From: Erin Briggs (Shelley)
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Resort Camping
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:19:44 PM

Planning Commissioners, from the development plans presented by the applicants for the Flamingo Heights 640 project, I feel the commission would be mistaken and also violating the county's own land use regulations to approve a CUP for this commercial resort enterprise in a zoned Rural Living zone/neighborhood. This most certainly is not a modest campground with a few non-permanent "alternative use" structures. 75 glamping structures (lofts, glamping tents which are NOT not low-impact nylon traditional tents, and chalets), plumbed restrooms, restaurant, bar, store, reception building, workshops, art barns, helipads is not a campground….that is major-impact resort full of permanent structures and land alterations and community and wildlife corridor disruptions. It is also very clear that there are holes in the mitigated negative declaration for the Environment Impact assessments, inaccurate traffic impact estimates, and ignored substantial community impact concerns. I again strongly urge you to reject the CUP application for this large commercial resort.

Erin Lee Shelley
Homeowner and full-time resident of Flamingo Heights

From: Crystal Hutcheson
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Resort Camping
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:57:10 PM

The economy is in a downturn and you all want to build this luxury camp area now? Local businesses are making less than a 1/3 of what they were making. I know first hand. This business model was good like 4 years ago, y'all missed the boat and will just end up DESTROYING beautiful desert. This garbage plan also doesn't do anything for the community but rather excludes it. Just an absolute waste and should not pass.

- Crystal
Landers, CA

From:	Ariane Bicho
To:	Planning Commission Comments
Subject:	PROJ-2020-00191 Resort Camping
Date:	Wednesday, March 8, 2023 11:53:05 PM

Dear San Bernardino County Planning Commissioners:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed Flamingo 640 project. I live in Flamingo Heights near the proposed location. I have written on two other occasions about this project, where I expressed my concerns about the degradation of my rural community and our quality of life due to noise, light and air pollution and litter. I have mentioned the fact that this project will endanger wildlife (our desert tortoises and those cute burrowing owls), that it threatens Joshua Trees, which are spectacular and rare, that it will strain water resources in the area. I have pointed out, as many will when they comment in person on March 9, my concerns for peoples' safety. The traffic issues on Old Woman Springs Road are real. It is a treacherous stretch of highway with annual critical injuries and horrific fatalities that have involved head on collisions. There is no way around the traffic problem without expanding the highway, and there is already resistance building against that idea, not to mention the extreme expense.

While all of the above continues to concern me, I also have some new concerns. I've noticed that housing prices are dropping and that property is beginning to sell below the asking price. I've noticed that short term rentals (STRs) are seeing reduced bookings. A friend whose place is off Pipes Canyon Road with 360 degree unobstructed views and is consistently booked sat empty for more than 2 months recently. It's not far from the proposed Flamingo 640 Project site. He told me his management company sent out a notice acknowledging the slow down to clients and said there was not much they could do about it. My plumber was talking about the STR slow down, a vendor at the local SWAP meet mentioned it. It looks like things are slowing down.

So what happens if this project is approved, built, and fails? What happens if the developers and investors abandon what they built? **When you change the use of the property, it stays with the property, not the investors and developers.** Businesses, including big ones, fail every day. What would a failed Flamingo 640 project pave the way for? Illegal camping? Squatters? Squalor? And what is lost that can never be regained? Pristine wilderness area, the 'rural living' that the community is zoned for, precious natural resources for starters. This is something I hope all of you are considering, because unfortunately, this is a real possibility. I can't imagine that staying in a "glamping" tent or chalet when the winds pick up on the mesa, winds that sometimes reach 80mph, would be fun. It's unpleasant in a house, and high winds can last for days on end on the mesa. Word of mouth - it gets around. Surprises happen, like too much snow, as a recent example.

The other thing that's been weighing on my mind, and the minds of probably every American in some form or another, is the erosion of public trust. And it's not just at the federal level, it's on every level. One thing I love about this community is it is still a place where we set aside politics, where we help each other, even if our views are not the same. Out here, if someone gets stuck in the sand, you may need to lend a hand or need a hand yourself. The proposed Flamingo 640 project has certainly united the community. Allowing a commercial entity to skip steps that would cause irreversible harm to the Homestead Valley Community will exacerbate this public trust problem and establish a precedent for other developers to seek exceptions.

For these reasons, **I strongly urge you to require a Full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA for this project.** The community deserves to know that the Commission can be trusted to require full due

diligence and public reports regarding traffic studies, wildlife impact analysis, air/water quality, noise, dark sky impacts and other important issues so that an informed decision can be made when all the facts are in hand.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Ariane Bicho
833 Tahoe Avenue
Flamingo Heights, CA 92284
ariane.bicho@gmail.com

From: Payton Thomas
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Resort Camping
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 7:26:27 AM

Hello,

Projects like this, one, do nothing for the community that regular camping wouldn't do. This project would destroy undeveloped Mojave desert that could never be brought back in its true form. Save the desert for future generations and for all living currently using the land.

Payton Thomas

From: David Holden
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Resort Camping
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:51:01 PM

Please do not allow the Flamingo 640 campground to be developed. This is crucial habitat for numerous sensitive species and would have a detrimental affect on desert wildlife. Humans have enough opportunities for recreation without causing the destruction of more habitat.

From: Matthew Clark
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: PROJ-2020-00191 Resort Camping
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:00:16 PM

TonWhom It May Concern

I oppose this project and due to the environmental impact. It’s not responsible or necessary. Please shut it down.

Matthew Clark

From: Elisabeth Kennedy
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 7:55:26 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Elisabeth Kennedy
e-mail	elisabethmkennedy@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	“A man on foot, on horseback or on a bicycle will see more, feel more, enjoy more in one mile than the motorized tourists can in a hundred miles.” Edward Abbey, Desert Solitaire “If industrial man continues to multiply his numbers and expand his operations he will succeed in his apparent intention, to seal himself off from the natural and isolate himself within a synthetic prison of his own making“ Edward Abbey

From: Maya Vega Garcia
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 7:45:45 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Maya Vega Garcia
e-mail	maya.vegagarcia@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Curtis Bojorquiz
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 7:35:24 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Curtis Bojorquiz
e-mail	curtisbojorquiz@yahoo.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Mithra Derakshan
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 7:34:40 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Mithra Derakshan
e-mail	mithraderakshan@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Payton Thomas
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 7:23:03 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Payton Thomas
e-mail	thomaspayton96@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Destiny Langman
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 7:14:50 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Destiny Langman
e-mail	destinylangman@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Tara McMillen
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 7:09:48 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Tara McMillen
e-mail	lilmac2@comcast.net
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.
Tara McMillen

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Neal Robinson
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 7:02:52 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Neal Robinson
e-mail	nealr2@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you, Neal
If additional comments, enter here:	A better planned public campground that acknowledges environmental and safety concerns should be the only way a project like this moves forward.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: James lostlen
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 6:34:41 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	James lostlen
e-mail	jlostlen@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Mandie Carter
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 6:22:53 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Mandie Carter
e-mail	mandiehikes@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as an archaeologist and a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Christopher Pearson
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 6:14:04 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Christopher Pearson
e-mail	chrispearsonart@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Raechel Pietraszak
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 6:12:21 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Raechel Pietraszak
e-mail	raechelpie@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	Like so many others, my family moved to this area for a peaceful, nature-filled life. To exist with the native species, while minimizing our footprint. Building this resort on ecologically sensitive land is irresponsible, misguided and not what the community desires. While we respect and recognize the tourism industry of the area, we do not wish to expand it in such a way that causes harm. What happens when travel to the desert is no longer in fashion or viable? This resort will become just another vacant eyesore, after sucking vital resources dry for however long it remains open.

From: Theresa Weir
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 5:07:58 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Theresa Weir
e-mail	theresaweir@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Kim Topper
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Thursday, March 9, 2023 4:50:39 AM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Kim Topper
e-mail	ktopper99@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned resident and advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. The influx of people over the last few years has already had a catastrophic effect on the wildlife and their habitat. It's not the place for a resort. Resources are limited enough. It's heartbreaking and cruel to further destroy the home for the wildlife and peace of the desert. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is

	simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. The resort would be devastating in so many ways. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	Please stop this. Thank you.

From: Susan Perito
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 11:36:35 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Susan Perito
e-mail	golddragon2344@yahoo.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	Please do not approve this project

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Kossen miller
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 10:55:27 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Kossen miller
e-mail	kossen.miller@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Juliana Sorelli
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 10:47:51 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Juliana Sorelli
e-mail	js@sorellipresents.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Eugene Ridenour
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:46:15 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Eugene Ridenour
e-mail	euride@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Karen Schwartzman
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:28:06 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Karen Schwartzman
e-mail	accts@creativeaxisintl.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	I have witnessed first hand in multiple occasions how conditional use permits are most often used by developers flipping a property. The proposed resort glamping doesn't appear a sound business model and most likely that is not the priority of the current developers. Tapping prd ious resources of all kinds in this unique community for short term gain and the expense of a sustainable future is a bad cycle that is time to break. We see negative impact everywhere from rural communities to suburbs to our cityscapes of exploitative, de elopement that doesn't give back to our local ecosystem.

From: Merrill McCauley
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:02:27 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Merrill McCauley
e-mail	merrillmccauley@yahoo.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Peter Perrone
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:01:40 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Peter Perrone
e-mail	perrone007@aol.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	This is a disaster waiting to happen. The traffic on 247 is already horrendous. A helicopter -pad … seriously? What about considering the residents who already live here? The quiet, beauty and spaciousness of the desert must be respected, preserved. Follow the$$$. Who is to gain from this. It's an insult to our community! Just say NO!

From: Peter Brooks
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 8:33:40 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Peter Brooks
e-mail	peter.h.brooks@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, We are writing with comments on the proposed Project 2020-00191 in Homestead Valley/Flamingo Heights. My wife and I are owners in the immediate vicinity. We have a number of concerns with the project, which we will outline below, but first to acknowledge the challenge the county has in determining the proper course of development in the high desert. The Morongo Basin is experiencing a housing shortage and a massive influx of people interested in enjoying the climate, clean air, and natural beauty of the area. The challenge of balancing the need for affordable housing access while preserving the natural beauty that, itself, led many of us to invest in the area, is a serious one that should be met with sustainable development principles focused on: retrofitting/renovation of existing derelict or abandoned houses, smart infill development in zoned areas, and new development only in areas of little ecological or wildlife impact. But we should be perfectly clear that this project has neither the stated goal nor any conceivable outcome of improving housing access or improving the quality of life for the residents of the Morongo Basin. We respect the rights of property owners to make plans for their investments, but when those plans impact public safety, quality of life, and ecosystems well beyond their property boundaries, then we believe further scrutiny is required. Here are our concerns: -Zoning: the area is zoned RL (rural residential) and a dense, high impact campsite, entertainment venue, helipad (truly inexplicably), bar and restaurant, are in direct conflict in principle and actuality to the zoning. -Traffic: Highway 247 is, per capita, one of the most dangerous stretches of highway in California, claiming the life of dozens of people in the last few years. A recent lane-widening and rumble-strip installation has done little to slow this tragic loss of life and

	this development will necessarily require a high degree of turn-in/turn-out traffic in an otherwise uninterrupted stretch of highway. This will require extensive study and lane-widening and perhaps a new traffic light to preserve public safety. -Ecology/Wildlife: the area plays host to a number sensitive animal species and the Western Joshua Tree which is a candidate endangered species. We would demand a significant impact and mitigation study for any development. -Water/Wastewater: our local imported water supplies via the Mojave Water Agency are under serious threat and this rapid development deserves serious study. Further, the nearby Ames Aquifer is a sensitive one and a critical source of water storage for both Bighorn Desert View Water District and the Hi Desert Water District. Absent a significant study of the potential aquifer impacts, this project should not proceed. -Fire: campsites=campfires and this area has already experienced a significant fire on the section catty-corner to this Section to the southwest in recent years. -Light/Noise pollution: any music venue would permanently change the entire vicinity and not just during the events themselves as noise-sensitive animals migrate elsewhere. Also 70 campsites means at least 140 beams of headlights shining flashing across the section, and across Pipes Wash all night for the in-and-out traffic of visitors. Your consideration is very much appreciated and while not looking to stand in the way of smart, beneficial development, we believe there are a number of questions raised by this project that will require significant additional analysis and public review before proceeding. Thank you very much for your consideration
If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Yessica Wheeler
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 8:31:10 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Yessica Wheeler
e-mail	mizzdemanding@hotmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	We need to listen to Jodi Mitchell more: “Don’t it always seem to go that we don’t know what we got till it’s gone They paved paradise and put up a parking lot” Let the wild be wild. It’s 2023, we should know better by now that we need to preserve our nature! Please save it for future generations!

From: Keri Murphy
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 8:30:16 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Keri Murphy
e-mail	knmurpjy@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	There is absolutely no reason for this project in our rural, pristine desert. And a heliport causing noise and dirt is so wrong and fire pits polluting our skies. I'm adamantly against this project.

From: Francesca
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 8:28:13 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Francesca
e-mail	marinifm@gmsil.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Sarah Renner
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 8:18:23 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Sarah Renner
e-mail	ferris.americus@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Holly Ellis
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 8:12:50 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Holly Ellis
e-mail	holly.ellis.london@hotmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	I live on Old Women Springs Road. The traffic is highly dangerous currently. I have been close to being rear-ended as I turn off the road to my house multiple times. Why are you not focusing on a safer road for the community rather than looking to add traffic and further danger?!

From: Logan Shaw
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 8:00:53 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Logan Shaw
e-mail	logan@slowcations.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Danielle Shaw
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 8:00:13 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Danielle Shaw
e-mail	danielle@slowcations.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Meghan Udell
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:57:43 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Meghan Udell
e-mail	meghanudell1999@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Shimal Dhanjee
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:55:33 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Shimal Dhanjee
e-mail	shimaldhanjee@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	This should not be allowed. Plane and simple

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Megan Hanson
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:54:39 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Megan Hanson
e-mail	mehatsea@hotmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Hello! Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I have just built my home in Landers and I'm writing to you as a concerned local resident, in protest of the Flamingo 640 project. I share other's concern that the development is moving forward without addressing critical points raised by the community and without the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area

with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

Megan Hanson

If additional comments, enter here:	

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Luca Morellato
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:52:54 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Luca Morellato
e-mail	luca.morellato@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Erin Lindsey
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:46:40 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Erin Lindsey
e-mail	lindseec@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Bart Tallon
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:39:19 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Bart Tallon
e-mail	barttallon@hotmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Sharon Tallon
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:36:36 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Sharon Tallon
e-mail	sharontallon@hotmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Rene Llanos
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:32:57 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Rene Llanos
e-mail	llanos8320@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Natalie Faye
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:19:45 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Natalie Faye
e-mail	natalie@nataliefaye.net
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Jean Marovich
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 7:12:22 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Jean Marovich
e-mail	jmarovich13@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Clark Hunter
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 6:58:20 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Clark Hunter
e-mail	werdoomed@aol.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	You have to answer one question. Does this project make life better or worse for the residents of the affected area. if it makes it worse then it shouldn't be allowed to go through. Indeed if you approve something that doesn't make life better for the residents then you have abandoned the folks that voted you in office and you promised to fight for. we all need to vote or conscience and make life better for others not worse.

From: Elvina Payne
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 6:56:20 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Elvina Payne
e-mail	elvinapayne@icloud.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Michelle Seago
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 6:55:06 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Michelle Seago
e-mail	mseago1968@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Charles Teague
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 6:43:33 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Charles Teague
e-mail	charlesteague62@gmail.comso
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	Stop the madness and leave are desert alone. Airb&b have messed up are desert. Go back to la

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Erin Netoskie
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 6:39:37 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Erin Netoskie
e-mail	erin.netoskie@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Alma Cravens
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 6:16:30 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Alma Cravens
e-mail	almacravens@hotmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Clifford Novey
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 6:01:55 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Clifford Novey
e-mail	cnovey@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	Please do not allow this we don't need or want more exclusionary development of the desert.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Wendy escobar Procopio
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:46:39 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Wendy escobar Procopio
e-mail	wisabellae@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Scott Kreml
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:46:12 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Scott Kreml
e-mail	sdkreml@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	there also is the issue of mitigating water and waste loads on the comunity.

From: Debra Savitt
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:45:31 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Debra Savitt
e-mail	Debrareverend@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	Please this is ridiculous. It is harmful to our sensitive plants, animals and water table.

From: Richelle Waters
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:43:23 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Richelle Waters
e-mail	iamrichelle@yahoo.co.uk
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Chris Hernandez
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:43:09 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Chris Hernandez
e-mail	itsroberth@aol.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic.!!!!! The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Ted Chen
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:42:54 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Ted Chen
e-mail	taiyuchen@hotmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Dré Nitze Nelson
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:38:06 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Dré Nitze Nelson
e-mail	andrenitze@mac.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	Support the efforts to develop affordable housing.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Marco Negovschi
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:33:44 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Marco Negovschi
e-mail	mnegovschi@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Vanessa Villanueva
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:33:44 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Vanessa Villanueva
e-mail	vvillanueva2112@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	This does not serve us in our efforts to preserve healthy ecosystems that support us.

From: Grace Bjerke
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:23:46 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Grace Bjerke
e-mail	grxcie21@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Bryn Pearson
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:19:13 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Bryn Pearson
e-mail	bpearsontoole@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Sarah Dasher
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:10:30 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Sarah Dasher
e-mail	hopedasher@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Patrick Rodden
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:01:12 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Patrick Rodden
e-mail	chiwanderlust@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	Glamping is also trash when it comes to social media. It invites people to come to a space that are clearly there for the attention while oftentimes causing damage just for a picture. Our deserts already have enough fodder in them. They do not need something else taking up space or wasting resources.

From: Ben banet
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:00:06 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Ben banet
e-mail	benbanet@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	My line of work is light pollution and I know very well how critical and endangered our dark skies are. A glamp ground here will drastically alter the natural light scape and impair the view of the stars for many miles as well as posing threats to migratory birds. Absolutely inappropriate development for the area.

From: Eva Green
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 4:57:39 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Eva Green
e-mail	evamariegreen89@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you. Your's sincerely, Eva M. Green
If additional comments, enter here:	Please save the wild, you can never really change it back!

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Robert Rettuc
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 4:55:43 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Robert Rettuc
e-mail	movedbyinvisiblecurrents@yahoo.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	

From: Angela. Doucette
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 4:36:05 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Angela. Doucette
e-mail	ainjelemme@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly

	disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park. I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed. Thank you.
If additional comments, enter here:	All visitors should be invited to enjoy and PRESERVE the land, not destroy it so they can party in the desert. We don't need a resort. We need stewards and caretakers.

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.

From: Robert Victor Johnson
To: Planning Commission Comments
Subject: Re: Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor
Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 4:35:23 PM

SB PLANNING COMMISSIONERS. Thank you for taking the time to read

Project # PROJ-2020-00191 Assessor

Name	Robert Victor Johnson
e-mail	robert.v.johnson@gmail.com
Letter to Planning Commissioners	Dear Chair Jonathan Weldy, Commissioner Matthew Slowik, Vice Chair Michael Stoffel, Commissioner Melissa Demirci, and Commissioner Kareem Gong, I am writing to you as a concerned advocate of the desert. Despite years of tirelessly advocating for the preservation of rural living, its zoning, serene landscape, wildlife, traffic safety, and advocating against the development of the Flamingo 640 project, I am concerned that the development is still moving forward without addressing the points raised by the community and without undergoing the thorough scrutiny of a full Environmental Impact Report. My concern about the project is greatly two-fold: traffic safety and environmental impact. This development would pose a significant traffic hazard along the narrow two lane HWY 247, which is already the site of many fatal and critical accidents. The highway cannot support increased constant traffic without significant modifications. The project will also endanger wildlife in one of the most beautiful wildlife corridors along Pipes Canyon Wash bordering Sand to Snow National Monument, including threatened desert tortoises, protected burrowing owls, coyotes, jackrabbits, and more, all of which have been spotted in the area. It is also concerning that the project plans to remove at least 34 Western Joshua Trees, a protected species and highly threatened part of California's natural heritage, in addition to Mojave Yuccas. I am writing to demand a full Environmental Impact Report under CEQA, which would include traffic studies, wildlife impact, air/water quality, noise, and dark sky impacts amongst other important issues. Because of the impact to our community, safety, and way of life, a mitigated negative declaration report is simply not sufficient for the scope of this proposed project. This area does not need a private "resort" experience or "hotel" in a rural zoned area that would add nothing to the community except add danger on the roads, add unnecessary hazard in an

area already distinguished as a threat from wildfires, knowingly disrupt and destroy the natural environment, pollute the area with noise and light and change the character of our community irreparably. If one of the listed "objectives" for this development is to relieve camping congestion in the National park, then a safe and small public campsite and trails would do much less damage, not a private resort experience out of the price range of the residents who live here, or campers who would otherwise camp in the national park.

I hope you read this with thought and concern, and do not leave those in your fellow SB community feeling dismissed.

Thank you.

If additional comments, enter here:	