maintaining communities of interest over maintaining entire cities.**

Given that there are substantial differences between Latino communities and White communities in San Bernardino County with respect to income, education, employment, and housing, our partners understood that not all regions of a city are equally accessible or responsive to Latino interests. In Upland for instance, the communities south of Foothill share more in common with Ontario than they do much of the city north of Foothill given socioeconomic conditions, housing options, city development trends, and racial and ethnic demographics. Keeping the city of Upland whole would dilute the voting power of Latinos, and so the Hub prioritized that community of interest. Further east, the community members in Bloomington often find themselves organizing and being in community with residents of Fontana given warehouse development. Though there is an attempt by Rialto to annex the community of Bloomington, the residents there feel more connected to Fontana for environmental reasons, and our maps group them respecting that reality. We also heard requests to group Barstow with the Victor Valley and to keep the mountain communities together. Our submission well balances population, Latino voting power, and communities of interests. We disagree with the Commission's reasons for dismissing our submission and encourage you to reconsider.

We hope you find our recommendations both reasonable and practicable and look forward to working with you to make the 2021 redistricting process fair, transparent, and accessible. Please reach out to Sky Allen with Inland Empire United at sky@ieunited.org should you need more information. Thank you for your service and consideration.

CC: Lynna Monell, Clerk of the Board <[REDACTED]>
Pamela Williams <[REDACTED]>

Communities for a New California
Community Health Action Network
Inland Coalition for Immigrant Justice
Inland Congregations United for Change
Inland Empire Community Collaborative
Inland Empire United
Motivating Action Leadership Opportunity - MALO
Nehemiah Charitable Fund
Starting Over, Inc

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 9:29 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela, just following up to confirm you received the new boundaries, and to see if there were any changes to the maps as a result? Would love to connect in advance of tonight's meeting. I've kept our CM John informed and I'd like to close the loop today if possible – would a quick phone call be helpful?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:52 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <Lori.Sassoon@cityofrc.us>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

No problem, please also send me your boundaries and I will confirm with our consultant what the census is using and do a comparison. Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: 9 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela – thanks! I am tied up until after 2:30 or so, and then will give you a call....
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Lori,
You can contact me at [REDACTED] thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: 9 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:55 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks so much. I also wanted to see who I can speak to on the staff regarding a mapping question; watching the last meeting, it looks like the mappers may be using an incorrect/outdated boundary for Rancho Cucamonga. Who should I reach out to about that?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The next Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting will be held on November 02, 2021 at 6pm in Ontario. Below is a link of our calendar. If you are unable to attend in person, you have the option of watching live. We've also attached a link below in case you'd like to watch any past meetings.

<https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

Have a wonderful day.



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 12:10 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 11/2/2021

Full Name:: Deborah Ann Knowlton

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: ([REDACTED])

Comment:: I was unable to attend the Crestline Redistricting meeting, but I have reviewed the materials and would like to "vote" for "Public Submission 3 Combined" as my #1 preference, "SB RI Proposal V2 1 Combined" as my second, and "King V3" as my third. Thank you, Deborah Knowlton

Lovell, Alyssa

From: krn4pets [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 12:48 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Devore redistricting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,
I was just made aware that the county is trying to place Devore in Baca's district. I DO NOT WANT TO BE REDISTRICTED INTO BACA'S DISTRICT! I am very happy with Rutherford.
Thank you,
Karen Lees
[REDACTED]

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 12:50 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 11/2/2021

Full Name:: James W Gallagher

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: ([REDACTED])

Comment:: Greetings commissioners. I am Jim Gallagher, Board member of the Chino Valley Chamber of Commerce and I would like to comment on The three District 4 maps as presented in the October 21, 2021 Redistricting Commission meeting. After studying the fields and values of the the three maps it appears to med that the most equitable communities of interest representation are found in Item 5 Map:

Public_Submission_1_Combined/ District 4. This map contains only 0.46% deviation overall with 26,88% White, 17.1% Asian, and 30.26% other. The common historical connections between metropolitan / suburban Chino, Chino Hills, Montclair, Ontario, and Rancho Cucamonga shared communities continues, in this depiction, to be represented. The transportation and logistics hub for the county Freeway corridor boundaries remaining intact and provide an easily identifiable district. Major commercial areas like the Montclair mall, the Ontario Mills Mall, and the Shoppes of Chino Hills combined with the Ontario Airport hub remain in this map, as part of this District. as does the Chino Hills State park. This lends itself to an equitable representative district that will continue to meet our future residential needs.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Karen Lees <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 12:51 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Devore

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good afternoon,
I just heard the county wants to redistrict Devore into Joe Baca's district.
Please do not do that to us! We are very happy with Janice Rutherford.
Thank you,
Ken Lees
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Dave & Val Henry <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 1:15 PM
To: redistricting
Cc: Supervisor Rutherford; Murray, Lewis; Darcee Klapp
Subject: RE: Redistricting of Devore Heights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

My family has lived in Devore Heights (2nd district) for over 35 years and we are very unhappy at the prospect of being moved into the 5th district. we moved to this area to live in the county and enjoy the rural lifestyle. We do not want to be lumped in with the city of San Bernardino.

Please do not allow Devore Heights to be moved into the 5th district.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Valerie Henry

David Henry

Brett Henry

Brian Henry
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 1:19 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re: Re districting devore

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

County Supervisors,

Please be advised that as a Devore resident I am requesting that you NOT place Devore in Joe Bacas district. This will not benefit Devore in anyway

Thank you

Tammy Longwisch
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Dave & Val Henry [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 1:26 PM
To: redistricting
Cc: Darcee Klapp; Supervisor Rutherford; Karan Slobom; Murray, Lewis; Noretta Barker
Subject: RE: Redistricting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

I am writing as the President of the Devore Rural Protection Association

(DRPA) to request that you please deny the movement of Devore Heights into the 5th district. We have been in the 2nd district for many years and enjoy the rural, animal friendly atmosphere afforded us.

The residents of Devore Heights have fought hard to preserve our lifestyle and will continue to do so. We do not want to be included in with the city of San Bernardino as we fear losing our rural identity.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Valerie Henry

President, Devore Rural Protection Association (DRPA)

[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 1:33 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: DEVORE redistributing

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I am a Devore resident and am asking that you "NOT" place Devore in Joe Bacas district Thank you Sent from my iPhone

Lovell, Alyssa

From: email92407 <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 1:49 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Devore

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I want to remain in district 2.

Kathy Walter
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: I Yaggi <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 1:54 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Redistricting of Devore

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I am Devore Heights resident, please do not put Devore under, Joe Baca.

Thank you

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Lorna Garvin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 1:58 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Tonight meeting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of Devore and I am requesting that you DO NOT put Devore in Joe Barca's district.
Please leave us where are.

Sincerely
Devore Resident
Lorna Garvin

Sent from my iPhone

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:08 PM
To: redistricting; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon Gregg,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. We have received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting.

Thank you again for participating in this process, and have a wonderful day.



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 6:27 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 10/29/2021

Full Name:: Gregory Rice

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: (909) 391-1020

Comment:: There have been several comments regarding keeping the mountain communities together. As I said in my comments during the Crestline meeting, look for areas where the resource and community goals are similar. Using this standard, the best way to define the mountains is the US Forest Service boundary.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: email92407 [REDACTED] >
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:08 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: What district is Devore in??

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I thought we were in second district under Rutherford. Why does Baca say Devore is in Fifth?

What the heck is going on there????

Kathy Walter






Welcome to the Fifth District

Welcome to the Fifth District, San Bernardino County's largest district comprised of San Bernardino, Rialto, Fontana, Colton, and the unincorporated areas of Bloomington, Muscoy, Rosena

AA

sbcounty.



The Second District



Profile

San Bernardino County's Second Supervisorial District is the heart of the Inland Empire, encompassing the cities of Fontana, Rancho Cucamonga, and much of Fontana.

The Second District also includes the unincorporated areas of Devore, Lytle Creek, San Antonio Heights, and as the entire Rim of the World mountain community from Cedarpines Park to Green Valley Lake.

Additional Information...

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:13 PM
To: jrcdak1@verizon.net; redistricting
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon Deborah,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. We have received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting.

Thank you again for participating in this process, and have a wonderful day.



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 12:10 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 11/2/2021
Full Name:: Deborah Ann Knowlton
Email:: [REDACTED]
Phone Number:: (909) 397-0741

Comment:: I was unable to attend the Crestline Redistricting meeting, but I have reviewed the materials and would like to "vote" for "Public Submission 3 Combined" as my #1 preference, "SB RI Proposal V2 1 Combined" as my second, and "King V3" as my third. Thank you, Deborah Knowlton

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:13 PM
To: [REDACTED] redistricting
Subject: RE: Devore redistricting

Good afternoon Karen,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. We have received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting.

Thank you again for participating in this process, and have a wonderful day.



From: krn4pets <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 12:48 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Devore redistricting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,
I was just made aware that the county is trying to place Devore in Baca's district. I DO NOT WANT TO BE REDISTRICED INTO BACA'S DISTRIC! I am very happy with Rutherford.
Thank you,
Karen Lees
[REDACTED]

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:14 PM
To: redistricting; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Public Comments Submittal

Good afternoon James,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. We have received your communication regarding the 2021 San Bernardino County redistricting.

Thank you again for participating in this process, and have a wonderful day.



From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov <webmaster@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 12:50 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 11/2/2021
Full Name:: James W Gallagher
Email:: [REDACTED]
Phone Number:: (909) 510-0310

Comment:: Greetings commissioners. I am Jim Gallagher, Board member of the Chino Valley Chamber of Commerce and I would like to comment on The three District 4 maps as presented in the October 21, 2021 Redistricting Commission meeting. After studying the fields and values of the the three maps it appears to med that the most equitable communities of interest representation are found in Item 5 Map:

Public_Submission_1_Combined/ District 4. This map contains only 0.46% deviation overall with 26,88% White, 17.1% Asian, and 30.26% other. The common historical connections between metropolitan / suburban Chino, Chino Hills, Montclair, Ontario, and Rancho Cucamonga shared communities continues, in this depiction, to be represented. The transportation and logistics hub for the county Freeway corridor boundaries remaining intact and provide an easily identifiable district. Major commercial areas like the Montclair mall, the Ontario Mills Mall, and the Shoppes of Chino Hills combined with the Ontario Airport hub remain in this map, as part of this District. as does the Chino Hills State park. This lends itself to an equitable representative district that will continue to meet our future residential needs.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: redistricting
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori; redistricting
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission


Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for the follow-up. I shared your files with our demographer and it appears that the city boundaries differ from what the census has identified as the boundaries for the City. Do you know when the Etiwanda Heights area was annexed, was it prior to April 2020? I have included a view of the census identification (in yellow) contrasted with the identified city boundaries (orange outline). Our consultant has confirmed that neither of the two (2) Commission adopted maps split this area from the rest of the city but we will report these findings to the Commission at tonight's meeting. Please let me know if you have any questions and thank you for bringing this to our attention.

Commission adopted maps (10/27/2021 Agenda)

https://sanbernardino.granicus.com/AgendaViewer.php?view_id=33&clip_id=5575

1. Agenda item #2: King v3
2. Agenda item #4: Public Submission 1-1



Please let me know if you have any questions, you can reach me at [REDACTED]

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 9:29 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela, just following up to confirm you received the new boundaries, and to see if there were any changes to the maps as a result? Would love to connect in advance of tonight's meeting. I've kept our CM John informed and I'd like to close the loop today if possible – would a quick phone call be helpful?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:52 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

No problem, please also send me your boundaries and I will confirm with our consultant what the census is using and do a comparison. Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela – thanks! I am tied up until after 2:30 or so, and then will give you a call....
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Lori,
You can contact me at [REDACTED] Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:55 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks so much. I also wanted to see who I can speak to on the staff regarding a mapping question; watching the last meeting, it looks like the mappers may be using an incorrect/outdated boundary for Rancho Cucamonga. Who should I reach out to about that?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The next Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting will be held on November 02, 2021 at 6pm in Ontario. Below is a link of our calendar. If you are unable to attend in person, you have the option of watching live. We've also attached a link below in case you'd like to watch any past meetings.

<https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

Have a wonderful day.



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Becky Hade <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:21 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Devore

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To the county of supervisor's do not place Devore in Joe Baca's district.
Thankyou Becky Hade

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Karan Slobom <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:23 PM
To: redistricting
Cc: Murray, Lewis; Janice Rutherford; Val Henry; Darcee Klapp; Noretta Barker
Subject: Redistricting of 2nd District Letter
Attachments: Redistricting Ltr Nov 2 2021.docx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

November 2, 2021

RE: Redistricting

To Whom It May Concern,

I am respectfully requesting to leave our Devore Community in the 2nd District.

Due to the fact, the 5th District does NOT have the Devore Community in their Best Interest. This became more apparent when the Devore Community had to continually debate the Board of Supervisors during the Contract with Live Nation and Glen Helen Amphitheater, regarding the Board of Supervisors authorizing 2AM Events at the Glen Helen Amphitheater and other concerns the Raves brought to our Community.

As you recall, it was our Supervisor, Janice Rutherford & Robert Lovingood that supported the Devore Community to end Events at Glen Helen Amphitheater to 12AM, and our other concerns. NO other Supervisor heard us or cared about our well-being. The Supervisor, Josie Gonzalez, 5th District, at the time, did NOT vote to end Events at 12AM. It was obvious how the 5th District felt about Devore!

Devore is a unique Community with our rural life including but limited to horses, livestock, ranching & farming lifestyle. We live here and families move here because of our Community and we want the lifestyle we all enjoy living here stay this way.

Therefore, we pray you will decide for the Best Interest of the Devore Community to leave us in the 2nd District and keep this a better balance between the Districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Karan Slobom, DRPA Secretary, and Family

Devore Resident 50 yrs

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Nancyl Clark-Carlson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:29 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Redistricting the Devore Area

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Please add my name to the list of Devore residents who do not want the County of San Bernardino to redistrict Devore into the area that Joe Baca is supervisor of. Thank you.

Nancy L Carlson
[REDACTED]

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:53 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pam, thank you, this is super helpful. I agree that the two commission-adopted maps below would include all of the city in D2.

Our boundaries changed effective November 9, 2020. So the Census boundaries are correct as of that date. We would ask that if any other maps are recommended by the commission and considered by the Board, they consider the city's current boundaries and continue to keep our city within a single supervisorial district

Thank you again,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission


Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for the follow-up. I shared your files with our demographer and it appears that the city boundaries differ from what the census has identified as the boundaries for the City. Do you know when the Etiwanda Heights area was annexed, was it prior to April 2020? I have included a view of the census identification (in yellow) contrasted with the identified city boundaries (orange outline). Our consultant has confirmed that neither of the two (2) Commission adopted maps split this area from the rest of the city but we will report these findings to the Commission at tonight's meeting. Please let me know if you have any questions and thank you for bringing this to our attention.

Commission adopted maps (10/27/2021 Agenda)

https://sanbernardino.granicus.com/AgendaViewer.php?view_id=33&clip_id=5575

1. Agenda item #2: King v3
2. Agenda item #4: Public Submission 1-1



Please let me know if you have any questions, you can reach me at [REDACTED]

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 9:29 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela, just following up to confirm you received the new boundaries, and to see if there were any changes to the maps as a result? Would love to connect in advance of tonight's meeting. I've kept our CM John informed and I'd like to close the loop today if possible – would a quick phone call be helpful?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:52 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

No problem, please also send me your boundaries and I will confirm with our consultant what the census is using and do a comparison. Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:27 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Pamela – thanks! I am tied up until after 2:30 or so, and then will give you a call....
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 12:15 PM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Lori,
You can contact me at [REDACTED] Thank you.

Best regards,

Pamela S. Williams
Chief of Administration
County Administrative Office
Phone: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Our job is to create a county in which those who reside and invest can prosper and achieve well-being.
www.SBCounty.gov

From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:55 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Thanks so much. I also wanted to see who I can speak to on the staff regarding a mapping question; watching the last meeting, it looks like the mappers may be using an incorrect/outdated boundary for Rancho Cucamonga. Who should I reach out to about that?

Thanks,
Lori

From: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:31 AM
To: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>; redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

Good afternoon Lori,

Thank you for your interest in the redistricting process. The next Advisory Redistricting Commission meeting will be held on November 02, 2021 at 6pm in Ontario. Below is a link of our calendar. If you are unable to attend in person, you have the option of watching live. We've also attached a link below in case you'd like to watch any past meetings.

<https://sbcountyredistricting.com/calendar/>

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL0NuOovxpBhxRGKMjJGLdilm4oEv1ezrh>

Have a wonderful day.



From: Sassoon, Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 11:07 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@sbcounty.gov>
Subject: Next steps for Redistricting Commission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning – can you please confirm what the next steps are for the commission? I see no future meetings are scheduled. Will the Commission's recommended drafts be on the Board of Supervisors' agenda for November 15?

Thanks,
Lori Sassoon
City of Rancho Cucamonga

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Melissa Halverson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 3:32 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: No to redistricting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

As residents of Devore, we have no interest in redistricting to Joe Baca's district. Please do not include us in his district.

Regards,

Melissa Halverson

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Ryan Baker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 3:57 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Devore district

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I live in Devore, and was just notified that they are trying to place us in the 5th district from the 2nd district. I do NOT approve of this change and want to remain in the 2nd district.

Thank you,

Ryan Baker
[REDACTED]

Lovell, Alyssa

From: TRACY HUBBARD [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 4:00 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: 2nd district

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I live in the 2nd district, in Devore. I object to being part of the 5th district. I'd like to keep things the way they are.

Sent from my iPhone

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Xochitl Escamilla <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 4:50 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comment Meeting 11/02/2021

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello, below the line is my public comment. Will you please read my public comment out loud. I am including my phone number in case I need to be contacted.

Thank you,
Xochitl Escamilla
[REDACTED]

REQUESTING THAT THIS PLEASE BE READ OUT LOUD DURING PUBLIC COMMENT

Hello Everyone,

My name is Xochitl (So-chee) Escamilla and I reside in the City of Ontario. Unfortunately I am not able to attend in person due to illness.

As a resident, I would frequent our own City Council meetings advocating for better lines of communication between the City and its community. My public comments often requested that the City of Ontario inform its residents of Safety Measures, Health Measures and Emergency practices, in the residents preferred language. I also emphasize that information should be readily available in a multitude of languages.

I want it to be known that while our city did post this meeting on a social media platform on Oct 28, 2021, in both English and Spanish, it is nowhere to be found on their official city page.

Many residents do not have social media and rely on Ontario's Citys website to receive important information. Many have typed in the city's search engine "San Bernardino County Redistricting Meeting" only to receive zero information about what is happening here tonight.

My concern is, if our city feels this meeting is not important enough to inform our residents of it when it's held here tonight in our own backyard, will they attempt to inform us when the local meetings happen? I do know several neighboring cities have started the process of hosting these types of meetings, yet our own city falls short when it comes to informing and engaging with residents who want to be part of the process.

We do have a large Spanish speaking population that needs to be heard, and by not providing certified translators for our residents to properly inform them, is just another way to keep its residents suppressed. I personally do not speak a second language, but I understand the value of equity in informed decisions.

If anyone is here tonight that represents Ontario, on a city or county level, will you please be an Ally for our Ontario residents. We need to know information and processes in a timely manner. If more people knew how important this meeting is, more of our residents would engage.

Thank you for your time,

Xochitl Escamilla

Lovell, Alyssa

From: wqwhite <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 6:05 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: No to Joe Baca

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

We do not want to be within Joe Baca's district

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Patricia Powell [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 8:14 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Redistricting: attn: Janice Rutherford, 2nd District

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Please do not allow Devore to be placed in Joe Baca's district. We are not for this decision. We know we are on the wire for this redrawing, but we prefer to stay where we are. With a Supervisor who will listen to us.

Thank you,

Patricia Powell
Devore, CA 92497

Lovell, Alyssa

From: n0n0nanet <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 2, 2021 10:22 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Redistricting Devore

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I'm writing this letter in regards to the possibility of changing the county district lines, making Joe Bacca our board supervisor. A page on his website states Devore is his district. I checked the maps for both district and Devore is Janice Rutherford area. As a homeowner in Devore for 28 years, we like things rural and rustic. We feel changing would disrupt our quality of life.

Ron and Nanette VanEsch

046 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S20 FE 5G, an AT&T 5G smartphone

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Cynthia White <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 5:53 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Redistricting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I am a 15-year resident living in the Devore Heights area of the county. I just now read about the plans for redistricting of our area and am very unhappy with that news. I do Not want to be re anything, and I certainly don't want Joe Baca as my representative! Leave Devore alone; speaking of that, why weren't we notified of this proposal? Does every representative want to be replaced by someone who will listen to his/her constituents? People are sick and tired of being used and abused!

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Cynthia White <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 6:04 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Re prior email "Redistricting"

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

I just sent an email and forgot to give my name and address:

Cynthia White
[REDACTED]

You can add to the letter, I live in Devore because I love the rural aspect, leave us alone!

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad](#)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Jessica Arambula [REDACTED] >
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 7:55 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: WE WANT TO REMAIN IN THE 2ND DISTRICT!!!

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Morning County Supervisors,

As of my knowledge & my fellow neighbors in Devore Heights we are & still want to remain in the 2nd District like it's been for many years. We Devore Heights residents love living the rural lifestyle and do not want to be put into having to adapt to the city life like the City of San Bernardino does. I and my neighbors want Devore Heights to remain rural & keep living in this beautiful & peaceful country atmosphere, therefore We want Devore Heights to remain in the 2nd District!

Thanks,

Jessica Arambula

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Kathy Leitzman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 6:28 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: No to Redistricting

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

As a lifelong Devore resident of over 45 years, I would like to protest the redistricting proposal. Devore Heights is not part of the city San Bernardino. The cost of integration is too high, and the area should be left to the county and its resources. Thank you

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Ken Meyer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 9:46 AM
To: redistricting
Subject: Stop the Redistricting of Devore Heights

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may Concern,
Please stop the Redistricting of Devore Heights.

Sincerely,
Ken Meyer
Devore Resident

Sent from my iPhone

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Tom Murphy <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 3:26 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: November 2 Meeting Minutes

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings!

When do you expect the minutes from last night's committee meeting to be posted to www.sbcountyredistricting.com ?

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Tom Murphy
[REDACTED]

From: Webmaster - sbcounty.gov
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 6:28 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: Public Comments Submittal

Public Comments

Submitted: 11/3/2021

Full Name:: Richard A Dinon

Email:: [REDACTED]

Phone Number:: [REDACTED]

Comment:: Thank you for your hard work. It seems that reasons to keep the mountain representation largely among three Supervisors are: -The areas within the mountain communities have distinctive demographics. The current arrangement allows for representation respectful of those differences. -Priorities are materially different from community to community. Areas of concern differ substantially (as can be seen by the various MAC's). Those priorities are better addressed by the current configuration. -Currently, with multiple Supervisors having a stake in the mountain communities, the unique mountain needs are better understood by the entire BOS. With multiple Supervisors representing the mountain area, issues and resolutions are better addressed. Thank you for your consideration.

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Rachell Maldonado <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 7:43 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: No BACA AREA

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Please DO NOT PLACE DEVORE in Joe Baca's area!
R. Maldonado
Devore resident

Sent from my iPhone

Lovell, Alyssa

From: Crystal Mountjoy [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2021 10:26 PM
To: redistricting
Subject: No re district

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe.

Do not re district devore!!