SB 2 Planning Grants Program Application



State of California Governor Gavin Newsom

Alexis Podesta, Secretary
Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

Ben Metcalf, Director Department of Housing and Community Development

2020 West El Camino, Suite 500 Sacramento, CA 95833

Website: http://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/active-funding/planning-

grants.shtml

Email: sb2planninggrant@hcd.ca.gov

March 28, 2019

Revised July 10, 2019

Planning Grants Program Application Packaging Instructions

The applicant is applying to the Department of Housing and Community Development (Department) for a grant authorized underneath the Planning Grants Program (PGP) provisions of SB 2 (Chapter 364, Statutes of 2017). The PGP program is intended for the preparation, adoption, and implementation of plans that streamline housing approvals and accelerate housing production. Please refer to the SB 2 Planning Grants Program Guidelines and Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for detailed information on eligible activities, applicants, and awards. If you have questions regarding this application or the PGP, email sb2planninggrant@hcd.ca.gov.

If approved for funding, this grant application will be a part of your Standard Agreement with the Department. In order to be considered for funding, all sections of this application, including attachments and exhibits if required, must be complete and accurate.

Pursuant to Section X of the NOFA, all applicants must submit a complete, signed, original application package and an electronic copy on CD or USB flash drive containing the following documentation, in the order listed below, to the Department by the specified due date in the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) in order to be considered for award:

- 1) A complete, signed, original application (the Department will only accept this fillable pdf as the application) with the following attachments:
 - a. Attachment 1: State and Other Planning Priorities (All applicants must submit this form to self-certify compliance)
 - b. Attachment 2: Nexus to Accelerating Housing Production NOTE: if the applicant is proposing only Priority Policy Areas (PPA), as defined in section VIII. subsection (3) of the NOFA, do not fill out Attachment 2. However, if the applicant is proposing to fund PPAs AND other activities that are not considered PPAs, the application must demonstrate how these other activities have a nexus to accelerating housing production by filling out Attachment 2 of this application.
- A fully executed resolution authorizing application for, and receipt of, PGP funds (see Attachment 3: Sample Resolution).
- 3) A fully executed Government Agency Taxpayer ID Form (available as a download from the SB 2 Planning Grants webpage at http://www.hcd.ca.gov/grantsfunding/active-funding/planning-grants.shtml).
- 4) If the applicant is partnering with another local government or other entity pursuant to Article II, Section 200 of the SB 2 Planning Grant Program Guidelines (the "Guidelines"), include a copy of the legally binding agreement.
- Other documentation (e.g., letters of support, scope of work, etc.) if needed.

NOTE: All local governments must submit a separate, signed application package, notwithstanding whether it will partner with another form of government or entity. Only one application per locality will be accepted by the Department. Joint applications are not allowed.

A. Applicant Information

Pursuant to Article II, Section 200 of the Guidelines, local governments may partner through legally binding agreements with other forms of governments or entities. However, all local governments must submit separate, signed application packages that identify their respective responsibilities and deliverables, even if partnering with other entities.

| Is the a | pplican | t partnering with another eligible local government entity? |
|----------|---------|--|
| | *Yes | *If Yes, the application package must include a fully executed copy of the legally binding |
| ✓ | No | agreement. Provide the partners' name(s) and type(s) below for reference only. |

| Comple | te the following Appli | cant | information | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------|--------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| | t's Name | | San Bernardino County | | | |
| Applican | t's Agency Type | | County | | | |
| Applicant's Mailing Address | | | 385 North Arrowhead Avenue | | | |
| City | | | San Bernardino | | | |
| State California | | | Zip Code | 92415-0187 | | |
| County | | | San Bernardino | | | |
| Website | | | www.sbcounty.gov | | | |
| Authorized Representative Name | | | Curt Hagman | | | |
| Authorized Representative Title | | | Chairman, Board of Supervisors | | | |
| Phone 909-387-4866 | | | Fax | 909-387-8903 | | |
| Email Curt.Hagman@bos.sbco | | | | | | |
| Contact Person Name | | | Terri Rahhal | | | |
| Contact | Person Title | | Director Land Use Services | | | |
| Phone | 909-387-4431 | | Fax | 909-387-3223 | | |
| Email Terri.Rahhal@lus.sbcour | | | ty.gov | | | |
| Partner(s) Name (if applicable) | | | | | | |
| Partner Agency Type | | | | | | |
| Partner(s) Name (if applicable) | | | | | | |
| Partner . | Agency Type | | | | | |
| Propose | d Grant Amount | \$ | 625,000 | | | |

B. Applicant Certification

| Planning Grants Program (PGP), | |
|--|---|
| responsibilities specified in the 2019 | Notice of Funding Availability and PGP guidelines, and certifies that the |
| information, statements, and other co | ntents contained in this application are true and correct. |
| Signature: | Name: Curt Hagman |
| Date: NOV 0 5 2019 Title: | Chairman, Board of Supervisors |

C. Threshold Requirements

Pursuant to Section 201(a) through (d) of the Guidelines, all applicants must meet the following threshold criteria in items 1-4 below to be eligible for an award.

| 1. Does the applicant have an adopted housing element found to be in substantial compliance by the Department on or before the date of the applicant's submission of their SB 2 Planning Grant application? | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|--|----------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|---------|------|
| V | Yes | Date of H | CD Review Letter | 9/25/14 | | | | | | | |
| | No | | | | | | | | | | |
| The Applicant requests HCD to consider housing element compliance threshold as met due to significant progress achieved in meeting housing element requirements. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Has the applicant submitted to the Department the Annual Progress Report (APR) for the current or prior year on or before the date of submission of their SB 2 Planning Grant application? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2017 CY Report | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2018 CY Report 6/14/19 | | | | | | | | | |
| | No | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Is the applicant utilizing one of the Priority Policy Areas listed below (as defined in section VIII, subsection (3) of the NOFA)? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ✓ | *Yes *If the applicant is proposing only Priority Policy Areas, do not fill out Attachment 2. However, if the applicant is proposing to fund PPAs AND other activities that are not considered PPAs, the application must demonstrate how these other activities have a nexus to accelerating housing production by filling out Attachment 2 of this application. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rezone to permit by-right Objective design and development standards Specific Plans or form based codes coupled with CEQA streamlining Specific Plans or form based codes coupled with CEQA streamlining Specific Plans or form based codes coupled with other low-cost building strategies Specific Plans or form based codes coupled with other low-cost building strategies Specific Plans or form based codes other low-cost building strategies Specific Plans or form based codes other low-cost building strategies Specific Plans or form based codes other low-cost building strategies | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| | No If an applicant is not proposing Priority Policy Areas, the application must include an explanation and document the plans or processes' nexus and impact on accelerating housing production based on a reasonable and verifiable methodology and must submit Attachment 2 in the Application pursuant to section VIII, subsection (3) of the NOFA. | | | | | | | | ased | | |
| The applicant is proposing PPAs and other activities not considered PPAs and is demonstrating how these activities have a nexus to accelerating housing production by submitting <i>Attachment 2</i> . | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | demonstrate that orities, as certifie | | | ith St | ate | Yes | V | *No | |
| | | | be demonstrated st five years, as ce | | | arily pı | roposed fo | or SB 2 f | unding | g) that | were |
| | | | signed resolution | n included wit | n the applicat | tion pa | ackage? | Yes | | No | |

D. Proposed Activities Checklist (Section VI, items (1) through (17) of the NOFA)

Check all activities the locality is undertaking for their PGP efforts below. Activities must match **Section E. Project Description**, and **Section F. Timeline and Budget**.

| 1 | | updates to general plans, community plans, specific plans, local planning related to implementation of sustainable communities strategies, or local coastal plans |
|----|--------------|--|
| 2 | | updates to zoning ordinances |
| 3 | \checkmark | environmental analyses that eliminate the need for project-specific review |
| 4 | \checkmark | local process improvements that improve and expedite local planning |
| 5 | | a smaller geography with a significant impact on housing production including an overlay district, project level specific plan or development standards modifications proposed for significant areas of a locality, such as corridors, downtown or priority growth areas |
| 6 | | the creation or enhancement of a housing sustainability district pursuant to AB 73 (Chapter 371, Statutes of 2017) |
| 7 | | workforce housing opportunity zone pursuant to SB 540 (Chapter 369, Statutes of 2017) |
| 8 | | zoning for by-right supportive housing, pursuant to Government Code section 65651 (Chapter 753, Statutes of 2018) |
| 9 | | zoning incentives for housing for persons with special needs, including persons with developmental disabilities |
| 10 | | rezoning to meet requirements pursuant to Government Code Section 65583.2(c) and other rezoning efforts to facilitate supply and affordability |
| 11 | | rezoning for multifamily housing in high resource areas (according to Tax Credit Allocation Committee/Housing Community Development Opportunity Area Maps) |
| 12 | | pre-approved architectural and site plans |
| 13 | | regional housing trust fund plans |
| 14 | | funding plans for SB 2 Year 2 going forward |
| 15 | | infrastructure financing plans |
| 16 | V | environmental hazard assessments; data collection on permit tracking; feasibility studies, site analysis, or other background studies that are ancillary and part of a proposed activity with a nexus to accelerating housing production |
| 17 | | Other activities demonstrating a nexus to accelerating housing production |

E. Project Description

Provide a description of the project and the scope of work to be performed below. Use Appendix A for additional information if necessary. **Note**: If partnering with another local government or entity, be sure to clarify the responsibilities and deliverables of your locality pursuant to such partnership.

To support housing development and redevelopment, San Bernardino County proposes to streamline environmental review and processing, and limit, as possible, the requirements for intensive, technical studies prepared by project applicants. The proposed approach will provide developers with more certainty regarding environmental review and processing and will reduce both County and applicant time and cost for housing approvals. The intent is to build off of the extensive policies, mitigation and information available, once approved/certified, in the Countywide Plan (CWP) (proposed General Plan update) and CWP EIR and identified in the Regional Conservation Investment Strategy.

The two-part approach includes:

- 1. Upfront environmental technical studies
- A more detailed and geographic specific detail of the technical studies in the EIR will be prepared. The requirement for project-level studies is included in CWP EIR mitigation (e.g., biological and cultural resources). For example, for biological resources, reconnaissance-level surveys would be conducted to 1) identify the potential for sensitive biological resources in potential development areas, and 2) identify/focus scope for any additional studies, if needed, and 3) determine potential regulatory agency permits/mitigation that would be required.
- Pre-screen areas with limited environmental constraints. This process will include efforts such as cultural records searches (historical, archaeological), low-VMT and transit priority area mapping (eliminating traffic study requirement), and geotechnical and paleontological hazards/resource mapping.

OUTCOME: The environmental technical studies will enable a wide range of housing applicants avoid an EIR, thus saving tens of thousands of dollars and at least six to twelve months of time.

- 2. CEQA streamlining guide and tools
- Provide guides and screening tools for planning staff to lead applicants through most efficient CEQA process available for a given project (including available exemptions, CWP EIR tiering, and addendums, etc.)
- Optimize CWP EIR tiering using CEQA Guidelines 15183, Projects Consistent with a Community Plan or Zoning. This CEQA exemption focuses on project-specific impacts 'peculiar' to the site. It relies on documenting that existing regulatory requirements and standard conditions of approval ("uniformly applied development policies or standards") substantially mitigate impacts. The approach would be to prepare composite references of 'uniformly applied development policies and standards', and examples/directions for applying this exemption.

OUTCOME: The guides and screening tools will enable staff to quickly determine the appropriate CEQA process required by each project minimizing costs by thousands of dollars and shortening project review time by up to six to twelve months.

F. Project Timeline and Budget

| Project Name Envi | Environmental technical studies and CEQA streamlining | \ streamlining | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|----------------|---------|----------|-----------------------------------|------|--|
| Objective | Responsible Party | Est. Cost | Begin | End | Deliverable | *PPA | Notes |
| ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNICAL | Applicant | | 1/1/20 | 6/30/21 | -20/ | Yes | \$515,000 subtotal cost |
| Initiation and scoping | Applicant | \$ 35,000 | 1/1/20 | 3/30/20 | Analysis areas for each study | Yes | identify areas of study suitable for high-level project analysis |
| Preliminary draft analysis | Applicant | \$ 315,000 | 4/1/20 | 9/30/20 | Preliminary draft report | Yes | Data gathering and analysis subject and County review |
| Draft analysis, findings, and recs | Applicant | \$100,000 | 10/1/20 | 1/31/21 | Revised draft report | Yes | Refinement based on County review and additional recommendations |
| Final findings & recommendations | S Applicant | \$ 50,000 | 2/1/21 | 4/30/21 | Final report; pres to PC and BOS | Yes | Final changes, presentation of findings and future use of studies |
| Approval | Applicant | \$ 15,000 | 5/1/21 | 6/30/21 | Approval by LUS Director | Yes | Approval for official/public use in subsequent CEQA determination |
| CEQA STREAMLINING | Applicant | | 7/1/20 | 12/31/20 | 1 | Yes | \$78,750 subtotal cost |
| Project streamlining | Applicant | \$ 10,000 | 7/1/20 | 9/30/20 | Guides and screening tools | Yes | incl. avail. exemptions, addendum options, tiering options |
| CWP EIR Tiering standards | Applicant | \$ 35,000 | 8/1/20 | 11/30/20 | Uniform policies/standards | Yes | Documenting reg. reqmts, and standard conditions of approval |
| CWP EIR Tiering guidance | Other | \$ 25,000.00 | 12/1/20 | 2/28/21 | Draft tiering guide | Yes | To be used in determining consistency with CWP |
| Approval | Other | \$ 8,750 | 3/1/21 | 5/31/21 | Final guide/LUS Director approval | Yes | Approval for official/public use in subsequent CEQA determination |
| | Other | | | | | N/A | |
| | Other | | | | | N/A | |
| Grant Administration | Applicant | \$ 31,250 | 1/1/20 | 12/31/21 | | N/A | Max 5% |
| | Total Est. Cost \$ | 625000 | | | | | |
| *Priority Policy Area (PPA) | | | | | | | |

*Priority Policy Area (PPA)

G. Legislative Information

| District | # | Legislator Name | |
|--------------------------|----|----------------------|------------------------|
| | 8 | Paul Cook | |
| | 27 | Judy Chu | |
| Federal Congressional | 31 | Pete Aguilar | |
| District | 35 | Norma J. Torres | |
| | 39 | Gil Cisneros | |
| | | | |
| | 33 | Jay Obernolte | |
| | 36 | Tom Lackey | |
| State Assembly | 40 | James C. Ramos | |
| District | 41 | Chris Holden | |
| | 42 | Chad Mayes | #47 Eloise Gomez Reyes |
| | 52 | Freddie Rodriquez | #55 Philip Chen |
| | 16 | Shannon Grove | |
| | 20 | Connie M. Leyva | |
| State Senate | 21 | Scott Wilk | |
| District | 23 | Mike Morrell | |
| | 25 | Anthony J. Portantir | 10 |
| | 29 | Ling Ling Chang | |

Applicants can find their respective State Senate representatives at https://www.senate.ca.gov/, and their respective State Assembly representatives at https://www.assembly.ca.gov/.

Attachment 1: State and Other Planning Priorities Certification (Page 1 of 3)

Pursuant to Section 201(d) of the Guidelines, <u>all applicants</u> must demonstrate that the locality is consistent with State Planning or Other Planning Priorities by certifying that at least one activity was completed in 1) State Planning Priorities (i.e., Infill and Equity, Resource Protection, Efficient Development Patterns) or 2) Other Planning Priorities (i.e., Affordability, Conservation, or Climate Change). Consistency may be demonstrated through activities (not necessarily proposed for SB 2 funding) that were completed within the last five years.

Complete the following self-certification by selecting one or more of the policy areas in the following tables by inserting the date completed for each applicable action, briefly describing the action taken, and certifying.

State Planning Priorities Date Completed **Brief Description of the Action Taken** Promote Infill and Equity Rehabilitating, maintaining, and improving existing infrastructure that supports infill development and appropriate reuse and redevelopment of previously developed, underutilized land that is presently served by transit, streets, water, sewer, and other essential services, particularly in underserved areas. Seek or utilize funding or support strategies to facilitate opportunities for infill development. Other (describe how this meets subarea objective) **Promote Resource Protection** Protecting, preserving, and enhancing the state's most valuable natural resources, including working landscapes such as farm, range, and forest lands; natural lands such as wetlands, watersheds, wildlife habitats, and other wildlands; recreation lands such as parks, trails, greenbelts, and other open space; and landscapes with locally unique features and areas identified by the state as deserving special protection. Actively seek a variety of funding opportunities to promote resource protection in underserved communities. Other (describe how this meets subarea objective) **Encourage Efficient Development Patterns** Ensuring that any infrastructure associated with development, other than infill development, supports new development that does the following: (1) Uses land efficiently. Valley Corridor Specific Plan adopted. Bloomington community & Valley Corridor identified for concentrated reinvestment to stimulate private investment. 2/14/17

| (2) Is built adja | cent to existing developed areas to the extent consistent with environmental protection. |
|--|--|
| 5/18/16 | Bloomington Grove and Lillian Court recorded. Low income and mental health housing with library and social services office. |
| (3) Is located in | an area appropriately planned for growth. |
| | Three phases of Affordable Bloomington are built or funded including multigenerational, affordable, market rate, and other housing, park, library, etc |
| (4) Is served b | y adequate transportation and other essential utilities and services. |
| 2/14/17 | Valley Corridor Specific Plan includes transportation, utilities and services for area in Bloomington. |
| (5) Minimizes | ongoing costs to taxpayers. |
| | Extension of sewers to Affordable Bloomington reduced costs for other properties along the sewer line to hook up to sewer for development needs. |
| Other (describe | e how this meets subarea objective) |
| 2/14/17 | Completion of Specific Plan & housing project together addresses local needs for future development, sewer and housing in the area. |
| | Other Planning Priorities |
| | nd Housing Choices |
| | other mechanisms beyond State Density Bonus Law to encourage housing with affordability |
| terms. | - and the state before the best before being being being being with anordability |
| terms. | The state of the s |
| Efforts beyond | |
| Efforts beyond | state law to promote accessory dwelling units or other strategies to intensify single-family |
| Efforts beyond neighborhoods | state law to promote accessory dwelling units or other strategies to intensify single-famil |
| Efforts beyond neighborhoods | state law to promote accessory dwelling units or other strategies to intensify single-family with more housing choices and affordability. |
| Efforts beyond neighborhoods Upzoning or oti | state law to promote accessory dwelling units or other strategies to intensify single-family with more housing choices and affordability. |
| neighborhoods Upzoning or other | state law to promote accessory dwelling units or other strategies to intensify single-with more housing choices and affordability. Ther zoning modifications to promote a variety of housing choices and densities. |

Other (describe how this meets subarea objective)

Section 65302.10.

Attachment 1: State and Other Planning Priorities Certification (Page 3 of 3)

| Conservation of Existing Affordable Housing Stock | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Policies, programs or ordinances to conserve stock such as an at-risk preservation ordinance, mobilehome park | | | | | | | |
| overlay zone, condominium conversion ordinance and acquisition and rehabilitation of market rate housing | | | | | | | |
| programs. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Policies, programs and ordinances to protect and support tenants such as rent stabilization, anti-displace strategies, first right of refusal policies, resources to assist tenant organization and education and "just ca | ment ause" | | | | | | |
| eviction policies. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Other (describe how this meets subarea objective) | | | | | | | |
| Other (describe new this meets subarea objective) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Climate Adaptation | | | | | | | |
| Building standards, zoning and site planning requirements that address flood and fire safety, climate adapted and hazard mitigation. | ation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Long-term planning that addresses wildfire, land use for disadvantaged communities, and flood and local ha | zard | | | | | | |
| mitigation. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Community engagement that provides information and consultation through a variety of methods suc meetings, workshops, and surveys and that focuses on vulnerable populations (e.g., seniors, people disabilities, homeless, etc.). | | | | | | | |
| uisabilities, nomeless, etc.). | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Other (describe how this meets subarea objective) | | | | | | | |
| Cirior (december new time meets subtreat objective) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| State and Other Planning Priorities Certification | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| I certify under penalty of perjury that all of the information contained in this PGP State Planning and C Planning Priorities certification form (pages 9, 10, and 11 of this application) is true and correct. |)ther | | | | | | |
| Certifying Officials Name: Terri Rahhal | | | | | | | |
| Director Land Use Services | | | | | | | |
| Certifying Official's Title: | | | | | | | |
| Certifying Official's Signature: | | | | | | | |
| Certification Date: | | | | | | | |

Attachment 2: Application Nexus to Accelerating Housing Production

Fill out Attachment 2 only if the applicant answered "No" to item 3 in Section C or is utilizing Policy Priority Areas AND other activities not designated as such. Applicants answering "Yes" to question 3 in Section C and utilizing ONLY Priority Policy Areas are automatically deemed to demonstrate a nexus to accelerating housing production, and do not need to complete this form.

Pursuant to section VIII, subsection (4) of the NOFA, applicants shall demonstrate how the application includes a nexus to accelerating housing production. Please complete the following chart by providing information about the current conditions and expected outcomes with respect to the planned activity and housing production. Please attach documentation as necessary and see the NOFA for additional details.

Quantify how the activity accelerates production below and use Appendix B to explain the activity and its nexus to accelerating housing production if necessary.

| Type (Select at least one) | *Baseline | **Projected | ***Difference | Notes |
|---|-----------|-------------|---------------|-------|
| Timing (e.g., reduced number of processing days) | | | | |
| Development cost (e.g., land, fees, financing, construction costs per unit) | | | | |
| Approval certainty and reduction in discretionary review (e.g., prior versus proposed standard and level of discretion) | | | | |
| Entitlement streamlining (e.g., number of approvals) | | | | |
| Feasibility of development | | | | |
| Infrastructure capacity (e.g., number of units) | | | | |
| Impact on housing supply and affordability (e.g., number of units) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

^{*} Baseline – Current conditions in the jurisdiction (e.g. 6-month development application review, or existing number of units in a planning area)

^{**}Projected – Expected conditions in the jurisdiction because of the planning grant actions (e.g. 2-month development application review)

^{***}Difference – Potential change resulting from the planning grant actions (e.g., 4-month acceleration in permitting, creating a more expedient development process)

Attachment 3: Sample Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. 2019-XX A RESOLUTION OF THE [CITY COUNCIL/COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS] OF [CITY, COUNTY NAME] **AUTHORIZING APPLICATION FOR, AND RECEIPT OF, SB 2 PLANNING GRANTS PROGRAM FUNDS**

| WHEREAS, the State of California, Department of Housing and Community Development (Department) has issued a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) dated March 28, 2019, for its Planning Grants Program (PGP); and |
|---|
| WHEREAS, the [City Council/County Board of Supervisors] of |
| WHEREAS, the Department is authorized to provide up to \$123 million under the SB 2 Planning Grants Program from the Building Homes and Jobs Trust Fund for assistance to Counties (as described in Health and Safety Code section 50470 et seq. (Chapter 364, Statutes of 2017 (SB 2)) related to the PGP Program. |
| NOW, THEREFORE, THE [CITY COUNCIL/COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS] OFRESOLVES AS FOLLOWS: |
| SECTION 1. The [City Council/County Board of Supervisors] is hereby authorized and directed to apply for and submit to the Department the 2019 Planning Grants Program application released March 28, 2019 in the amount of \$ |
| SECTION 2. In connection with the PGP grant, if the application is approved by the Department, the [insert designee title, e.g. City Manager, Executive Office, etc] is authorized to enter into, execute, and deliver a State of Californ Agreement (Standard Agreement) for the amount of \$, and any and all other documents required or deemed necessary or appropriate to evidence and secure the PGP grant, the [City/County's] obligations related thereto, and all amendments thereto (collectively, the "PGP Grant Documents"). |
| SECTION 3. The [City/County] shall be subject to the terms and conditions as specified in the Standard Agreement, the SB 2 Planning Grants Program Guidelines, and any applicable PGP guidelines published by the Department. Funds are to be used for allowable expenditures as specifically identified in the Standard Agreement. The application in full is incorporated as part of the Standard Agreement. Any and all activities funded, information provided, and timelines represented in the application will be enforceable through the executed Standard Agreement. The [City Council/County Board of Supervisors] hereby agrees to use the funds for eligible uses in the manner presented in the application as approved by the Department and in accordance with the Planning Grants NOFA, the Planning Grants Program Guidelines, and 2019 Planning Grants Program Application. |
| SECTION 4. The [insert the title of City Council/County Board of Supervisors Executive or designee] is authorized to execute the [City/County] of Planning Grants Program application, the PGP Grant Documents, and any amendments thereto, on behalf of the [City/County] as required by the Department for receipt of the PGP Grant. |
| ADOPTED, 2019, by the [City/County] Board of Supervisors of the County of by the following vote: |
| AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN: |
| ATTEST: APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| County Clerk County Attorney |

Appendix A

| Use this area for additional | information if necess | ary. | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Appendix B

Use this page to explain the nexus to accelerating housing production or for project description.