

EXHIBIT Q

EVALUATION CRITERIA

FOR

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

PACIFIC VILLAGE PLATINUM CAMPUS, PACIFIC VILLAGE
SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER PROGRAM, AND
CDH PACIFIC VILLAGE CAMPUS EXPANSION
DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

PROJECT NUMBER 10.10.1533, 10.10.1380, AND
10.10.1671



SAN BERNARDINO
COUNTY

DAAS Pacific Village Platinum Campus, Pacific Village Substance Use Disorder Program, and CDH Pacific Village Campus Expansion

Proposal Evaluation Form

FIRM:	
--------------	--

INITIAL PROPOSAL					
No	CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SCORE (1 TO 10)	WEIGHTED SCORE	COMMENTS
1	DBE QUALIFICATIONS	12.5%	10		
	- Demonstrated ability to perform the required Scope of Work	4.2%	10.0	3.3	
	- Experience and past performance on other similar projects, with emphasis on base isolation experience	4.2%	10.0	3.3	
	- Skilled and trained workforce availability - Safety record	4.2%	10.0	3.3	
2	KEY PERSONNEL	12.5%	10		
	- Qualifications, background, and relevant individual experience	4.2%	10.0	3.3	
	- Behavioral Health campus/facility and Prefabrication Building Expertise	4.2%	10.0	3.3	
	- Availability and responsiveness of personnel for the Project	4.2%	10.0	3.3	
3	PROJECT APPROACH	18.8%	15		
	- Demonstrated understanding of the required Scope of Work	9.4%	10.0	7.5	
	- Completeness and clarity of proposal content	9.4%	10.0	7.5	
	- Provided logical schedule timeline to fulfill Scope of Work	9.4%	10.0	7.5	
4	INNOVATION	7.5%	6		
	- Proposed innovative ideas or approaches to the Scope of Work - Lack of deviations from the Scope of Work	7.5%	10.0	6.0	
5	COST	43.8%	35		
	- Costs for providing the services outlines in this RFP	43.8%	10.0	35.0	
6	15 YEAR LIFE-CYCLE COST	5.0%	4		
	- Anticipated 15-year life-cycle cost for the facility - Completeness and clarity of analysis	5.0%	10.0	4.0	
		100%	80	80.0	

NOTE: 80% of the score for each criteria #1-4 and #6 are based on the evaluation of the initial proposals and 20% is based on the oral presentations.

Evaluator Name: _____ **Title:** _____

Evaluator Signature: _____ **Title:** _____

Scoring Criteria:
Superior: 10
Well Qualified: 8 - 9
Qualified: 6-7
Below Average: 5
Not qualified: 1 - 4
Maximum Possible Score: 87.0

DAAS Pacific Village Platinum Campus, Pacific Village Substance Use Disorder Program, and CDH Pacific Village Campus Expansion

Proposal Evaluation Form

FIRM:	
--------------	--

ORAL PRESENTATION					
No	CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SCORE (1 TO 10)	WEIGHTED SCORE	COMMENTS
1	DBE QUALIFICATIONS	25.0%	5		
	- Demonstrated ability to perform the required Scope of Work	8.3%	10.0	1.7	
	- Experience and past performance on other similar projects, with emphasis on base isolation experience	8.3%	10.0	1.7	
	- Skilled and trained workforce availability - Safety record	8.3%	10.0	1.7	
2	KEY PERSONNEL	25.0%	5		
	- Qualifications, background and relevant individual experience	8.3%	10.0	1.7	
	- Base isolation expertise	8.3%	10.0	1.7	
	- Availability and responsiveness of personnel for the Project	8.3%	10.0	1.7	
3	PROJECT APPROACH	25.0%	5		
	- Demonstrated understanding of the required Scope of Work	12.5%	10.0	2.5	
	- Completeness and clarity of proposal content	12.5%	10.0	2.5	
4	INNOVATION	20.0%	4		
	- Proposed innovative ideas or approaches to the Scope of Work - Lack of deviations from the Scope of Work	20.0%	10.0	4.0	
5	COST	0.0%	0		
	- Costs for providing the services outlines in this RFP	0.0%	10	0.0	
6	15 YEAR LIFE-CYCLE COST	5.0%	1		
	- Anticipated scope and cost of maintaining the facility - Completeness and clarity of analysis	5.0%	10.0	1.0	
		100%	20	20.0	

NOTE: 80% of the score for each criteria #1-4 and #6 are based on the evaluation of the initial proposals and 20% is based on the oral presentations.

Evaluator Name: _____ Title: _____

Evaluator Signature: _____ Title: _____

<p>Scoring Criteria: Superior: 10 Well Qualified: 8 - 9 Qualified: 6-7 Below Average: 5 Not qualified: 1 - 4</p> <p>Maximum Possible Score: 13.0</p>
--

DAAS Pacific Village Platinum Campus, Pacific Village Substance Use Disorder Program, and CDH Pacific Village Campus Expansion

Proposal Evaluation Form

FIRM:	
--------------	--

TOTAL COMBINED					
No	CRITERIA	WEIGHT		TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	COMMENTS
1	DBE QUALIFICATIONS	15%	15	15.0	
	- Demonstrated ability to perform the required Scope of Work	5%		5.0	
	- Experience and past performance on other similar projects, with emphasis on base isolation experience	5%		5.0	
	- Skilled and trained workforce availability - Safety record	5%		5.0	
2	KEY PERSONNEL	15%	15	15.0	
	- Qualifications, background and relevant individual experience	5%		5.0	
	- Base isolation expertise	5%		5.0	
	- Availability and responsiveness of personnel for the Project	5%		5.0	
3	PROJECT APPROACH	20%	20	20.0	
	- Demonstrated understanding of the required Scope of Work	10%		10.0	
	- Completeness and clarity of proposal content	10%		10.0	
	- Provided logical schedule timeline to fulfill Scope of Work	10%		10.0	
4	INNOVATION	10%	10	10.0	
	- Proposed innovative ideas or approaches to the Scope of Work	10%		10.0	
	- Lack of deviations from the Scope of Work				
5	COST	35%	35	35.0	
	- Costs for providing the services outlines in this RFP	35%		35.0	
6	15 YEAR LIFE-CYCLE COST	5%	5	5.0	
	- Anticipated scope and cost of maintaining the facility	5%		5.0	
	- Completeness and clarity of analysis				
		100%	100	100.0	

NOTE: 80% of the score for each criteria #1-4 and #6 are based on the evaluation of the initial proposals and 20% is based on the oral presentations.

<u>Maximum Possible Score: 100.0</u>

Evaluator Name: _____ Title: _____

Evaluator Signature: _____ Title: _____